Bhoja, Bhojpur And The Bhumija
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In the history of temple architecture in India, one conspicuous phenomenon in the eleventh century is the appearance of gigantic royal temples such as the Brihadishvara of Rajaraja Chola at Tanjaur (circa 1000 CE, 66m high) and the Lingaraja at Bhubaneswar (circa 1060 CE, 55m). Giant temples were the exception, however, and there are aspects other than inordinate size that characterise temple architecture at this time: a proliferating typology of temple forms, and a growing awareness, particularly in the Deccan and neighbouring parts of central India, of temple forms from other regions. In Malwa, temple architects brought out a new form from the northern or Nagara matrix, while incorporating details of southern or Dravida origin. This was the Bhumija, the temple mode favoured by the Paramara rulers.¹

These characteristics of the temple architecture of this period are reflected in the extraordinarily ambitious architectural endeavours of the great Paramara king Bhoja (ruled circa 1010 to 1055 CE). If it had been completed, his Shiva temple ("Bhojeshvara") at Bhojpur, towering over a vast artificial lake ("Bhoj Tal", or "Bhim Tal"), would have been the biggest Hindu temple in the world (see map, fig. 1.2). The temple as it stands (fig. 3.1) is not obviously Bhumija, but its detailing – and ample other evidence at the site – show it to be the creation of those craftsmen who were specialising in Bhumija temples. On the rocks surrounding the temple is the one coherent and substantial set of medieval Indian architectural drawings to have come down to us, with Bhumija forms figuring prominently, but also showing Phamsana designs and Dravida-inspired compositions. Bhoja’s other most significant architectural achievement is in the textual realm: his great compendium of architectural knowledge, the Samardignasasuradhara. Just as Bhumija temples display knowledge of other kinds of temple, this famous Vastu Shastras incorporates material dealing with different temple-building traditions: Nagara (several chapters, apparently from different sources), Vavata and Bhumija.

The Bhumija seems first to have appeared around the end of the tenth century. In its general form it springs from the single-spired Latina mode of Nagara temple. The spines (latās, ‘creepers’) of the Latina sikha remain, rising above cardinal projections that take the form of emergent barrel-roofed shrines, Dravida-esque in detail. The remaining segments become kīṭastambhas, miniature sikharas on pilasters or stambhas, arranged in tiers (bhūmās or “grounds”, hence “Bhumija”). Composite
(anekāṇḍa) temple forms had already become established within the Nagara traditions, including the fully-fledged Shekhari mode, in which minor sikhara forms emerge sequentially along the cardinal axes. In overall formal terms, the Bhumiya, notwithstanding its Dravida elements, may be seen as another composite mode created from the architectural language of the Nagara, springing from a Latina matrix at a time when the anekāṇḍa possibilities of the Nagara were being brought out. This Nagara character must be qualified, however: the Samarāṇaṇasastisāstra (hereinafter SSD) treats Bhumiya temples quite distinctly from Nagara ones, and stylistic nuances evident in actual Bhumiya monuments indicate that they were almost invariably built by separate guilds specialising in that mode.

In contrast to the Shekhari, the Bhumiya does not represent a stage in a continuous process of transformation, but appears to have been invented, or rather drawn forth all at once. And its nature did not lend itself so easily to a further, gradual blossoming, as its range of underlying shapes was inherent in the basic idea. For any of its three alternative plan forms, a specific type can be defined simply by the number of projections and the number of bhūmis. The three classes of plan do seem to have appeared in successive stages: first the orthogonal (caturastra) plan, stepping forward; then the stellate plan (yṛtto, ‘circular’), generally maintaining the cardinal projections (bhādras), but otherwise based on a star-shape; finally a further stellate class with eight bhādras (aṣṭākāḷa), four orthogonal and four diagonal. All three plan forms are dealt with in the SSD.

