Multifunctional supported bimetallic catalysts for a cascade reaction with hydrogen auto transfer: synthesis of 4-phenylbutan-2-ones from 4-methoxybenzyl alcohols†
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We report the one-pot tandem synthesis of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one directly from 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol and acetone using a multifunctional supported AuPd nanoalloy catalyst. This one-pot synthesis involves dehydrogenation, aldol condensation and hydrogenation of C=C. In this supported AuPd catalyst, the bimetallic sites catalyse the dehydrogenation and hydrogenation steps and, in combination with the support, catalyse the C–C coupling (aldol) process. This supported bimetallic catalyst is also effective in utilizing hydrogen from the dehydrogenation reaction for the hydrogenation of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-ol to 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butane-2-one via a hydrogen auto transfer route. These multifunctional catalysts were characterised using transmission electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.

Introduction

4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one (4), also known as “raspberry ketone methyl ether”, is an important fine chemical and is used extensively in several consumer products such as (a) raspberry scent, (b) food additives, (c) insect attractants as well as (d) in the preparation of melanin formation inhibitors.1,2 However, the quantities available from natural sources are exceedingly low (ca. 1–4 mg per kg of raspberries) and hence an efficient synthetic route leading to 4 is in high demand. Commercially, ketone 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one is prepared by Friedel–Crafts alkylation of anisole with 4-hydroxybutan-2-one or methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) using homogeneous or heterogeneous Lewis or Brønsted acid catalysts.3 This method produces many side products (as a result of transalkylation, isomerization, polyalkylation and polymerisation reactions) besides significant quantities of waste. Corma et al. proposed another route for the synthesis of 4 using the Heck reaction between 4-methoxyiodobenzene and methyl vinyl ketone.4 Although this is an efficient method, it is not environmentally benign, especially as iodide is a by-product. Recently, the same group reported a one-pot synthesis of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-ol (5) from 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2) (R1 = OCH3) and acetone by combining aldol coupling and hydrogenation reactions in one pot (2 to 5 in Scheme 1). They compared the three processes and deduced that the tandem synthesis, employing a multifunctional catalyst, has the smallest E factor.5

One of the grand challenges in chemistry is to develop alternative technologies to produce chemicals in a cleaner, safer, and environmentally benign manner.6 Multi-enzymatic systems that perform multi-step reactions in nature have inspired catalytic chemists to develop multifunctional catalysts that can catalyse several chemical transformations in a single reactor, known as tandem, domino or cascade reactions.7–9 This strategy helps to improve the atom economy or the E factor (kgwaste/kgproduct) by decreasing the number of energy-intensive separation and purification steps of the intermediates.10 Designing a multi-functional catalyst is a challenging task because each transformation requires different, often incompatible, catalytic active sites (e.g. acidic and basic sites) and/or reaction conditions.11,12 There are examples of bifunctional catalysts, including the widely used alkane hydroisomerization/hydrocracking catalyst; in this case the
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metal (Pt) centre catalyses the dehydrogenation of an n-alkane to form the corresponding olefin and H₂ whilst the acidic support (alumina or zeolite) catalyses the isomerization or cracking reactions. The metal (Pt) site subsequently catalyses the hydrogenation of the branched olefins.

Following initial reports by Sinfelt on the use of bimetallic catalysts, we have reported the application of supported gold–palladium bimetallic catalysts for the aerobic oxidative dehydrogenation of primary alcohols and the hydrogenation of levulinic acid (LA) to γ-valerolactone (GVL). In these examples, we found that alloying two metals substantially increased the activity and/or selectivity compared to their monometallic counterparts. A number of other bimetallic catalysts have also been reported to be exceptionally active and/or selective for oxidation, hydrogenation, hydrochlorination, reforming reactions and biomass conversion. Recently we found that for the aerobic oxidative dehydrogenation of benzyl alcohol, supporting the gold–palladium nanoalloys on MgO, the disproportionation pathway, which leads to the undesired formation of toluene, is completely inhibited, thereby increasing the selectivity for the desired benzaldehyde to >99%. We further exploited the basicity of MgO in this catalyst for the (otherwise) base-free oxidation of glycerol to glyceric acid under mild reaction conditions.

