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Stress is one of the principal external factors affecting the magnetization of materials. The magnetomechanical effect, that is, the change of magnetization of a magnetic material resulting from the application of stress, has attracted attention because of its scientific complexity. An improved model equation for interpreting the magnetomechanical effect has been developed based on extension of the previous equation to include the Rayleigh law. According to the previous theory of the magnetomechanical effect, which is based on the “law of approach,” application of stress induces changes in magnetization toward anhysteretic magnetization which itself is stress dependent, and the rate of change of magnetization with the input elastic energy is dependent on the displacement of the prevailing magnetization from the anhysteretic magnetization. The theory has been refined by including a linear term in the model equation in addition to the well-known quadratic term. It was found that the modified theory provides a much better description of the magnetization changes under stress, particularly at small applied stress amplitudes and when the stress changes sign. © 2003 American Institute of Physics.

where \( \alpha \) is a dimensionless mean field parameter representing interdomain coupling and \( H \) is the applied field.

Then the total effective field \( H_{\text{eff}} \) including the stress contribution is

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = H + \alpha M + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\mu_0} \left( \frac{d\lambda}{dM} \right) (\cos^2 \theta - \nu \sin^2 \theta) \tag{3}
\]

where \( \theta \) is the angle between the axis of the applied stress \( \sigma_0 \) and the axis of the magnetic field \( H \), and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio.

Based on symmetry, an empirical model for magnetostriction can be given as

\[
\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i M^{2i}. \tag{4}
\]

If we use an approximation to the magnetostriction by including the terms up to \( i=1 \), this gives

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = H + \alpha M + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\gamma_1 \sigma_0}{\mu_0} (\cos^2 \theta - \nu \sin^2 \theta) M. \tag{5}
\]

Figure 2 is the calculated result based on Eq. (5) which uses the effective field theory. From this figure, we can see that the slope of the magnetization versus stress curve at zero stress is zero, which is not in total agreement with experimental results.

In many cases the stress can be included in the form of a perturbation to the magnetic field. The key to this description is to provide a means by which both magnetic field and stress can be treated similarly in the equations. However, not all magnetomechanical behavior can be explained by the effective field theory. For example, at larger stresses this approximation is no longer valid since magnetic field and stress have different effects on the magnetization.

A model theory of the changes in magnetization that a ferromagnetic material undergoes when subjected to an applied uniaxial stress has been described previously.\(^6\) The change in magnetization on application of stress can be described by Eq. (6), in which the rate of change of magnetization with elastic energy is proportional to the displacement of the magnetization from the anhysteretic magnetization:

\[
\frac{dM}{d\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\mu_0^2} \sigma (1-c)(M_{\text{un}} - M_{\text{an}}) + c \frac{dM_{\text{an}}}{d\sigma}. \tag{6}
\]

Figure 3 is a calculated result based on Eq. (6). Without the linear term, the slope of the magnetization versus stress curve must be zero at zero stress. This does not occur in practice. In other words, this model equation needs to be modified in order to give predictions that are in agreement with observations.

**DEVELOPMENT OF MODEL THEORY OF MAGNETOMECHANICAL EFFECTS**

The Rayleigh law, which describes hysteretic behavior in magnetization at low field strengths, can be expressed as

\[
M = \chi_a H \pm \eta H^2 \tag{7}
\]

where \( \chi_a \) is the initial susceptibility and \( \eta \) is called the Rayleigh constant; the + is for positive field use, and the − for negative field for the initial magnetization curve.

Rayleigh also showed that the hysteresis loop was composed of two parabolas

\[
M = (\chi_a - \eta H)H + \frac{\eta}{2} (H^2 - H_+^2), \tag{8}
\]

\[
M = (\chi_a + \eta H)H - \frac{\eta}{2} (H^2 - H_-^2), \tag{9}
\]

where Eqs. (8) and (9) present ascending and descending portions of the loop, respectively. \( H_+ \) and \( H_- \) are the maximum fields applied.

From Eq. (7), we will have \( M_+ = \chi_a H_+ + \eta H_+^2 \) and \( M_- = \chi_a H_- - \eta H_-^2 \), where \( M_+ \) and \( M_- \) are the magnetization at maximum magnetic field \( H_+ \) and \( H_- \). Substituting these into Eqs. (8) and (9), we obtain

\[
M - M_+ = \chi_a (H - H_+) - \frac{\eta}{2} (H - H_+)^2, \tag{10}
\]

\[
M - M_- = \chi_a (H - H_-) + \frac{\eta}{2} (H - H_-)^2. \tag{11}
\]

According to Brown,\(^7\) the effect of stress on magnetization can be expressed using an equation that is very similar to the Rayleigh law. In this derivation the fractional change in volume is

\[
\Delta V = \frac{V - V_0}{V_{\text{tot}}} = \alpha |\sigma| + \beta \sigma^2 \tag{12}
\]

where \( V_0 \) is the original volume before any domain wall movement and \( V_{\text{tot}} \) is the total volume of the sample; \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants depending on domain wall type. From this, in the simplest case of spin-up and spin-down domains, it is easily shown\(^8\) that

\[
\Delta M = 2M_s \Delta V; \tag{13}
\]
therefore if there is a change in volume of the domains \( \Delta V \) a corresponding change of magnetization \( \Delta M \) occurs. Beginning from these definitions Eqs. (7) and (8), we can see that the equivalent expression for changes in magnetization is

\[
\Delta M = 2M_s(\alpha|\sigma| + \beta \sigma^2),
\]

and this equation is true whether stress is increasing in the positive direction (tension) or the negative direction (compression). That is the important difference between this stress dependent equation and the normal field dependent Rayleigh region equation.

From this we can develop stress dependent equations for the Rayleigh region. When the stress is reduced from \( \sigma_1 \) along the descending branch, the equation governing this is

\[
\Delta M - \Delta M_+ = 2M_s \left[ \alpha(|\sigma| - |\sigma_1|) - \frac{\beta}{2} (\sigma - \sigma_+) \right]^2; \quad (15)
\]

when the stress is reduced from \( \sigma_- \) along the ascending branch, the equation governing this is

\[
\Delta M - \Delta M_- = 2M_s \left[ \alpha(|\sigma| - |\sigma_-|) - \frac{\beta}{2} (\sigma - \sigma_-) \right]^2. \quad (16)
\]

In other words, these curves are actually symmetric, unlike the analogous curve of magnetization versus field.

RESULTS OF CURRENT INVESTIGATION

Based on Eqs. (15) and (16), a model equation with an additional linear term has been developed:

\[
\frac{dM}{d\sigma} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - c) (M_{an} - M_{irr}) (\sigma \pm \eta E) + c \left( \frac{\sigma}{E \pm \eta} \right) \frac{dM_{an}}{d\sigma}; \quad (17)
\]

where \( M_{an} \) is the anhysteretic magnetization, \( \sigma \) is the stress, \( M_{irr} \) represents the irreversible component of magnetization, \( E \) is the relevant elastic modulus, \( c \) describes the flexibility of the magnetic domain walls, \( \varepsilon \) has been defined previously, and \( \eta \) is a coefficient that represents the irreversible change in the magnetization with the action of a stress.