

1 **Regulating Animal Health, Gender and Quality Control: a study of veterinary surgeons in Great**
2 **Britain**

3

4

5 **Keywords:** Bovine tuberculosis; Regulation; Surveillance; Gender; Veterinary Surgeons; Performance
6 Indicators

7

8 Dr Gareth Enticott
9 School of City and Regional Planning
10 Cardiff University
11 Glamorgan Building
12 King Edward VII Avenue
13 Cardiff
14 CF10 3WA

15

16 Tel: 02920876243

17 Email: enticottg@cardiff.ac.uk

18

19

20 **Author's Post-Print. Full reference:**

21 Enticott G, 2012, "Regulating animal health, gender and quality control: A study of veterinary
22 surgeons in Great Britain" *Journal of Rural Studies* **28** 559-567

23

24

25 **Regulating Animal Health, Gender and Quality Control: a study of veterinary surgeons in Great**
26 **Britain**

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Given the agricultural origins of rural sociology, it is surprising to find few mentions of the veterinary
30 profession or accounts of the work of veterinary surgeons in rural sociology. Whilst, accounts exist of
31 the work of agronomists, soil advisors, crop scientists, organic advisors, pollution inspectors and
32 other agricultural advisors (see for example: Bell, 2004; Henke, 2008; Ingram, 2008; Lowe et al.,
33 1997; Morris, 2010), the veterinary profession is mainly linked to studies investigating sources of
34 farmers' knowledge (for example: Sligo and Massey, 2007), rather than specifically focusing on the
35 actions and practices of vets. By contrast, studies of medical doctors have played a profound role in
36 shaping modern sociology and although there are many journals of medical sociology, there are no
37 equivalents for animal disease or the veterinary profession. The outbreak of Foot and Mouth Disease
38 (FMD) in 2001 in Great Britain has, however, helped to stimulate a nascent sociological interest in
39 the veterinary profession. Combined with theoretical approaches from science and technology
40 studies, research has begun to focus on the practices of animal health regulation (Enticott, 2012;
41 Law and Mol, 2011; Roe et al., 2011; Singleton, 2010) as well as critically engaging with veterinary
42 concepts such as biosecurity (Bingham and Hinchliffe, 2008; Donaldson, 2008; Enticott, 2008).

43 This paper aims to contribute to this growing social scientific interest in the veterinary profession, by
44 focusing on the role of veterinary surgeons in conducting surveillance for animal diseases. In the
45 United Kingdom, vets in private practice are routinely called on by the Government to conduct tests
46 for diseases that are subject to international trading restrictions. Private vets were integral to
47 bringing the 2001 FMD outbreak under control but have been historically involved in fighting other
48 endemic animal diseases such as bovine Tuberculosis (bTB) and Brucellosis in cattle. However, there
49 are few sociological studies that have investigated this work, the skills involved or the most

50 appropriate organisational structures that are required to ensure that regulation can occur
51 efficiently. In addressing these gaps, this paper therefore concentrates on the role of vets in
52 conducting surveillance for animal diseases. It seeks to critically analyse attempts to monitor the
53 performance of vets conducting bTB tests using a system of performance indicators. In doing so, the
54 paper shows that the results of bTB tests significantly vary according to the gender of the veterinary
55 surgeon conducting the test and argues that sociological investigation of the practices of vets is
56 essential in designing effective regulatory frameworks for animal health.

57

58 **2. Bovine Tuberculosis, Veterinary Regulation and Performance Management**

59 Bovine tuberculosis is a serious infectious disease affecting cattle in Great Britain and is particularly
60 common in south-west England and West Wales. In 2009, over 25,000 cattle were compulsorily
61 slaughtered following a positive test for bTB (Defra., 2010). Managing the disease costs the
62 Government approximately £100m per annum but attempts to eradicate the disease are
63 complicated by the involvement of the badgers. Whilst badgers are a disease vector, they are also
64 protected species and cultural symbolic form of wildlife in the UK (Enticott, 2001, 2011).

65 The primary means of detecting bTB is by using a single intradermal comparative cervical test, also
66 known as the 'skin test', usually carried out by veterinary surgeons acting on behalf of the
67 Government (for details see: de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006). Farms in areas where levels of bTB
68 are high are tested at least on an annual basis. Where infected cattle are found, farms are prohibited
69 from moving any livestock onto or off their farm until all cattle pass subsequent tests. The bTB test
70 has a strict protocol detailed in the Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency's (AHVLA)
71 operations manual (Animal Health, 2010) and in European Union Directive 64/432 (Council of the
72 European Economic Community, 1964). On completing a test, vets are required to certify that they
73 have completed the test according to these rules.

74 Recently, however, a number of high profile cases have publicised concerns over substandard bTB
75 testing practices amongst veterinary surgeons. In 2006, a farmer in Devon complained about the
76 quality of a bTB test after a bull reacted to the test. When it emerged that the vet had not followed
77 the correct procedure, a review into testing practices was commissioned by the UK Government
78 which found that the testing protocol was routinely broken by practicing vets (DNV Consulting,
79 2006). Unease about the variability of the quality of the application of the skin test by vets was
80 raised by the Independent Scientific Group analysing the effect of badger culling on bTB incidence
81 (Independent Scientific Group, 2003). In 2011, a vet was struck off the register of veterinary
82 surgeons for 10 months after failing to conduct a bTB test according to the test protocol (Anon,
83 2011) and a whole practice banned from bTB testing in 2012 (Anon, 2012). In general, concerns have
84 related to the failure to check all animals have been tested, failure to measure the skin thickness of
85 all cattle using callipers, failure to prepare the injection site adequately and/or application of
86 subjective judgement by vets over what constitutes a positive test (see Enticott, 2012).
87 Organisational cultures in veterinary practices appear to play an important role in creating and
88 legitimising such bTB testing practices that diverge from the standard testing protocol (Enticott,
89 2012).