In this work, we report an efficient multi-functional catalyst for the tandem synthesis of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one (4) starting from 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (1) instead of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2) (Scheme 1). Adding an initial dehydrogenation reaction is advantageous because alcohols are generally more readily available starting materials than aldehydes and inherently they are more stable. Importantly, we further report that the hydrogen generated from this dehydrogenation reaction is effectively used in the hydrogenation reaction (3 to 4) using a hydrogen auto-transfer strategy. Moreover, we demonstrate, using different derivatives of benzyl alcohol, that this one-pot reaction proceeds in a similar way to that of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol.

**Experimental**

**Catalyst preparation**

**Pre-treatment of nano-MgO.** Mg(OH)₂ (Sigma Aldrich, 50 nm) was heated at 723 K for 5 h with a heating ramp rate of 5 K min⁻¹ to form nano-MgO.

**Synthesis of Au–Pd nanoparticles supported via the sol-immobilization technique.** Au–Pd bimetallic catalysts were prepared using TiO₂ (Sigma Aldrich), MgO (BDH), Mg(OH)₂ (nanopowder, <100 nm, Sigma Aldrich), and Al₂O₃ (Sigma Aldrich) as supports. Only the Mg(OH)₂ support was pre-treated (calcined) at 673 K for 5 h under static air (heating rate 5 K min⁻¹) to form nano-MgO. The supported bimetallic catalysts were prepared using the following sol-immobilisation
method: aqueous solutions of \( \text{PdCl}_2 \) (Johnson Matthey, 6 mg ml\(^{-1} \)) and \( \text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (Sigma Aldrich, 12.25 mg ml\(^{-1} \)) were freshly prepared. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (1 wt% solution, Aldrich, \( M_w = 10000 \), 80% hydrolyzed) was added to the solution and stirred with \( \text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) for 15 min. 0.1 M \( \text{NaBH}_4 \) (>96% Aldrich, \( \text{NaBH}_4/\text{Au} \) (mol/mol = 5)) was freshly prepared and then added to the solution to form a dark brown sol. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and adjusted to pH 1 by the drop-wise addition of sulphuric acid. The supports were added to the mixture and the slurry was then stirred for 1 h. Following this, the catalyst was recovered by filtration, washed with 2 L distilled water and dried at 383 K overnight. The 0.5% \( \text{Au–Pd/Mg(OH)}_2 \) catalyst was then treated at 723 K for 5 h under \( \text{N}_2 \) (ramp rate 20 K min\(^{-1} \)) to form 0.5% \( \text{Au–Pd/Mg(OH)}_2 \). All these catalysts were used without any further treatment.

Catalytic testing. All the catalytic reactions were performed in a 50 mL stainless steel high-pressure autoclave reactor (PARR Autoclaves) fitted with an overhead stirrer. In a typical reaction, the reactor was charged with the requisite amount of the catalyst, the reactants (alcohol and acetone) and the reactant solution. The mixture was purged with the appropriate gas (\( \text{O}_2, \text{H}_2, \text{N}_2 \)) three times before pressurising it to the requisite pressure. The stirring and heating were started and when the temperature of the reaction mixture reached the set temperature, the reaction was considered to have started (0 h). After a specific time, the stirring was stopped and the reactor was immediately cooled in an ice bath until the temperature decreased to below 283 K. Then the reaction was opened slowly and 0.5 mL of the content was centrifuged. 0.1 mL of the clear supernatant reaction mixture was taken for GC analysis.

Quantification of the products. Initially the products were identified using a GC fitted with a mass spectrometer. After identifying the products, the samples were analysed using a Varian Star CP-3800 GC fitted with a column (CP-Wax 52 column, capillary, 25 m, 0.35 mm ID, 0.2 micron) and a FID detector for quantification studies. Determination and calibration of the reactants and products using GC were carried out using a range of known concentrations of them to obtain the response factor (RF) which expresses the relative response of components to the detector. 0.1 ml of the reaction mixture was used for GC analysis. A sample (0.02 ml) was auto-injected into the GC without addition of any further material as the reaction was performed in the presence of an internal standard (mesitylene). Conversion \((X)\) was calculated following the equation:

\[
X = \frac{(S_{\text{in}} - S_{\text{out}})}{(S_{\text{in}})} \times 100\%
\]

Selectivities were calculated taking into account only identified products. Unidentified products accounted for 20% to 30% of all products (depending on the reaction). These were not taken into account during the analysis, resulting in a carbon mass balance of 70–80%. Selectivity was calculated based on the following equation:

\[
S_P = \frac{(P/\sum P_{\text{identified}})}{100\%}
\]

Catalyst characterisation

Powder X-ray diffraction. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was recorded using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro system fitted with a CuK\(\alpha\) X-ray source run at 40 kV and 40 mA. An X’Celerator detector was used in order to assess the scattered media. Each sample was scanned from \(2\theta = 10^\circ\) to \(80^\circ\) for 30 minutes. Prior to analysis, catalysts were ground into a fine powder and loaded onto a silicon wafer. The corresponding results were compared directly with the data held in the ICDD library.

