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Abstract: The activation of π-bonds in diynyl esters has been investigated by using soft and hard Lewis acids. In the case of the soft selenium Lewis acid PhSeCl, sequential activation of the alkyne bonds leads initially to an isocoumarin (1 equiv PhSeCl) and then to a tetracyclic conjugated structure with the isocoumarin subunit fused to a benzoselenopyran (3 equiv PhSeCl). Conversely, the reaction with the hard Lewis acidic borane B(C5F5)3 initiates a cascade reaction to yield a complex π-conjugated system containing phthalide and indene subunits.

The advent of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) a decade ago has been a major advance in main-group chemistry, particularly in metal-free catalysis. FLP chemistry combines sterically hindered Lewis acids and Lewis bases (Scheme 1, top), where the steric demands of the two components suppress conventional adduct formation and lead to truly unique reactivity. This ever-expanding field of chemistry now encompasses a vast range of Lewis acid/base combinations which have been shown to effect novel transformations and metal-free catalytic processes, including small-molecule activation reactions (e.g. H2, N2O, CO2, CO, SO2, alkenes, and alkynes).

A particular theme within this area is the cooperative addition of FLPs across C–C π-bonds (Scheme 1, bottom), which can occur in an intra- or intermolecular fashion. Two different mechanisms for the 1,2-addition of Lewis acid/base combinations to alkenes and alkynes have been proposed, which either involve concerted addition or initial activation of the π-bond followed by 1,2-addition. In the latter case, π–Lewis acid van der Waals interactions have been observed. However, unlike transition metals, which are able to activate π-bonds in a synergic fashion through (metal–ligand) bonding and back-bonding interactions, boron relies solely on the vacant p-orbital to activate such unsaturated frameworks. In these reactions, the nucleophilic center can be a wide variety of atoms including hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, phosphorus, or sulfur, while the Lewis acid component has been limited to the first two rows of the p-block. Typically, hard Lewis acids such as boranes, alanes, or boronium and carbene cations have been employed.

Other reactivities associated with the use of strong boron Lewis acids involve the synthetically useful carboboration reactions as well as relevant cascade reactions of unsaturated C–C bonds. Recent studies by the Erker and Yamaguchi research groups showcase the use of B(C5F5)3 in a series of rearrangements of aryl-functionalized diynes to form complex, extensively fused polyaromatic systems such as dibenzopentalenes and derivatives thereof. The aforementioned activation of alkynes is preeminent in this work, highlighting the fact that, contrary to the traditional concept of HSAB theory, hard Lewis acids are indeed very effective for the activation of soft Lewis bases such as alkynes. It is of note that the synthesis of such polyaromatic systems is seldom described in the literature, with these reports involving rare, noble, or heavy toxic metals such as palladium, gold, or tin. This provides the impetus to find new synthetic methods to prepare such extended conjugated networks.

Indeed, with a few exceptions, the use of heavier p-block elements with FLP-type reactivity is rarely reported. These heavier p-block elements are much softer due to their larger atomic radii and lower charge densities, and are more susceptible to polarization, thus potentially offering distinct differences in reactivity compared to their lighter counterparts. Many of these elements are also capable of acting simultaneously as Lewis acids (because of the presence of low-lying vacant orbitals or σ*-orbitals) and Lewis bases (because of the presence of lone pairs of electrons when in the n–2 or n–4 oxidation state). Here we compare the reactivity...
of methyl-2-[[2-(phenylethynyl)phenyl]ethynyl] benzoate (1) with the soft Se-centered Lewis acid PhSeCl and the hard B-centered Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ in the pursuit of obtaining novel heterocyclic frameworks such as isocoumarin derivatives. Indeed, isocoumarins are well known for their biological activity and their derivatives have many applications as antimicrobial,[14] anticancer,[15] and anti-inflammatory[16] agents etc.[17]

The dyne starting material 1 was prepared by a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction according to literature procedures.[18] The subsequent reactivity of 1 in the presence of excess phenylselenyl chloride (in a 1:3 molar ratio) in CDCl₃ was monitored by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. After a few hours at ambient temperature, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed the formation of a new compound with only aromatic protons, thus indicating the loss of the methyl ester. This observation was confirmed by slow evaporation of the solvent to yield a crop of orange crystals (37%), which were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and unequivocally confirmed the product to be the salt 2 (Scheme 2, Figure 1). The cationic fragment contains two different selenium environments: 1) a cationic selenonium center and 2) a neutral selenoaryl. The counterion is a [PhSeCl₄]⁻ anion.

The tetracyclic core of 2 consists of a planar isocoumarin fragment fused to the isoselenochromene as a result of the annihilation step. The selenium cation adopts the expected tetragonal geometry, with C–Se–C bond angles between 96.57(12)° and 100.86(12)° and with Se–C bonds having single bond character (1.912(2)–1.939(3) Å). These observations indicate that the delocalization of the isocoumarin unit does not fully extend throughout the isoselenochromene fragment. Indeed, the lack of planarity across these two moieties further substantiates this observation. The counteranion [PhSeCl₄]⁻ adopts a T-shaped geometry with the chlorine atoms occupying the axial positions. The Se–Cl bond lengths (2.445(1) and 2.457(1) Å) and Cl–Se–C bond angles of 90.47(10)° and 90.72(10)° are similar to those described for the only previously reported [PhSeCl₄]⁻ anion.[19]