90 Similar worries about the variation of medical standards are present in public health research
91 (McDonald et al., 2005; Waring et al., 2007; Waring, 2005). Whilst some of this research has stressed
92 the value of intuitive knowledge held by health professionals (Currey and Botti, 2006; Currey et al.,
93 2006), others have stressed the need to find ways to overcome cultural barriers that prevent health
94 professionals from making errors or following standardised guidelines and protocols (Dixon-Woods
95 et al., 2009). One approach traditionally used in the public and private sectors has been to use a
96 system of performance management and performance indicators to assess individuals' or
97 organisations' outcomes, effectiveness and/or efficiency. A performance management system is a
98 collection of indicators that reflect an individual's or organisation's progress to specific aims and
99 objectives, and which can be compared with other similar individuals or organisations (Audit

100 Commission, 2000). More often than not, performance indicators (PIs) will be statistical, although
101 they may also be qualitative or classified into groups (such as the labels 'excellent', 'good', 'fair' or
102 'poor').

103 In the last 20 years, performance management regimes have emerged as a common tool to help
104 judge the effectiveness of public organisations, but they are also an important way of encouraging
105 individuals to change and adapt their behaviour. The emergence of performance management is
106 associated with the what has been called the New Public Management (Hood, 1991) – broadly the
107 application of private sector management and accounting techniques to the public sector. In the UK,
108 these methods have been used extensively within public sector as part of the Labour Government's
109 public service modernisation agenda (Boyne, 1998). Although this approach began in the 1980s, the
110 use and number of PIs rapidly increased during the 1990s and 2000s as the Labour government
111 sought to ensure minimum standards and demonstrate improved performance in health, education
112 and other public services.

113 For some, these techniques help an organisation to focus on results rather than process and reward
114 achievement rather than failure (Osborne and Gaebler, 1992). Thus, studies have recorded how
115 systems of metrics, targets and performance management are associated with improved outcomes
116 within the public sector (Bevan and Hood, 2006; Boyne and Chen, 2008). Others however have
117 criticised the effect of PIs for eroding trust within the public sector and creating perverse
118 organisational behaviour by encouraging gaming and fraud (Mather, 2003; Power, 1997; Public
119 Administration Select Committee, 2003).

120 Whilst PIs may be used to report on organisations as a whole, they may also be used by individuals
121 to improve their own performance. In effect, PIs provide a means of self-surveillance: individuals can
122 rate their performance against others and see how much they need to improve. At the same time,
123 the publicness of these PIs creates coercive social pressures to improve performance. By making
124 performance visible, adaptations in behaviour should follow as most people do not want to be seen

125 to be different. However, as some studies have shown, there is no guarantee that individuals will
126 seek to maximise their behaviour. Indeed, regression to the mean has many advantages as
127 overachievement may bring other penalties, such as loss of social status and self-image amongst
128 one's peers (Smith, 1993). Other studies have shown that comparisons of performance indicators
129 between organisations are often false where they fail to take into account the effect of different
130 environmental contexts (Andrews, 2004; Andrews et al., 2006).

131 Concerns over the quality of bTB testing have led some countries to develop performance
132 management systems to monitor the performance of vets. In Ireland, a suite of performance
133 indicators derived from bTB testing data is used by Government regional veterinary officers. Where
134 appropriate, they are able to issue warnings and sanctions to individual vets (Duignan, 2009).
135 Despite concerns over the quality of bTB testing in the UK, there are currently no performance
136 indicators or management systems aimed at providing vets with increased knowledge of their or
137 their colleagues performance. However, using existing bTB testing data, it is possible to calculate
138 relevant PIs such as the number of reactors per 1000 cattle tested which could be used to assess the
139 performance of vets. Potentially, using PIs like these could generate socially relevant coercive
140 pressures to follow bTB testing procedures more closely. These coercive pressures may arise either
141 through the knowledge that Government is monitoring individual performance, or through the
142 publication and sharing of performance indicators between vets in practice. Indeed, if practice
143 cultures and social relations are important in legitimising alternative testing practices (Enticott,
144 2012), then PIs may offer a way of reversing this using the same social mechanisms. However, were
145 a system of PIs to be implemented in the UK, it would be important that they had legitimacy
146 amongst veterinary practitioners, and that they were not systematically biased between vets with,
147 for example, different social characteristics. If so, presenting figures for comparison could result in
148 perverse changes in behaviour or wrongly identify 'failing' vets.

149

150 **3. Materials and Methods**

151 To test the validity of a performance management regime for veterinary regulation, the rest of this
152 paper examines the potential of one PI: Reactors per 1000 cattle tested (henceforth RpT). RpT was
153 chosen as an exemplar indicator as it focuses on the main outcome of a bTB test – the number of
154 animals testing positive or reacting to the test. Traditionally this figure has been used to express
155 incidence of bTB in any given area, but as noted in the previous section, given the subjectivities of
156 the bTB skin test, it may also reflect vets’ own testing practices (Enticott, 2012).