Transmission electron microscopy. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out using a JEOL JEM-2100 with a LaB\(\alpha\) filament operating at 200 kV. Powdered catalyst samples were dry dispersed lacey carbon films over a 400 mesh copper grid.

Microwave plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES). Analysis was conducted using an Agilent 4100 MP-AES instrument. Au content was analysed using two emission lines. A known mass of \( \text{AuPd/MgO} \) was added to dilute \( \text{aqua regia} \) solution (50 mL of 20% aqueous solution) and left to digest overnight. The samples were filtered using PTFE filters (Acradisc PVDF 0.45 \( \mu \)l). Samples were introduced into a stream of nitrogen plasma via a single pass spray chamber at a pressure of 120 kPa in the absence of any air injection. The instrument was calibrated with 2.5 ppm, 5 ppm and 10 ppm of Au standards. Samples were tested three times and an average of the three results was taken.

Inductively coupled plasma (ICP). ICP analysis was performed using an Agilent ICP-MS 7900 instrument. Quantitative analyses of the aqueous solutions containing metal ions were carried out using calibration plots of certified standards (from Agilent). Each analysis was performed in duplicate.

Thermal gravitation analysis (TGA). TGA was conducted using a Setram Labsys TGA/DTA instrument. Catalyst samples were heated from 30 to 800 °C under flowing air (15 mL min\(^{-1} \)) at a heating rate of 10 K min\(^{-1} \).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). A Thermo k-Alpha + photoelectron spectrometer equipped with monochromatic Al radiation was used in this work. Charge neutralisation was achieved using a combination of low energy electrons and argon ions. Due to changes in the C(1s) level due to the heat treatments used in the study calibration to this core level was found to be inconsistent, therefore calibration was performed using the Mg(1s) line taken to be 1303.9 eV for MgO.\(^{22}\)

Results and discussion

Initially we used aldehyde 2 (\( R_1 = \text{OCH}_3 \)) as the starting material to identify a suitable active support for the aldol condensation step. Basic metal oxides such as \( \text{MgO} \) (BDH), \( \text{ZnO} \) (Sigma Aldrich) and \( \text{La}_2\text{O}_3 \) (Sigma Aldrich) were tested as received; for comparison \( \text{TiO}_2 \) (Degussa P-25) and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) (Sigma
Aldrich) were also tested (see the ESI† Table S1). Surprisingly, the basic metal oxides are not effective for this transformation. This is not due to poisoning of the basic sites by CO as even after calcination at 673 K there was no improvement in their catalytic activities. Al₂O₃ and TiO₂, however, show appreciable ability to catalyse this coupling, possibly via acid catalysed aldol condensation reaction. In the next stage, we supported AuPd nanoalloy particles, which were between 1 and 7 nm, on all these supports using a sol-immobilisation technique. In addition to these supports, a nano MgO produced by the calcination of commercial nano Mg(OH)₂ at 673 K, was also used to support these AuPd nanoalloys. All supported AuPd catalysts were tested for the conversion of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2) to 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one (4). Here we sought to combine the coupling reaction with hydrogenation of the C=O bond in the resulting α,β unsaturated ketone 3. For comparison, we also prepared monometallic Au and Pd catalysts and tested these in this one-pot synthesis (see the ESI† Table S2). The results indicate that the nature of the metallic site and the metal oxide support play crucial roles in determining the reaction pathways and hence the selectivity towards the products. Monometallic Pd supported on TiO₂ (5% Pd/TiO₂) is extremely active and selective for the transformation of 2 into 4-methylanisole (6) with 100% conversion and 99% selectivity, presumably by sequential reduction to the corresponding benzyl alcohol 1 and its hydrogenolysis. This is a totally different pathway compared to the formation of 3 and 4. A different 1% Pd/TiO₂ catalyst was prepared by a conventional wet-impregnation method and was used for this reaction. This catalyst is less active than the previous catalyst (5% Pd/TiO₂); however, it leads predominantly to formation of ketone 4 with no trace of anisole 6. This change in reaction pathway between 1% Pd/TiO₂ and 5% Pd/TiO₂ could be because of the difference in the acidity of these two catalysts. The Pd precursor solution is made by dissolving solid PdCl₂ in 0.58 M HCl solution to form palladate because solid PdCl₂ is sparingly soluble in cold water, whereas palladate is. This means that a higher Pd loading increases the HCl concentration in the catalyst synthesis medium. This could have resulted in an increased acidic surface of the catalyst for higher Pd loading which results in the hydrogenolysis pathway rather than the aldol condensation pathway. Another explanation is that metal catalysed reactions (hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis) dominate in the competition for 2 when catalysts with higher metal loading are used. Metal oxide catalysed aldol condensation dominates when the catalysts with lower metal loading are used. However, the role of the nature of the metal particles (specifically size and structure) in determining the reaction pathways cannot be ruled out at this stage. A further in-depth investigation to study this interesting behaviour is currently in progress. All other observations are understandable in terms of the flow chart in Scheme 1.