The earliest surviving remains of Bhumiya temples are probably those now lying in hundreds of fragments at the little-known site of Ashapur, only 6 km from Bhojpur. This would once have been the major urban settlement in the area, and is important for understanding Bhojpur because it was almost certainly mainly from here that Bhoja brought the craftsmen to work at a vastly bigger scale on his new royal complex. The remains at Ashapur attest to continuous activity in temple construction from at least the ninth to twelfth centuries, spanning the Prathihara and Paramara periods. We can see that the school of Nagara temple architecture that worked there must have used both Latina temples and experimented with anekāṇḍa forms, and among the debris of Bhumiya shrines one can witness a new knowledge of Dravida forms, and a transition from sensuous plasticity to a new linear elegance. Figure 3.2 illustrates this stylistic shift, which is more than simply a matter of the shape of the gavākṣa motifs making up the jākt patterns.

The Bhumiya in the Bhojpur line drawings This love of line in the works of the new Bhumiya school is palpable in the beautiful drawings engraved on the rocks at Bhojpur, which can be appreciated as works of art even though it is clear that they were made for practical purposes of design, quarrying of suitably sized stones, and construction. The rockface of the site became both the drawing board and the quarry for the building project, as elephants hauled gigantic mouldings and pillars up the long earthen ramp still visible a thousand years on. When work stopped, the temple stood as the massive cube of masonry we see today, a little over 19 m square on plan. The west façade is dominated by great doorway, while the remaining three sides are smooth, relieved only by simple string courses and blind balconies. Within, four twelve-metre pillars define nine bays, the large central one covered by a weighty corbelled ceiling and housing the huge, gleaming Shiva linga. The platform in front of the temple, not formally integrated, seems to belong to a slightly later stage, when work must have resumed; but the temple itself, except for some recent renovations, remains as it appeared at the first interruption, perhaps at the death of Bhoja.

Of the twenty or so significant drawings at Bhojpur, here we shall examine just five: those relating to the Bhumiya temple form. The illustrations in this paper are redrawn from a measured survey on site. Three of the examples are plans. The small drawing D1 (fig. 3.3) is a clear half plan, with five projections, of which the intermediate ones are merged with the bhādra to create a central cluster corresponding to the garbhagriha width. It is a typical size for a minor temple, and doubtless intended for a lesser shrine at the site. It may well be the plan for the small Bhumiya temple of which the remnants lie just a few metres to the west. The drawing conforms to the prescription in the SSD for the ratio of walls to garbhagriha in Bhumiya temples: divide the initial square (defining the corners) into ten, and make the garbhagriha six parts and the walls two parts each. The Jamaleshvara temple at Jamli in western Malwa is very similar in plan form and virtually the same size (figs. 3.4 and 3.5).

Drawing G1 (fig. 3.6) is the smallest of the plans at Bhojpur, and represents a stellate form of the Bhumiya mode. With three points between each pair of bhādras, constructed on the basis of a rotated square, this kind of plan is the stellate equivalent of the paharathatha orthogonal plan seen at Jamli, and suggests an equivalent five-tier (pahartāthatha) elevation (fig. 3.7). The drawing is probably not from the initial phase of Bhojpur. Less than a metre across, the plan has certainly been drawn at a reduced scale, and is possibly the demonstration of an idea rather than a blueprint for a specific building. The well-preserved line is more thinly chiselled than most of the other drawings at the site. Despite the clarity of the line, the construction of the drawing is not as regular as it might appear at first. The underlying star diagram is of sixteen points, but the match is approximate. The proportion of garbhagriha to wall (measured to the corner) is more or less 4:1, rather than the 6:2 prescribed for all Bhumiya temples in the SSD.

Drawing A1, by contrast, is some 25 m across, yet represents only part of a plan (fig. 3.8). It shows four deep and frenetically faceted projections: corner element (karna), half the central projection (bhādara) and two intermediate projections (pratikarna and pratiśhātra). As in a stepped-diamond or a stellate plan, small nandi projections emerge in the re-entrant angles between the main ones. In the interior of the plan can be seen the positions of pilasters and pillars.

Turning to elevation and section drawings, it is here rather than in plans that the curveousness of the Bhojpur line drawings can be enjoyed. Drawing B2 (fig. 3.9) is a large drawing of base mouldings, of a
(anēkāṇḍaka) temple forms had already become established within the Nagara traditions, including the fully-fledged Shekhari mode, in which minor sikhara forms emerge sequentially along the cardinal axes.