157 The study used data extracted from the Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency’s
158 (AHVLA) Vetnet database. The database holds records of all bTB tests and is used for epidemiological
159 analysis of disease trends. The aim of the analysis was to highlight any systematic differences that
160 would compromise comparisons between different vets’ performance and render its behavioural
161 change properties problematic. To do this, results of all bTB tests were drawn from Vetnet for the
162 years 2004-2009 in three counties of England and Wales: Devon, Dyfed and Gloucester. These
163 counties were chosen because they have high levels of bTB incidence.

164 The dataset records the location of each test as defined by the county that the farm is located
165 within. Finer geographical analysis is possible, but for anonymity reasons was not made available by
166 AHVLA. For similar reasons, the location of each practice was not provided. However, whilst the
167 dataset’s focus on the spatial distribution of disease may serve veterinarian requirements from
168 epidemiological modelling, this “architecture” embeds a view that social characteristics are not
169 important in the regulation of animal health. As this architecture is fixed, it presents the following
170 problems. Firstly, at an organisational level, it is not possible to compare the results of bTB tests
171 between veterinary practices. This is because when data is extracted from Vetnet, only the current
172 practice is recorded against each vets’ test results. As many vets are likely to have changed practice
173 over time, practice level aggregations will be inaccurate except for the most recent cases. Secondly,
174 most social characteristics of vets are absent. The age or length of service of each vet is not recorded

175 within the dataset. Gender is recorded, although it must be deduced from the vets title (e.g. Mr,
176 Mrs, Miss etc). Fortunately, unlike their medical counterparts, in Great Britain vets are not given the
177 title of “Doctor”, otherwise this would have made any analysis of social characteristics impossible.
178 The gender of Government veterinarians is also not recorded. For this reason, only tests conducted
179 by private vets are included in the analysis.

180 The aim of the analysis was to look for systematic differences within the data between different
181 types of vet, rather than compare data between counties. In fact, this would have merely reflected
182 differences in disease incidence, rather than differences in practice. Because of the limited social
183 characteristic data in Vetnet, the gender of veterinary surgeons forms the basis of the analysis. It is
184 hypothesised that there should be no difference between gender and the results of a bTB test.
185 However, although gender was the only variable available for analysis, it does appear to be highly
186 relevant. There have been broad concerns about the impact of the feminisation of the veterinary
187 profession, particularly upon large animal practice (Lofstedt, 2003; Lowe, 2009) and several studies
188 have shown that gender is associated with injuries sustained during veterinary work. Directly related
189 to this study, Wilkins et al (2009) show that the gender of veterinary surgeons is related to the injury
190 rate sustained by vets at bTB tests. They show that female vets are 3.3 times more likely to sustain
191 an injury during a bTB test, although this may over-estimate the actual rate due to non-response
192 bias (Wilkins et al., 2009). Gabel and Gerberich (2002) find that decreased risk of injury to
193 veterinarians is associated with male gender and experience (older vets were less injury prone).
194 Arguably, however, the fact that gender is the only social variable in the dataset potentially also
195 reinforces a focus on gender roles as being of prime importance to the future of the veterinary
196 profession rather than encouraging a broader and less reductionist debate about the abilities of
197 different veterinary surgeons¹.

¹ Thanks to an anonymous reviewer for this suggestion.

198 Data were converted from a raw text file into SPSS format for analysis for all tests in each county.
199 Where practices conducted tests in neighbouring counties, data for those tests are not included. RpT
200 was calculated for each test by dividing the number of reactors by the number of cattle tested and
201 multiplying by 1000. Gender was coded according to the title of the vet. From these data, mean RpT
202 by gender, test type, county and year was calculated. For there to be no relationship between
203 gender and RpT we should expect there to be similar RpT for male and female vets. That is to say,
204 RpT for male vets should not be consistently higher or lower across the 6 year period when
205 compared to their female counterparts. Analysis was performed in SPSS using a series of
206 independent t-tests, and using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and logistic regression.

207 Results of the skin test can be interpreted in two ways: standard interpretation or severe
208 interpretation if a farm has had a confirmed case of bTB in the past². However, a significant
209 limitation with the data was the absence of any indication of the interpretation at which the test was
210 read. Conflating results read at severe interpretation with those at standard interpretation might
211 affect the calculation of RpT. To accommodate these problems, separate RpTs have been calculated
212 for routine tests and short interval tests. Routine tests are never interpreted on severe
213 interpretation, but short interval tests (tests that occur when a herd has bTB) may. As short interval
214 tests represent a different form of epidemiological investigation they deserve separate analysis.
215 Other individual animal tests such as pre-movement tests and tracing tests have been excluded from
216 the analysis.

217

218 **4. Results**

² Following a positive bTB test, cattle are slaughtered and a necropsy performed. If tuberculous lesions are found, this confirms bTB infection and the herd becomes subject to much stricter controls. This involves interpreting subsequent bTB tests at 'severe interpretation' until no more reactors are discovered. Severe interpretation effectively makes it harder for cows to pass the test.