When bimetallic 1% AuPd/TiO₂ was used for this reaction, the conversion increased to 63% compared to 16% for the monometallic Pd catalyst prepared by the same method. However, the selectivity to 4 dropped dramatically to 16% with reduction to alcohol 1 becoming the major pathway (62%). We also used Al₂O₃ as the support for the AuPd nanoalloys since it was found to be active for the transformation of 2 into 3 in the absence of a metal (Table 1). Surprisingly, this catalyst is less active, with only 7% conversion and a higher selectivity for reduction to alcohol 1. However, when 1% AuPd/MgO was used as the catalyst, the selectivity to ketone 4 increased markedly to 58% with 100% conversion. This indicates that MgO-supported catalysts are preferred for this reaction and validates the initial hypothesis of this work. We then employed nano-MgO²³ as support for the AuPd nanoalloys and decreased the metal content by 50% to 0.5 wt%. As shown in Fig. S2, XRD confirmed that the final phase of the support was in fact MgO. The final heat treatment conducted under flowing N₂ appears to result in a complete phase change from Mg(OH)₂ after the immobilisation of Au and Pd to MgO. Both catalysts displayed 100% conversion but for this new catalyst there was a marginal increase in the selectivity to the desired product 4. For comparison we used the monometallic counterparts of this catalyst [0.5% Au/MgO and 0.5% Pd/MgO] for this reaction and found 0.5% Pd/MgO to be the most effective (see the ESI† Table S2). The most interesting observation is that MgO alone does not catalyse the aldol coupling reaction (see the ESI† Table S1); however, when combined with metal nanoparticles, it is highly effective. This is completely reversed in the case of Al₂O₃, where the metal oxide alone is active for the coupling reaction, whereas AuPd supported on Al₂O₃ is not. These two examples indicate that the active sites for these two reactions (coupling and hydrogenation) are interdependent: the metal sites appear to promote the catalytic activities of metal oxide sites for the coupling reactions.

Inspired by our discovery that supported AuPd nanoalloys are extremely active for the selective oxidative dehydrogenation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde,¹⁵ we then investigated the tandem synthesis of ketone 4 (R₂ = CH₃) directly from 4-methoxybenzylalcohol (1) and acetone using supported metal bifunctional catalysts.