In overall formal terms, the Bhumija, notwithstanding its Dravida elements, may be seen as another composite mode created from the architectural language of the Nagara, springing from a Latina matrix at a time when the anēkāṇḍaka possibilities of the Nagara were being brought out. This Nagara character must be qualified, however: the Samarāṇagopapāstīrāhāra (hereinafter SSD) treats Bhumija temples quite distinctly from Nagara ones, and stylistic nuances evident in actual Bhumija monuments indicate that they were almost invariably built by separate guilds specialising in that mode.

In contrast to the Shekhari, the Bhumija does not represent a stage in a continuous process of transformation, but appears to have been invented, or rather drawn forth all at once. And its nature did not lend itself so easily to a further, gradual blossoming, as its range of underlying shapes was inherent in the basic idea. For any of its three alternative plan forms, a specific type can be defined simply by the number of projections and the number of bhūmis. The three classes of plan do seem to have appeared in successive stages: first the orthogonal (caturāśra) plan, stepping forward; then the stellate plan (vyṛtta, ‘circular’), generally maintaining the cardinal projections (bhadras), but otherwise based on a star-shape; finally a further stellate class with eight bhadras (asṭasālā), four orthogonal and four diagonal. All three plan forms are dealt with in the SSD.

The earliest surviving remains of Bhumija temples are probably those now lying in hundreds of fragments at the little-known site of Ashapuri, only 6 km from Bhojpur. This would once have been the major urban settlement in the area, and is important for understanding Bhojpur because it was almost certainly mainly from here that Bhoja brought the craftsmen to work at a vastly bigger scale on his new royal complex. The remains at Ashapuri attest to continuous activity in temple construction from at least the ninth to twelfth centuries, spanning the Pratihara and Paramara periods. We can see that the school of Nagara temple architecture that worked there built luscious Latina temples and experimented with anēkāṇḍaka forms, and among the debris of Bhumija shrines one can witness a new knowledge of Deccani Dravida forms, and a transition from sensuous plasticity to a new linear elegance. Figure 3.2 illustrates this stylistic shift, which is more than simply a matter of the shape of the gavākṣa motifs making up the jāla patterns.

The Bhumija in the Bhojpur line drawings This love of line in the works of the new Bhumija school is palpable in the beautiful drawings engraved on the rocks at Bhojpur, which can be appreciated as works of art even though it is clear that they were made for practical purposes of design, quarrying of suitably sized stones, and construction. The rockface of the site became both the drawing board and the quarry for the building project, as elephants hauled gigantic mouldings and pillars up the long earthen ramp still visible a thousand years on. When work stopped, the temple stood as the massive cube of masonry we see today, a little over 19m square on plan. The west façade is dominated by great doorway, while the remaining three sides are smooth, relieved only by simple string courses and blind balconies. Within, four twelve-metre pillars define nine bays, the large central one covered by a weighty corbelled ceiling and housing the huge, gleaming Shiva linga. The platform in front of the temple, not formally integrated, seems to belong to a slightly later stage, when work must have resumed; but the temple itself, except for some recent renovations, remains as it appeared at the first interruption, perhaps at the death of Bhoja.

Of the twenty or so significant drawings at Bhojpur, here we shall examine just five: those relating to the Bhumija temple form. The illustrations in this paper are redrawn from a measured survey on site. Three of the examples are plans. The small drawing D1 (fig. 3.3) is a clear half plan, with five projections, of which the intermediate ones are merged with the bhadrā to create a central cluster corresponding to the garbhagṛha width. It is a typical size for a minor temple, and doubtless intended for a lesser shrine at the site. It may well be the plan for the small Bhumija temple of which the remnants lie just a few metres to the west. The drawing conforms to the prescription in the SSD for the ratio of walls to garbhagṛha in Bhumija temples: divide the initial square (defining the corners) into ten, and make the garbhagṛha six parts and the walls two parts each. The Jamaleshvara temple at Jamli in western Malwa is very similar in plan form and virtually the same size (fis. 3.4 and 3.5).