219 Data were provided for a total of 97522 bTB tests conducted by private vets. Gender information
220 was missing for 7559 tests, and 14 tests had no vet identification number. A further 12674 tests
221 were excluded as they did not test the whole herd and served a different epidemiological purpose³.
222 These were excluded leaving a total of 77275 bTB tests conducted between 2004-9. The tests were
223 performed by 873 vets. On a yearly basis, the number of bTB testing vets was lower, ranging from
224 416 in 2004 to 555 in 2009, an increase present in all counties. Overall, the proportion of female vets
225 rose over time from 30.5% to 39.6%. On a regional level this change was more pronounced in Dyfed,
226 rising from 30.8% to 42.7%. Fewer female vets were found in Gloucestershire (27.2% in 2004, and
227 33.9% in 2009). The increase in the total number of vets and the proportion of female vets both
228 reflects the increase in levels of disease during this time, and the ongoing feminisation of the
229 profession. Overall, female vets conducted around eight fewer bTB tests than their male colleagues
230 each year. Although there were only small differences between male and female vets in the average
231 herd size of bTB tests, male vets tested more animals (as suggested by differences in the number of
232 tests), but also those male vets testing most frequently did so to a much greater extent than the
233 most frequently testing female vets (see table 1).

234 *[insert table 1 here]*

235 Table 1 shows that there are statistically significant differences between overall levels of RpT
236 between male and female vets, and separately for all years except 2004. The gap is highest in 2008
237 when male vets RpT was 8.41 compared to the female rate of 5.81. Tables 2 and 3 disaggregate
238 these results according to test type and location. When routine tests and short interval tests are
239 analysed separately, overall male RpT is higher than female RpT for both types of tests ($p=0.000$).
240 When these data are analysed by year a similar pattern emerges. For routine tests, analysis shows
241 that the differences between male and female RpT were statistically significant ($p<0.05$) in three out

³ These included tracing tests (tests of individual animals that have moved from herds that subsequently suffered from bTB), and retests of inconclusive reactors. Only routine or short interval tests were included in the analysis. Tests which farmers pay for, such as pre-movement tests or export tests were also excluded.

242 of six years. For short interval tests, RpT differences in four out of six years were statistically
243 significant.

244 *[insert table 2]*

245 When data are analysed at a county level, similar patterns emerge, but variations between counties
246 are observable which may reflect different disease profiles of each county. When data is pooled for
247 all years, male and female RpT is significantly different ($p=0.000$) for routine tests in Dyfed, but not
248 for the other counties. For short interval tests, RpT differences are also significantly different in
249 Devon and Dyfed. When data for routine tests are analysed separately by year and county, however,
250 further differences can be found between male and female RpT. In Dyfed, the largest difference
251 between male and female RpT is 3.79 and in 5 out of 6 years, male vets have higher RpT than
252 females. These differences are statistically significant ($p<0.05$) in three cases. In Devon, less variation
253 was recorded. Although female RpT was lower in five out of six years, none of these differences
254 were statistically significant and the largest difference was when male RpT was highest. Results for
255 Gloucestershire show the highest difference of 4.81 (in 2006). Male vets have higher RpT in 4 of the
256 6 years, but only two years are statistically significant. Overall, for routine tests, in 13 out of 18 cases
257 (72%) male vets consistently found more reactors than female vets, but only five of these differences
258 were statistically significant (27%).

259 *[insert table 3]*

260 This pattern was even more evident in short interval tests. In Dyfed, for all 6 years, male vets have
261 higher RpT than females with five of these differences being statistically significant. The average
262 difference in RpT is 5.35 and the most it differs by is 7.0. These differences were less pronounced in
263 the other study areas. In Devon, female RpT was lower in five out of six years, with 4.45 the highest
264 difference being 4.45. In three of these years the difference was statistically significant. In
265 Gloucestershire, RpT was lowest for female vets for 4 out of 6 years. The largest differences between

266 male and female RpT were for years when male RpT was highest, but no differences were
267 statistically significant. Overall, for short interval tests, in 15 out of 18 cases (83%) male vets
268 consistently found more reactors than female vets, and eight (44%) were statistically significant.

269 An Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression was used to check that the associations between gender
270 and veterinary sector remained present when controlling for herd size. It is possible that these
271 associations are influenced by the nature of the herd being tested. For example, if reactors are
272 disproportionately found in large herds, were male vets from private practices more likely to only
273 test large herds, this may affect their RpT. The dependent variable used was the number of reactors
274 for each test. Independent variables included: number of cattle tested and number of inconclusive
275 reactors. Dummy variables were used for gender, test type (routine or short interval), county and
276 year of test. Results indicate that these independent variables explain 12% of the variation in the
277 number of reactors (see table 4). Nine of eleven independent variables are significantly associated
278 with reactor numbers. The strongest associations are between the number of inconclusive reactors
279 and cattle tested. Unsurprisingly, reactors are more likely to be found in short interval tests. Gender
280 is also positively associated with the number of reactors: the dummy variable indicates that tests by
281 male vets are associated with the discovery of more reactors. Overall these results suggest that the
282 gender of the veterinary surgeon conducting the bTB test is related to the number of reactors found
283 when controlling for herd size, but the effect appears to be small.

284 Logistic regression shows that the chances of a male vet finding a bTB infected herd are about 17%
285 greater than a female vet (Odds Ratio 1.167, CI 1.116 – 1.221). Moreover, larger breakdowns are
286 more likely to be found by male vets. For TB tests that found five or more reactors, these tests were
287 approximately 53% more likely to be conducted by male vets (OR 1.531, CI 1.407 – 1.665). When
288 only herds of above average size (more than 118 cattle) were included in the analysis, a similar
289 pattern was found. Male vets were more likely to find a herd with at least one reactor than female
290 vets (OR 1.119 CI 1.055 – 1.187). Male vets were also more likely to find large breakdowns: they

291 were 49% more likely to find a breakdown of more than 5 reactors than female vets, and
292 approximately 78% more likely to diagnose a breakdown of more than 10 reactors (see table 5 and
293 6).