The reaction was then performed with 1:1 and 2:1 molar ratios of PhSeCl/1. When a 1:1 molar ratio was used, selective activation of the alkynyl functionality proximal to the ester occurred through a 6-endo-dig cyclization to afford the phenylselenyl-substituted isocoumarin 3a (Scheme 2), which was isolated in 68% yield. The product was fully characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. However, despite repeated attempts, we were unable to grow single crystals of 3a suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The ⁷³Se NMR spectrum of compound 3a showed a singlet whose chemical shift (δ = 289.5 ppm) was in agreement with previously reported selanyl compounds.[20]

To probe this reactivity further, multinuclear NMR spectroscopic studies were undertaken to follow the reaction of compound 3a with two further equivalents of PhSeCl. These studies revealed ¹H NMR signals corresponding to the formation of 2, thus suggesting that 3a is an intermediate en route to 2. A plausible mechanism for the reaction of 1 to 2 via 3a is the electrophilic addition of PhSeCl to the alkynyl in 1 with formation of a selenonium cation. This then undergoes an intramolecular ring-opening reaction by nucleophilic attack from the carbonyl oxygen atom of the ester moiety, thereby resulting in isocoumarin 3a with a concomitant loss of chloromethane, as observed in the in situ ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (δ = 3.05 and 21.5 ppm, respectively).[21] There is some literature precedent for such selenolaconization reactions.[22]

The reaction of intermediate 3a to give the zwitterion 2 may be envisaged as an FLP-type trans-1,2-addition of the Lewis basic selane in 3a and a second equivalent of the Lewis acidic PhSeCl across the second alkyn (again via the three-membered selenonium cation). The chloride ion that is eliminated following the 1,2-addition is intercepted by the third equivalent of PhSeCl to generate the selenium-containing counterion [PhSeCl₄].

Attempts to promote the second cyclization of 3a using the harder Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ failed to lead to the tetracyclic structure reminiscent of 2. Instead, this afforded the Lewis acid/base adduct (3b, Scheme 2), thus reflecting the hard nature of the lone pair of electrons on the O atom relative to...
the softer alkyne. Indeed, the structure of the Lewis adduct 3b was unambiguously determined by X-ray diffraction (Figure 2). Such adduct formation has previously been observed using extended-chain alkynyl benzoates, where adduct formation is also found to be preferred over alkyne activation.[23] Indeed, no evidence for alkyne activation was observed in 3b by 11B NMR spectroscopy, even after heating in toluene at 100°C for several days.

To compare the Lewis acidity of PhSeCl with more conventional Lewis acids, we investigated the reaction of 1 with B(C6F5)3. The stoichiometric reaction of B(C6F5)3 with 1 (1:1) in toluene resulted in an immediate color change to a dark-green solution, which afforded block-shaped red crystals (84%) upon standing for several hours. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the product to be the conjugated compound 4 (Scheme 3, Figure 3). In this case, an FLP domino reaction occurs in which the sterically encumbered borane activates the less hindered alkyne, thus prompting nucleophilic attack from the more protected alkyne, which is itself attacked by the nucleophilic ester moiety. Interestingly, the formation of 4 from the cyclization of 1 with the Lewis acid B(C6F5)3 is in stark contrast to the formation of 2 from 1 by using PhSeCl. Although bio- and biomimetic domino reactions are often acid-catalyzed, the use of boron compounds in Lewis acid mediated annulation reactions is comparatively rare and remains largely unexplored.[24,25]

The structure of 4 consists of an isobenzofuran bicycle connected by a double bond to an indene moiety. Although the isobenzofuran heterocycle and the indene ring are conjugated, they do not lie perfectly in the same plane in the solid state, with the angle between the two rings being 17.99(11)°. The fact that this system does not lie in the same plane clearly reflects the steric constraints in the molecule, which is also revealed in the NMR data. In addition, the phenyl substituent in 4 also rotates out of the plane of the indene ring by 67.87(10)°, thus permitting it to adopt a near coplanar geometry (12.52(9)°) with one of the C6F5 rings of the B(C6F5)3 moiety with a centroid–centroid distance of just 3.4785(16) Å. This value is somewhat shorter than that observed for other C6F5···C6H6 contacts (3.642(10) Å).[34]

The 11B NMR chemical shift for 4 in solution occurs at δ = -15.0 ppm as a very sharp singlet, which is synonymous with four-coordinate vinyl borate species.[9] Conversely, the 19F NMR spectrum is more complex and indicates chemical inequivalence of the three C6F5 rings, thus suggesting some degree of restricted rotation around the boron–indene bond brought about by the coordination of B(C6F5)3 to the bulky organic fragment in 4. Three discrete resonances are observed in the 19F NMR spectrum for the para- and meta-fluorine atoms: δ = -160.7 ppm (para-F) and δ = -165.5 ppm (meta-F). In addition, the ortho-positions within each C6F5 ring are also inequivalent, thereby resulting in six distinguishable signals between δ = -123.0 and -136.1 ppm. This steric hindrance is also observed in the space-filling model (see the Supporting Information), which clearly shows a very sterically encumbered boron center in which the arene ring of the indene unit interlocks between two C6F5 rings.

In conclusion, the reactions of soft and hard Lewis acids with dienyl esters show contrasting reactivity that is unprecedented in FLP chemistry. The divergent reactivity of these systems allows novel heterocyclic systems to be generated that incorporate main-group elements. To date, the addition reactions of FLPs to alkynes have been limited to hard Lewis acids, with the use of softer Lewis acids in FLP-type chemistry being comparatively rare. Indeed, we are continuing to explore the utility of softer Lewis acids in FLP-type reactions.
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