Drawing G1 (fig. 3.6) is the smallest of the plans at Bhojpur, and represents a stellate form of the Bhumija mode. With three points between each pair of bhadrās, constructed on the basis of a rotated square, this kind of plan is the stellate equivalent of the paṭaracātra orthogonal plan seen at Jamli, and suggests an equivalent five-tier (paṭacābhūm) elevation (fig. 3.7). The drawing is probably not from the initial phase of Bhojpur. Less than a metre across, the plan has certainly been drawn at a reduced scale, and is possibly the demonstration of an idea rather than a blueprint for a specific building. The well-preserved line is more thinly chiselled than most of the other drawings at the site. Despite the clarity of the line, the construction of the drawing is not as regular as it might appear at first. The underlying star diagram is of sixteen points, but the match is approximate. The proportion of garbhagṛha to wall (measured to the corner) is more or less 4:1, rather than the 6:2 prescribed for all Bhumija temples in the SSD.

Drawing A1, by contrast, is some 25m across, yet represents only part of a plan (fig. 3.8). It shows four deep and frenetically faceted projections: corner element (karna), half the central projection (bhadrā) and two intermediate projections (pratikārṇa and pratibhadrā), so the complete side corner-to-corner would have seven projections (saptarātha). As in a stepped-diamond or a stellate plan, small nandī projections emerge in the re-entrant angles between the main ones. In the interior of the plan can be seen the positions of pilasters and pillars.

Turning to elevation and section drawings, it is here rather than in plans that the curvaceousness of the Bhojpur line drawings can be enjoyed. Drawing B2 (fig. 3.9) is a large drawing of base mouldings, of a
style redolent of the new ‘Bhumija tradition’. It shows a lofty pitha surmounted by the lower portion of a vedibandha. The design is essentially like the platform of the Bajamanal, Vidisha, the only other Paramara monument approaching Bhojpur in scale, and also incomplete (fig. 3.10, see also figs. 4.1 and 4.2). This drawing has lovely, foliated, voluted excrescences, drawn neither in section nor elevation in modern terms, but conveying the spirit of a widespread kind of carved fringe. Despite its flowery character, drawing B2 is the full size detail for some of the cyclopean moulding pieces lying forlorn around the site. Before work was abandoned, numerous pieces had been cut for just the lower two layers, the jādyakumbha and the karnaka, and a few for the khara base with its frieze of leaves and pearl swags.

Drawing J1 (fig. 3.11) is engraved on a large, irregularly shaped, shiny slab in the floor of the Bhojpur temple platform, just in front of the temple entrance. This is the largest and most complete and detailed medieval drawing of a sikhara surviving, and shows a pañcaratha (five-projection), pañcabhūmi (five-storey) orthogonal Bhumija elevation like that of Jamli, up to the level of the skandha (shoulder). At the top is a tall vedika, with stambhas in it. The line of this drawing is thin and dotted, and a similar economy rules the information that the drawing gives. The kūpāstambha profiles are very freely drawn in the available spaces between the main framework. It is not the details but the nature and proportions of the framework that are conveyed meticulously: the overall curvature, the diminishing widths of the rathas, the bhūmi heights, centre lines, and the horizontal divisions on the lata, evenly spaced for construction of the gavakṣa grid. This could be a working drawing, a reference for setting-out the main dimensions at the different levels as the tower construction rises, or it could be a theoretical diagram. In fact, the modular proportions found in this Bhumija elevation at Bhojpur correspond closely to the given plans for Bhumija temples in the Samarāyagamasthāḍhāra. It is also the drawing that comes closest to the text in its succinct communication of an underlying design framework to be fleshed out by somebody familiar with the architectural language in which it has been conceived.

Bhumija Temples in the Samarāyagamasthāḍhāra (SSD)

Chapter 65 of the SSD, on Bhumija temples, stands out for its coherence and its complexity. The argument is tightly woven, with its own mathematical logic. It does not explicitly classify a temple type in terms of the number of projections, or of the number of points of the underlying diagram of a stellate plan, and of the number of bhūmis, but has a brain-teasing character demanding that these things be deduced from its instructions. As the Bhumija form did not evolve gradually but was invented, albeit through a recasting of existing forms, the text did not need to incorporate passages from venerated earlier texts, nor account for a myriad of designs created through practice. Rather, without many built examples to draw upon, it could lay out the possibilities inherent in the system. Surviving Bhumija temples are relatively close to the prescriptions of the SSD, perhaps because the theory and practice developed side by side in a way that would not have been possible in older and more disparate traditions.