### Table 1: Synthesis of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one (4) from 4-methoxybenzylalcohol (1) and acetone using supported metal bifunctional catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
<th>Product selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuPd/TiO₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPd/TiO₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/TiO₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPd/MgO</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol: 1.3 g; acetone: 6.3 g; mesitylene (internal standard): 1 g; catalyst: 0.5 g; pH₂: 5 bar; T: 348 K; time: 17 h. Selectivity is calculated based on known and identified products and we have used a normalisation method based on these products. The balance for these reactions was calculated to be between 70–80%. Remaining products are unidentified (20–30%). Oxidative dehydrogenation for 3 h followed by reduction for 2 h. Oxidation for 3 h followed by coupling reaction for 17 h and hydrogenation for 2 h. Oxidative dehydrogenation for 3 h followed by coupling reaction for 17 h and hydrogenation for 3 h.
from alcohol 1 ($R_1 = \text{OCH}_3$). The results are presented in Table 1. Initially we used 1% AuPd/TiO₂ and performed the oxidative dehydrogenation reaction (step 1) under O₂ pressure at 398 K for 3 h, resulting in 100% conversion with selectivities of 64% and 34% for 2 (aldehyde) and 3 (enone), respectively. The reaction mixture was then hydrogenated (step 3) under a hydrogen atmosphere (after purging the reaction mixture with N₂ thoroughly) at 398 K for 2 h. The product mixture contained mostly anisole 6 (57%) together with small amounts of ketone 4 (27%) and alcohol 5 (14%). This catalyst, although very suitable for the oxidative dehydrogenation reaction, is not effective for either the coupling or the hydrogenation reaction. Instead, it catalyses the hydrogenation of 2 to 1 which further undergoes hydrogenolysis to 6. To force 2 to undergo the coupling reaction instead of hydrogenation, we introduced another step (step 2) between steps 1 and 3 (Scheme 1), where N₂ replaced the oxygen atmosphere in a reaction carried out for 17 h at 398 K. At the end of this, the product mixture was mostly enone 3 (76%) together with some residual aldehyde 2 (21%). This mixture was further hydrogenated at 348 K for 2 h. This additional step increased the selectivity of 4 to 55% with selectivities of 24% and 21% for 6 and 5, respectively. When monometallic Pd (1% Pd/TiO₂) was used for this reaction, the selectivity to ketone 4 increased slightly to 61%.

Finally, we used 1% AuPd/MgO for this one pot synthesis because MgO does not catalyse the hydrogenolysis reaction. The resulting reaction mixture had a substantially lower amount of anisole 6 (<1%), however with a lower conversion (57%), the desired products 3 and 4 were formed with selectivities 44% and 32% respectively. The lower conversion was deemed to be due to the hydrogenation of 2 back to 1. Instead of this three-step strategy, we decided to perform an experiment in a hydrogen atmosphere, which resulted in better activity. After a 22 h reaction at 398 K, we achieved 100% conversion with 85% selectivity for the formation of ketone 4 (Table 2). The reaction was performed in an inert atmosphere (N₂) and the results, presented in Table 2 (last entry), clearly indicate that the hydrogen from the dehydrogenation of alcohol is utilized for the hydrogenation of 3 to 4. This is evidently the hydrogen auto transfer methodology (or hydrogen borrowing) proposed by Williams and co-workers. This heterogeneous catalyst is one of the very few that are active for this hydrogen auto transfer under mild reaction conditions. This hydrogen auto transfer route has potentially for this hydrogen auto transfer under mild reaction conditions.25,26 This hydrogen auto transfer route has potentially many applications, especially in the synthesis of fine chemicals.27,28 In order to test the substrate tolerance of this catalyst, in one reaction, benzyl alcohol was coupled with acetone and in a different reaction, 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol and 4-tert-butylbenzyl alcohol were coupled with 2-butane (Table 2). In this hydrogen auto transfer reaction, we have combined dehydrogenation, coupling and hydrogenation reactions in one-pot for the sequential synthesis of an industrially very important compound (4). It is interesting to note that the first step of this synthesis (dehydrogenation of 1 to 2) proceeds without any oxidant and even in the presence of H₂, further detailed investigation is needed to understand this observation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that these three reactions (dehydrogenation, coupling and hydrogenation) have been combined in one pot for the selective synthesis of this industrially very important ketone (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R₁</th>
<th>R₂</th>
<th>Atm</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
<th>Product selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCH₃</td>
<td>CH₃</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1 55 43 0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 0 85 10 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCH₃</td>
<td>C₆H₅</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14 73 12 2 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0 4 78 13 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>CH₃</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0 74 17 6 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0 11 6 2 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(CH₃)</td>
<td>C₆H₅</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6 88 6 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0 0 95 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol: 1.3 g; benzyl alcohol: 1.1 g, 4-tert-butyl benzyl alcohol: 1.54 g, 2-butane: 7.7 g acetone: 6.3 g; mesitylene (internal standard): 1 g; catalyst: 0.5 g; p: 5 bar; T: 398 K; time: 22 h. Selectivity is calculated based on known and identified products and we have used a normalisation method based on these products. The C balance for these reactions was calculated to be between 70% and 80%. The remaining products are unidentified (20–30%).