294 *[insert table 5 and 6]*

295

296 **5. Discussion**

297 Overall these data suggest that male vets are more likely to identify infected cattle than female vets
298 during a bTB test. Differences are present on an annual basis, and at a county level. There are fewer
299 significant differences when data is analysed both spatially and temporally, but the evidence still
300 points to a relationship between the outcome of a bTB test and the gender of the vet. In particular,
301 results for short interval tests consistently reveal differences in RpT between male and female vets.
302 These results suggest that disease reporting could vary considerably simply because of the gender of
303 the vet conducting disease surveillance.

304 Primarily, these results have a number of implications for the quality control of disease surveillance.
305 The presence of systematic biases in the data questions the use of RpT as a performance indicator
306 and means of behavioural change. A key aim of any performance monitoring system is to allow
307 comparisons between individual scores and the overall distribution of scores, such as in a league
308 table, in order to drive behaviour change by establishing, and making visible, social norms. However,
309 this analysis questions whether this is desirable for disease surveillance. Firstly, it seems that
310 regional versions of RpT are required to allow vets to make meaningful comparisons with their
311 colleagues' performance. However, the grouping of statistics into spatial units provides no guarantee
312 of avoiding ecological fallacies. Vets' own knowledge of local epidemiological variations within
313 counties may also contribute to the rejection of RpT as an accurate measure of performance by vets.

314 The need for a range of different versions of RpT will add to the cost of production and
315 dissemination, as well as making comparisons less than straightforward and potentially out of date.

316 Secondly, whilst RpT may have succeeded in identifying variations in performance between different
317 groups of vets – a key role for any performance indicator – the difference between male and female
318 vets is both highly problematic and interesting for social scientists interested in gender and rural
319 studies. The results raise the question of why such variations exist and question the notion that
320 gender has no explanatory power by itself. In presenting these findings to vets in government and
321 private practice⁴, one response has been to use them to justify concerns about the feminisation of
322 the profession and female vets' suitability for farm animal practice. But despite these arguments,
323 and similar findings in other studies (Wilkins et al, 2009), *a priori* there should be no logical reason
324 for variations in the data to exist, nor can male or female RpT be said to be any more or less "right".
325 Given the work of gender theorists particularly in rural studies (Little, 1987; Whatmore, 1990), it
326 seems implausible to suggest that there is something inherent to female or male vets that makes
327 them more or less likely to find reactors without considering the underlying social conditions and
328 structures in which female vets work.

329 One explanation may lie within the power relations within veterinary practices. The disparity
330 between male and female RpT could arise through the deliberate allocation of bTB tests by the
331 principal vet in each practice. This may result in female vets being sent to tests which are perceived
332 to be 'easier' whilst those seen to be 'difficult' or 'important' tests (such as short interval tests) are
333 allocated to male vets⁵. So-called "easy" tests may be those with good handling facilities, friendly
334 farmers and stock that are easier to manage. This kind of classification of work and customers into
335 easy/difficult groupings is an established coping mechanism amongst service workers (Mennerick,
336 1974) and also in veterinary practices (Sanders, 1994a; Sanders, 1994b). Studies of policing (Martin,

⁴ The results have been discussed with government vets in a series of seminars and workshops and with private vets as part of a related project examining vets' attitudes towards the bTB testing protocol.

⁵ This has been suggested in meetings with vets, but is also evident in Enticott (2012) and Wilkins et al (2009).

337 1999) also show how gender is associated with certain skills which affects the kinds of police work
338 allocated to female police officers. There is certainly some evidence of a different testing profile
339 between female and male vets. On average, female vets test smaller herds: the average herd size for
340 a routine test for female vets is 87 compared to 95 for male vets; and 167 compared to 185 for short
341 interval tests. Male vets are also slightly more likely to conduct short interval tests than female vets
342 (OR 1.153 CI 1.114 – 1.193). However, for this explanation to hold, the pattern of allocating bTB tests
343 must occur consistently across all practices, and relies on the same foresight and knowledge of what
344 constitutes an ‘easy’ test. Whilst it is likely that there are some biases in the way tests are
345 distributed in a practice, the results presented here suggest that in terms of size at least, they do not
346 have a significant impact upon disease surveillance: gender differences remain even when
347 controlling for these factors.

348 Another explanation put forward by vets in response to these findings is that female vets are likely
349 to be younger and may be more likely to be influenced by farmers to not record reactors. Whilst this
350 may be possible, such an explanation is also highly reductionist. Nevertheless, other research does
351 find gender differences in the practices of medical doctors. In a study of breast cancer patients,
352 Silliman et al. (1999) found that female surgeons provide better standard of care than their male
353 counterparts; Glaesmer and Deter (2002) found that male doctors write prescriptions more
354 frequently; Boulis and Long (2004) found that male and female surgeons have different referral rates
355 for different illnesses; whilst Huston et al. (2001) revealed that male doctors of similar age and
356 training are more likely to recommend hormone replacement therapy than female doctors see also
357 (Beran et al., 2007; but see Coulter et al., 1995; Rathore et al., 2001).