The chapter describes four types of orthogonal temple, seven stellate, and five apāśālā types. Most of the types described are not found among built examples, but are convincing and eminently buildable. Here we briefly illustrate three of the types found in actual practice, beginning with the second orthogonal one, the Malayadri (fig. 3.12; SSD, Ch. 65, verses 24-37). This is the pañcaratha and pañcabhūmi, like the temple at Jamli and the sikhara drawing at Bhojpur, each of which comes close to the proportional prescriptions of the text, with certain notable differences. The text has already explained that the initial square should always be divided into ten bhūgas (parts), of which six are occupied by the garbhaṅghra. Now we learn that for this type, and most of the others, the original division into ten should be re-divided to give a new bhūga size for the exterior divisions of the plan. The bhada is always five of the bhūgas derived for the exterior divisions. The sikhara height (measured from the top of the second storey up to the ved) is given as twelve of the original ten bhūgas, and we are now told that this height is to be re-divided to give yet another bhūga size for the vertical divisions within the sikhara. On the basis of these bhūgas, the ascending bhūmi are to be reduced from stage to stage by one quarter of a bhūga. This principle is followed for all the Bhumija temple types, and the respective bhūmi heights can be worked out from the overall height that is given.

For the Malayadri, we are told that the sālā (i.e. the shrine image in the bhada), which as already noted usually has Dravida detailing, should be Nagara. In the drawing (fig. 3.12) I interpret this to mean that it is crowned by a Nagara gavākṣa pediment (sinhakarna) instead of the usual Dravida mahānālī, and Nagara rather than Dravida kājas on the corners.

The third of the stellate types in the text is the Kamalādīgha (fig. 3.13; SSD, Ch. 65, verses 89-100), the stellate equivalent of the Malayadri in terms of the number of projections and levels. A built example close to this type is the Mahakeshvara, Un (fig. 3.14). The underlying geometry implied by the text is a 20-point star, allowing the bhada to be five out of the fourteen bhūgas of the re-divided exterior. A 16-point star, as in drawing G1 at Bhojpur and which we might expect to be typical, yields an unacceptably narrow bhada. Similarly, a thirty-two point star would seem a likely basis for a plan with seven projections corner to corner, as in the superb Udayeshvara (or Nilakanthesvara) temple, Udayapur (fig. 3.16), dedicated in 1080 by Paramara king Udayaditya. However, the instructions for the Shatashringa temple in the SSD, Chapter 65 (fig. 3.15; SSD, Ch. 65, verses 109-120) result in a star of twenty-eight points. Careful measurement of the Udayeshvara reveals that a twenty-eight point star is indeed the basis there. The ratio between the garbhaṅghra width and the corner-to-corner with 6:10 in the text, is about 5.7:10, while the ratio of the bhada and the square of the plan is about 5:18, as opposed to the 5:19 prescribed for the Shatashringa type. In terms of vertical dimensions, the Udayeshvara has a proportionately shorter jāthāgha and a considerably loftier sikhara than the Shatashringa, and the bhūmi heights diminish faster towards the top. The proportions of Bhumija temples do deviate to some extent from the prescriptions given in the SSD, but in general principles
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For the Malayadri, we are told that the sālā (i.e. the shrine image in the bhadra), which as already noted usually has Dravida detailing, should be Nagara. In the drawing (fig. 3.12) I interpret this to mean that it is crowned by a Nagara gavākṣa pediment (śimhakarpā) instead of the usual Dravida mahānādi, and Nagara rather than Dravida kuṭās on the corners.