Table 3. Reusability studies using 1 wt% Au-Pd/MgO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atm</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
<th>Product selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1 55 43 0 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 0 85 10 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0 0 85 10 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol: 1.3 g; acetone: 6.3 g; mesitylene (internal standard): 1 g; catalyst: 0.5 g; p: 5 bar; T: 398 K; time: 22 h. Selectivity is calculated based on known and identified products and we have used a normalisation method based on these products. The C balance for these reactions was calculated to be between 70% and 80%. The remaining products are unidentified (20–30%).
alcohol, giving toluene + water, in competition with dehydrogenation, is now increased.

To study the effect of the electronic nature of the substituents on the reactivity and the versatility of this catalyst, we tested 1% AuPd/MgO using different substituted benzyl alcohols and ketones and the results are presented in Table 2. The electron donating methoxy group (−OCH₃) in the phenyl ring displays the maximum reactivity, whereas a tertiary butyl...
crystallised MgO particles can be found (Fig. 2(a)), while in some changes after the reaction. In the fresh catalyst, well agglomeration is not likely to be responsible for deactivation. In the used catalysts (Fig. 1(b and c)), suggesting that particle be found in the fresh catalyst (Fig. 1(a)) and are still present in this sample can be determined by the vector method and also application of "vector" tech-niques for determination of peak positions and lineshapes can greatly enhance the information which can be elucidated from such complicated data. For the fresh catalyst, Au is found to shift upward slightly to 84.1 and 86.3 eV, which we attribute to metallic Au and ionic Au, potential-ly anchored to defect sites such as F-centres. For the fresh catalyst used in a H2 environment, a mixture of MgO (Fig. 2(b)) and brucite Mg(OH)2 phase (Fig. 2(c)) can be found. The difference in the electron diffraction can be clearly seen in the rotational averaged profile shown in Fig. 2(d). This also agrees with the XRD data shown in Fig. S3, which indicates that there is a clear change in the support during the reactions. The support in the used catalyst appears to be partially hydroxylated, whereas the fresh sample is clearly an oxide. It is known that MgO readily converts to Mg(OH)2 in the presence of H2O, so it is likely that the production of H2O as a result of the aldol condensation from 3 to 4 is responsible for this change in phase from MgO to Mg(OH)2. This subtle change in the support phase may also contribute to the clear deactivation of the catalyst. Another possible source of deactivation could be the substantial quantity of organics on the surface. These organic materials, covering some of the metallic sites, could explain the reduced reduction rate for the used catalyst. TGA experiments revealed a large loss in mass at approximately 630 K (Fig. S1†), which is not observed in the corresponding fresh catalytic sample. ICP analysis confirmed that metal leaching was not responsible for the deactivation. The concentrations of Au and Pd observed in the post reaction effluents of the H2 and N2 reactions were comparable with an acetone background blank, suggesting that no Au or Pd was leached from the support during the reactions (see the ESI† Table S3).

XPS analysis of low loading Au and Pd supported materials on MgO is complicated by the overlap of the Mg(2s) and Mg(KLL) Auger peaks, respectively. However, careful fitting of peak components and also application of "vector" techniques for determination of peak positions and lineshapes can greatly enhance the information which can be elucidated from such complicated data. For the fresh catalyst, Au is found in two states with binding energies of 83.8 and 85.5 eV, which we attribute to metallic Au and ionic Au, potentially anchored to defect sites such as F-centres. For the fresh sample, we have been unable to determine the Pd content with significant confidence. Fig. 3 shows the Au(4f)/Mg(2s) and Pd(3d)/Mg(KLL) Auger fitted spectra.

For the H2 sample, the Au is found to shift upward slightly to 84.1 and 86.3 eV, respectively, and may be due to increased stabilisation of the Au by hydroxide groups. The Pd content in this sample can be determined by the vector method and is found to have a binding energy of 335.8 eV (±0.2 eV) and attributed to partially oxidised Pd or binding energy shifts due to reduced final state screening effects. However, with the catalyst used in a H2 environment, a mixture of MgO (Fig. 2(b)) and brucite Mg(OH)2 phase (Fig. 2(c)) can be found. The difference in the electron diffraction can be clearly seen in the rotational averaged profile shown in Fig. 2(d). This also agrees with the XRD data shown in Fig. S3†, which indicates that there is a clear change in the support during the reactions. The support in the used catalyst appears to be partially hydroxylated, whereas the fresh sample is clearly an oxide. It is known that MgO readily converts to Mg(OH)2 in the presence of H2O, so it is likely that the production of H2O as a result of the aldol condensation from 3 to 4 is responsible for this change in phase from MgO to Mg(OH)2. This subtle change in the support phase may also contribute to the clear deactivation of the catalyst. Another possible source of deactivation could be the substantial quantity of organics on the surface. These organic materials, covering some of the metallic sites, could explain the reduced reduction rate for the used catalyst. TGA experiments revealed a large loss in mass at approximately 630 K (Fig. S1†), which is not observed in the corresponding fresh catalytic sample. ICP analysis confirmed that metal leaching was not responsible for the deactivation. The concentrations of Au and Pd observed in the post reaction effluents of the H2 and N2 reactions were comparable with an acetone background blank, suggesting that no Au or Pd was leached from the support during the reactions (see the ESI† Table S3).