358 In medical research, gender differences are often explained by different styles of communication
359 between male and female doctors. In patient consultations, female doctors appear to pay more
360 attention to the history of disease presentation than male doctors (Adams et al., 2008). A meta-
361 analysis of gender effects in medical communication (Roter et al., 2002) found that female doctors

362 communicate in a different way, using more positive and emotional talk and spend more time with
363 their patients (see also Roter et al., 1991). For example, Roter et al. (1999) found that female doctors
364 were more likely to engage emotionally with patients such as through laughter. These styles of
365 behaviour have been famously referred to as 'emotional labour' (Hochschild, 1983) and whilst it has
366 been associated with female jobs such nursing (Smith, 1992), its commercialisation throughout the
367 service sector means its association with gender is disputed. Sanders (1994b) for example, shows
368 how emotional labour is practiced by all members of a veterinary practice, regardless of gender.
369 Similarly, research into different styles of environmental regulation (Hutter, 1988; Lowe et al., 1997;
370 Marsden et al., 2000) also fails to find an association between gender and persuasive and emotional
371 styles of regulation as opposed to more formal legalistic approaches. Indeed, in relation to bTB
372 testing, emotional labour may manifest itself more in other activities after the bTB test – such as
373 helping farmers complete paperwork and taking time to explain how the farmer can manage their
374 herd – rather than affect the way the disease is diagnosed.

375 An alternative explanation is that variations in RpT are due to the 'relational distance' (Black, 1976)
376 between vets and farmers. Studies of regulation show that strict interpretation of laws and rules
377 occurs when regulators are physically and culturally distant from the people and organisations they
378 are regulating. Where there are similarities or previous working relationships, approaches to
379 regulation are less formal and more negotiated (Grabosky and Braithwaite, 1986; Hood et al., 1999).
380 As vets are allowed to test their own clients, relational distance is low meaning there is potential for
381 negotiated decision making, particularly where vets have known farmers for many years.
382 Accordingly, the theory of relational distance would suggest that high levels of RpT may be the result
383 of longstanding close relationships with their clients which leads to classifying more cattle as
384 reactors when they are only inconclusive reactors. For the management of the farm and the disease
385 this practice may make sense to both vet and farmer⁶, but as it constitutes false certification is likely

⁶ Vets may reclassify inconclusive test result as positive tests according to the farm's disease status and/or if the reaction is borderline or close to being a reactor. For the farmer, this will avoid having a potentially

386 to occur only when a relationship of trust exists between the vet and the farmer (Enticott, 2012).
387 The most recent analysis of the structure of the veterinary profession (Institute of Employment
388 Studies, 2010) shows that the average age of male vets is 53 and 38 for female vets. Moreover, the
389 results from the logistic regression offer some support for this explanation: the probability of a male
390 vet finding a large breakdown is much greater than are the chances for a female vet. These
391 indications of lower relational distance may therefore help to explain to explain the higher levels of
392 RpT amongst male vets. It is conceivable that gender may act as a proxy for a set of other social
393 characteristics, including age, attitudes towards testing and relationships with farmers. The absence
394 of information on veterinarian's age in this dataset prevents confirmation of this hypothesis⁷.

395 More generally it is worth noting that low levels of relational distance have been encouraged by an
396 increasing proportion of bTB tests being handed to the private sector in the three counties studied.
397 In 2004, 9.9% of all herd tests were undertaken by vets working directly for the government. By
398 2009, this figure had almost halved, standing at 5.2% of all herd tests. Moreover, the share of
399 different types of test has also changed. The majority of tests undertaken by government vets are
400 short interval (88% in 2004, 78% in 2009) but their share of short interval tests has dramatically
401 declined. In 2004 31% of all short interval tests were undertaken by government vets, but this had
402 slumped to 6.6% in 2008 before rising again in 2009 to 11.1%. These data suggest a decreasing
403 relational distance at a time when concerns about the quality of bTB testing by private vets have
404 been increasing. If relational distance accounts for variations in RpT, then quality control efforts may
405 work better by focussing on the organisational structures of bTB testing in order to reduce the
406 possibilities of conflict of interest. As organisational research reveals, failure and deviance results
407 from the pressures and cultural norms of membership of social groups (Janis, 1972; Vaughan, 2005).

infected animal on the farm which could spread the disease and/or the cost and time of isolating the animal from the rest of the herd.

⁷ Information on the age of veterinarians is available from the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons. However, due to data protection requirements, it has not been possible to link this dataset to that analysed here.

408 Clearly, there are no satisfactory explanations to the differences found between male and female
409 vets, particularly without access to further social variables such as age and experience. This raises
410 questions about the way data from bTB tests are held by AHVLA. If concerns about the standard of
411 bTB testing are real, then a data management system capable of easily producing analysis of the
412 testing results for counties and individual vets is required. Limitations of this analysis include the
413 inability to include the interpretation applied to test results. The database should also accurately
414 collect information on vets' practice to allow analysis of variations in RpT between practices, as well
415 as hold some limited personal data such as age or date of qualification. Clearly, ongoing analysis of
416 these data is essential for a performance management regime designed to assess veterinary
417 standards and assist their improvement.