The third of the stellate types in the text is the Kamaradbha (fig. 3.13; SSD, Ch. 65, verses 89-100), the stellate equivalent of the Malayadri in terms of the number of projections and levels. A built example close to this type is the Mahakaleshvara, Un (fig. 3.14). The underlying geometry implied by the text is a 20-point star, allowing the bhadra to be five out of the fourteen bāgas of the re-divided exterior. A 16-point star, as in drawing G1 at Bhojpur and which we might expect to be typical, yields an unacceptably narrow bhadra. Similarly, a thirty-two point star would seem a likely basis for a plan with seven projections corner to corner, as in the superb Udayeshvara (or Nilakanthesvara) temple, Udayapur (fig. 3.16), dedicated in 1080 by Paramara king Udayaditya. However, the instructions for the Shatashringa temple in the SSD, Chapter 65 (fig. 3.15; SSD, Ch. 65, verses 109-120) result in a star of twenty-eight points. Careful measurement of the Udayeshvara reveals that a twenty-eight point star is indeed the basis there. The ratio between the garbhagṛha width and the corner-to-corner with, 6:10 in the text, is about 5.7:10, while the ratio of the bhadra and the square of the plan is about 5:18, as opposed to the 5:19 prescribed for the Shatashringa type. In terms of vertical dimensions, the Udayeshvara has a proportionately shorter jaṅghā and a considerably loftier śikāra than the Shatashringa, and the bhūmi heights diminish faster towards the top. The proportions of Bhumija temples do deviate to some extent from the prescriptions given in the SSD, but in general principles
they are surprisingly close. One might never know how to look for these principles without the clues provided by the text.

The Design of Bhojpur

Returning to Bhojpur, the clues to the intended design of Bhoja’s temple are not textual ones, but lie in the line drawings and abandoned mouldings scattered around. Earlier writers have suggested that the present stark surfaces were the intended treatment of the exterior, and that this would have been a funerary shrine crowned by a pyramidal Phamsana or Samvarana roof. This is plausible, until the evidence on the site falls into place. Several of the larger drawings are full-size details of parts of the temple as actually built: the giant pillars, the pilasters of the interior and the doorway, the plan of the façade, the balconies. Not surprisingly, some of the other drawings turn out to be full-size designs for parts never completed. Drawing B2 (Fig. 3.9) is indeed the design for the base of the temple, as shown by the corresponding size and detail of some of the huge mouldings at the site.

Drawing A1 is one of two part plans that seem, at some point, to have been alternative options for the mūlaprāśāda of the temple itself. There may have been plans for an attached mandapa, or more likely the temple was intended to have four entrances leading directly into the mūlaprāśāda (making it ‘svatvabhūda’ in the present-day scholarly terminology). A perfect correspondence becomes apparent between the temple as existing and drawing A1. Not only does their respective column spacing coincide, all bound together by grid of 18 x 18 squares, but the corner of the shrine as built has actually been drawn. The existing cube, then, was to be the garbhagrha, encased by a generous, pillared ambulatory (Fig. 3.17). In effect an ambulatory mandapa, this space has no known equivalent other than a few prodigious Nagara conceptions of the SSD, as far as we know never realised. It would have been truly awe inspiring, a great, lofty cavern enfolding the mighty inner core.

At this time, and given the style of everything remaining at Bhojpur, this kind of plan can only imply a Bhumiya temple, even if no other Bhumiya temple is sāṅdhāra, i.e. with anādhārika or internal ambulatory. Externally, the projections of the plan indicate kūtāstambhas clustered with unprecedented density, jostling one in front of the other on their overlapped plan projections, with nandikūtāstambhas strangely hidden inside dark slots, their imaginary shafts deeply embedded within the temple body, and with only the corners of their spires poking out in the heights above. Seven projections imply seven bhūmīs, so the probable composition can be sketched, with a pīthā and a vedibandha scaled to drawing B2. Even if the jāṅgā (wall zone) is kept as low as possible, it is difficult to arrive at a convincing elevation less than 100m high, taller by a third than the Brihadishvara at Tanjavur. If completed, the sikhara of the Bhojeshvara would have ranked, as the centuries passed, with the loftiest monuments the world over. Mirrored in its lake, doubled up like an expanding Shiva līṅga, there could be no profile more suitable than a Bhumiya one for the architectural pinnacle of Bhoja’s great project.

BIBLIOGRAPHY


References:


2. The numbering system that I have adopted is based on letters given by the ASI to the different zones of the site, with numbers allocated individual drawings.