![Fig. 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)

**Table 4** Atomic % of elements observed on the catalysts surface as determined by XPS of the fresh 1 wt% AuPd/MgO catalyst and after reactions under H2 and N2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Atomic %</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Pd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPd MgO fresh</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>46.58</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPd MgO used H2</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>31.54</td>
<td>58.59</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPd MgO used N2</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>57.62</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are presented in Table 3. A significant decrease in catalytic performance was observed for the catalysts recovered from the reactions under both H2 and N2. A drop in conversion from 100% to 46% was observed for the reaction conducted under H2. The hydrogenation of 3 to 4 appears to have a reduced rate, which suggests that this pathway may also be affected by the deactivation of the catalyst. Interestingly, the selectivity to product 6 is significantly reduced during the re-use study under H2, which indicates that the used catalyst is more selective to our desired reaction pathway. For the re-use study under N2, no conversion was observed, suggesting that the catalyst was fully deactivated.

In order to understand the reason for the catalyst deactiva-tion, the fresh and used catalysts were studied. Representa-tive TEM images of fresh and used AuPd/MgO catalysts prepared from nano-Mg(OH)2 are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. From Fig. 1, it is clearly seen that small Au–Pd nanoparticles can be found in the fresh catalyst (Fig. 1(a)) and are still present in the used catalysts (Fig. 1(b and c)), suggesting that particle agglomeration is not likely to be responsible for deactivation. However, the support material appears to have undergone some changes after the reaction. In the fresh catalyst, well crystallised MgO particles can be found (Fig. 2(a)), while in...
the small metal loadings employed in this study, we are unable to perform analysis of the Pd(LMM) Auger signal to elucidate this shift any further. For the N2 sample, similar Au and Pd species remain; however, the intensity of the Au species is diminished, whilst the high binding energy Au species is downshifted ca. 0.4 eV, potentially indicating an increase in particle size.

XPS was also used to determine the estimated surface content of Au, Pd, C, O and Mg which is displayed in Table 4. Although it was not possible to accurately determine the quantity of Pd in the fresh AuPd/MgO sample, near stoichiometric quantities of Au and Pd were observed in the used catalysts after reaction under H2 and N2. Interestingly, a significantly larger quantity of oxygen is observed on the surface of the used catalysts, which provides further evidence that the MgO undergoes partial hydroxylation during the reaction. It was previously suggested that this is a result of H2O from the aldol condensation reaction taking place and is supported by the diffraction data of the used catalysts displayed in Fig. S3.† Perhaps the most significant finding from this technique is the larger quantities of carbon observed on the surface of the used catalysts. This further supports the suggestion that the catalytic deactivation observed could be the result of coking on the surface of the catalysts during the reactions. The deactivation mechanism of the catalyst still remains unclear, although there is evidence to suggest that the deactivation may be a consequence of particle agglomeration and/or substrate coking on the catalyst surface. Enhancements and modifications in the design of the catalyst that could alleviate these deactivation issues and allow for greater potential for industrialisation of this process are needed.

Conclusion

We report that AuPd nanoalloys supported on MgO and TiO2 are effective multi-functional catalysts for the one-pot synthesis of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-one (4) from 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (1) and acetone. This one-pot synthesis comprises dehydrogenation, condensation and hydrogenation reactions. This is the first report of combining all these reactions in one-pot. Throughout, we have portrayed this chemistry as a sequential dehydrogenation–aldol–hydrogenation sequence. However, it can be viewed in another, very general way as the overall alkylation of a ketone (acetone) by a benzyl alcohol 1. This places the foregoing methodology in the same area as the recently developed protocols for achieving the same overall transformations.28,33,34 Furthermore we have shown that the MgO supported material is very active, as a heterogeneous catalyst, for the hydrogen auto transfer reaction.
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