418 Finally, even with up to date and accurate information, the meaning of these indicators needs to be
419 checked with those vets who regularly test for bTB. What would they make of them? How frequently
420 would they want to see them? How would they affect their behaviour? What would farmers think of
421 them? Would this knowledge affect their choice of vet to conduct their bTB test? The best
422 performance indicators are usually drawn up in conjunction with those people they are assessing.
423 There can be little point in producing a set of performance indicators if those people believe they
424 can do little about their performance, think they are powerless to do anything about their level of
425 performance, do not trust their meaning or their publisher (see Driver, 2012) or even trust the
426 accuracy of the bTB test itself. Thus, if vets do not connect good testing practices to a range of RpT
427 values deemed to be normal, or if vets believe the number of reactors they find is down to chance,
428 then the publication of RpT is unlikely to bring about any significant changes to bTB testing practices.

429

430 **6. Conclusion**

431 This paper began calling for rural sociologists to investigate more fully the work and practices of
432 veterinary surgeons. In recent years, geographers and sociologists have begun to investigate animal
433 health and the role of the veterinarian. This aim of this paper has been to contribute to that
434 literature and encourage further analyses of the role and practices of rural vets. The data presented
435 here, although limited, reinforces the theoretical and practical value that a sociology of animal
436 health and the veterinary profession can have. The key practical aim has been to examine the
437 potential role of performance indicators to help assess and improve the quality of bTB tests by
438 private vets. In doing so, the paper revealed how veterinary regulation appears to be undertaken in
439 different ways by male and female vets. These systematic differences vary temporally and spatially,
440 but they do not appear to be related to factors associated with the test, such as herd size.

441 The findings are interesting – surprising even – in a number of ways. Firstly, they have highlighted
442 how the social and gender is written into the infrastructure of animal health governance. The
443 absence of the social information within animal health datasets reveals an historical lack of concern
444 attached to social factors rather than natural characteristics when it comes to managing animal
445 disease. The sole presence of gender within the dataset also serves to reinforce the veterinary
446 professions concerns about the feminisation of the profession, rather than encouraging a broader
447 debate about skills and training amongst veterinarians.

448 Secondly, the paper questions the applicability of performance indicators within animal health.
449 Whilst performance indicators can and are undoubtedly useful in animal health (Farm Animal
450 Welfare Committee, 2011), the findings reflect a broader concern about the uncritical use of
451 numerical governance in rural policy (Enticott and Entwistle, 2007). In this case the paper has shown
452 that there may be social dimensions to variations in the regulation of animal health. The data
453 presented here suggests that these variations may be linked to gender. However, the absence of a
454 broader set of social characteristics of animal health regulators, and concerns over the reductionist
455 nature to such an argument, mean that further research is required to unpack these variations.

456 Certainly, age, geography, length of service, experience and organisational factors need to be taken
457 into account. Whatever, for the moment at least, the paper suggests that pursuing a policy of
458 performance management in animal health may be inappropriate. Indeed, as Roe et al (2011)
459 suggest, successful inspection and regulation of animal health appears to be always more than just
460 following set procedures and dependent on a much broader set of embodied and emotional
461 practices. As this paper has suggested, variations in regulation may be related to different styles of
462 emotional labour: how this is played out in regulatory and non-regulatory veterinary settings, its
463 effect upon concepts of animal health, and its effects upon its participants is deserving of further
464 research (see Law, 2010).

465 Finally, in exploring the relationship between gender and animal health regulation, the paper has
466 also suggested that much broader organisational factors may be in play, such as differences in
467 relational distance between male vets and their clients. Although these results only relate to one
468 possible PI, they suggest that using performance indicators to assess the quality of veterinary
469 regulation has considerable problems. Rather than seeking to impose a framework of performance
470 management, the results may suggest instead that addressing some of the conflicts of interest that
471 are perpetuated by the governance of bTB testing may prove a better strategy.

472 Clearly, the implications these findings have for the bTB testing regime and veterinary regulation are
473 such that further analysis should be a priority. Further research should attempt to unpack the
474 meaning of the differences in RpT between male and female vets, as well as other animal health
475 regulatory practices. The aim should be to provide socially nuanced explanations of variations in
476 regulatory practice, rather than settling for reductionist explanations of gender. In this way,
477 invigorating a sociology of the veterinary profession will be of benefit for both animal health and
478 social science.

479

480

481 **Acknowledgements:** This research was funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (RES-
482 000-22-2578 and RES-173-27-0213). I am grateful to the AHVLA for providing the data and to
483 officials in the Welsh Assembly Government and AHVLA (Carmarthen) for their assistance and
484 comments on a previous version of this paper. I am also grateful for the comments of three
485 reviewers on a previous version of this paper.

486 **Conflicts of Interest:** None.