3. See Hardy, Theory and Practice, p. 256 and fig. 6.1.


5. The other is drawing B1, which yields a slightly smaller temple design: see Hardy, Theory and Practice, figures 2.13, 2.73, 2.74.
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2. The numbering system that I have adopted is based on letters given by the ASI to the different zones of the site, with numbers allocated individual drawings.

3. See Hardy, Theory and Practice, p. 256 and fig. 6.1.


5. The other is drawing B1, which yields a slightly smaller temple design: see Hardy, Theory and Practice, figures 2.13, 2.73, 2.74.

Fig. 3.1 Bhojapur: ur (Dist. Raisen). The site today, with temple and earthen ramp, eleventh century.
Fig. 3.2 Ashapuri (Dist. Raisen). Gavahala left, from the Nagara tradition, circa early-tenth century; right, from a Bhumija temple, circa early-eleventh century.

Fig. 3.3 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Drawing D1.

Fig. 3.4 Jamli (Dist. Dhar). Jamaleshvara temple, a pathcarutha, pathcubhāmi Bhumija temple, south-west side circa second half of eleventh century (photo: Doria Tekit).
Fig. 3.2 Ashapuri (Dist. Raisen). Gavatāra left, from the Nagara tradition, circa early-tenth century; right, from a Bhumija temple, circa early-eleventh century.

Fig. 3.3 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Drawing D1.

Fig. 3.4 Jamli (Dist. Dhar). Jamaleshvara temple, a pātāla-cakra, pātāla-cakrāṃi Bhumija temple, south-west side circa second half of eleventh century (photo: Doria Ticht).
Fig. 3.5 Analysis of drawing D1 and comparison with plan of Jaleshvara, Jamil, circa late-eleventh century.

Fig. 3.6 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Drawing G1.

Fig. 3.7 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Analysis of drawing G1, with probable corresponding elevation.
Fig. 3.5 Analysis of drawing D1 and comparison with plan of Jamaleshvara, Jamili, circa late-eleventh century.

Fig. 3.6 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Drawing G1.

Fig. 3.7 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Analysis of drawing G1, with probable corresponding elevation.
Fig. 3.10 Vidisha (Dist. Vidisha). Plinth of the Bija mandal, south side, circa second half of eleventh century.

Fig. 3.11 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Drawing J1.
Fig. 3.10 Vidisha (Dist. Vidisha). Plinth of the Bija mandal, south side, circa second half of eleventh century.

Fig. 3.11 Bhojpur (Dist. Raisen). Drawing J1.
Fig. 3.12 The Malayadri temple, drawn from the instructions in SSD, Chapter 65.

Fig. 3.13 The Kamalodhava temple, drawn from the instructions in SSD, Chapter 65.
Fig. 3.12 The Malabadi temple, drawn from the instructions in SSD, Chapter 65.

Fig. 3.13 The Kamalodhava temple, drawn from the instructions in SSD, Chapter 65.
Fig. 3.14 Un (Dist. West Nimar). Mahakaleshvara (no. 2) temple, stellate equivalent of pancaratha, pancabuddhi, south-east side, eleventh century.

Fig. 3.15 The Shashtringa temple, drawn from the instructions in SSD, Chapter 65.
Fig. 3.14 Un (Dist. West Nimar). Mahakaleshvara (no. 2) temple, stellate equivalent of pancaratha, pancabhumi, south-east side, eleventh century.

Fig. 3.15 The Shatashringsa temple, drawn from the instructions in SSD, Chapter 65.
Fig. 3.16 Udayapur (Dist. Vidisha). Udayasvvara temple, stellate equivalent of saptaratha, saptabhairavi, south-west side, circa AD 1080.

Fig. 3.17 Centre: reconstructed plan and sketch elevation of the Shiva temple, Bhojpur; left: plan and section of existing; right: Brihadisvara temple, Tanjavur, eleventh century, at the same scale.
Fig. 3.16 Udayapur (Dist. Vidisha), Udayeshvara temple, stellite equivalent of saptarathy, seven-hundred, south-west side, circa AD 1080.

Fig. 3.17 Centre: reconstructed plan and sketch elevation of the Shiva temple, Bhojpur; left: plan and section of existing; right: Bhradishvara temple, Tanjavur, eleventh century, at the same scale.