487 **Role of the Funder:** financial support only

488

489 **References**

- 490 Adams, A., Buckingham, C.D., Lindenmeyer, A., McKinlay, J.B., Link, C., Marceau, L., Arber, S., 2008.
491 The influence of patient and doctor gender on diagnosing coronary heart disease. *Sociology of*
492 *Health and Illness* **30**, 1-18.
- 493 Andrews, R., 2004. Analysing Deprivation and Local Authority Performance: The Implications for
494 CPA. *Public Money and Management* **24**, 19-26.
- 495 Andrews, R., Boyne, G.A., Enticott, G., 2006. Performance failure in the public sector: Misfortune or
496 mismanagement? *Public Management Review* **8**, 273-296.
- 497 Animal Health, 2010. Operations Manual. Animal Health, Worcester.
- 498 Anon, 2011. Vet to be suspended for false TB certification. *Veterinary Record* **168**, 315-316.
- 499 Anon, 2012. Anglesey vets practice banned from testing for Bovine TB, *Holyhead and Anglesey Mail*,
500 pp. Accessed 18th April, 2012.
- 501 Audit Commission, 2000. On Target: The Practice of Performance Indicators. Audit Commission,
502 London.
- 503 Bell, M.M., 2004. Farming for us all. Practical Agriculture and the Cultivation of Sustainability.
504 Pennsylvania State University Press, Pennsylvania.
- 505 Beran, M.S., Cunningham, W., Landon, B.E., Wilson, I.B., Wong, M.D., 2007. Clinician gender is more
506 important than gender concordance in quality of HIV care. *Gender Medicine* **4**, 72-84.
- 507 Bevan, G., Hood, C., 2006. Have targets improved performance in the English NHS? *BMJ* **332**, 419-
508 422.
- 509 Bingham, N., Hinchliffe, S., 2008. Mapping the multiplicities of biosecurity, in: Lakoff, A., Collier, S.
510 (Eds.), *Biosecurity Interventions: Global Health and Security in Question*. Columbia University Press,
511 New York.
- 512 Black, D., 1976. The Behaviour of Law. Academic Press, New York.
- 513 Boulis, A.K., Long, J.A., 2004. Gender differences in the practice of adult primary care physicians.
514 *Journal of Women's Health* **13**, 703-712.
- 515 Boyne, G.A., 1998. Public services under new labour: Back to bureaucracy? *Public Money and*
516 *Management* **18**, 43-50.
- 517 Boyne, G.A., Chen, A.A., 2008. Performance targets and public service improvement. *Journal of*
518 *Public Administration Research and Theory* **17**, 455-477.
- 519 Coulter, A., Peto, V., Doll, H., 1995. Influence of sex of general practitioner on management of
520 menorrhagia. *British Journal of General Practice* **45**, 471-475.
- 521 Council of the European Economic Community, 1964. Council Directive 64/432/EEC of 26 June 1964
522 on animal health problems affecting intra-Community trade in bovine animals and swine
523 (Consolidated version).

- 524 Currey, J., Botti, M., 2006. The influence of patient complexity and nurses' experience on
525 haemodynamic decision-making following cardiac surgery. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing* **22**,
526 194-205.
- 527 Currey, J., Browne, J., Botti, M., 2006. Haemodynamic instability after cardiac surgery: Nurses'
528 perceptions of clinical decision-making. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* **15**, 1081-1090.
- 529 de la Rua-Domenech, R., Goodchild, A.T., Vordermeier, H.M., Hewinson, R.G., Christiansen, K.H.,
530 Clifton-Hadley, R.S., 2006. Ante mortem diagnosis of tuberculosis in cattle: A review of the
531 tuberculin tests, [gamma]-interferon assay and other ancillary diagnostic techniques. *Research in*
532 *Veterinary Science* **81**, 190-210.
- 533 Defra., 2010. Bovine Tuberculosis: The Government's approach to tackling the disease and
534 consultation on a badger control policy. Defra, London.
- 535 Dixon-Woods, M., Suokas, A., Pitchforth, E., Tarrant, C., 2009. An ethnographic study of classifying
536 and accounting for risk at the sharp end of medical wards. *Social Science and Medicine* **69**, 362-369.
- 537 DNV Consulting, 2006. Review of TB testing procedures. Defra and the Welsh Assembly Government,
538 London.
- 539 Donaldson, A., 2008. Biosecurity after event: Risk politics and animal disease. *Environment and*
540 *Planning A* **40**, 1552-1567.
- 541 Driver, A., 2012. Defra TB Stats Delayed Due to IT Chaos, *Farmers' Guardian*.
- 542 Duignan, A., 2009. A Review of Quality Control in the National Bovine Tuberculosis Control
543 Programme in Ireland, *M.bovis V Conference 2009, 25-28th August.*, Wellington, New Zealand.
- 544 Enticott, G., 2001. Calculating nature: The case of badgers, bovine tuberculosis and cattle. *Journal of*
545 *Rural Studies* **17**, 149-164.
- 546 Enticott, G., 2008. The spaces of biosecurity: Prescribing and negotiating solutions to bovine
547 tuberculosis. *Environment and Planning A* **40**, 1568-1582.
- 548 Enticott, G., 2011. Techniques of neutralising wildlife crime in England and Wales. *Journal of Rural*
549 *Studies* **27**, 200-208.
- 550 Enticott, G., 2012. The local universality of veterinary expertise and the geography of animal disease.
551 *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* **37**, 75-88.
- 552 Enticott, G., Entwistle, T., 2007. The spaces of modernisation: Outcomes, indicators and the local
553 government modernisation agenda. *Geoforum* **38**, 999-1011.
- 554 Farm Animal Welfare Committee, 2011. Education, Communication and Knowledge Application in
555 Relation to Farm Animal Welfare. Farm Animal Welfare Committee, London.
- 556 Gabel, C., Gerberich, S., 2002. Risk Factors for Injury among Veterinarians. *Epidemiology* **13**, 80-86.
- 557 Glaesmer, H., Deter, H.C., 2002. Gender-specific aspects of physicians work: Is there a difference in
558 drug prescribing behaviour of male and female physicians. *Geschlechtsspezifische aspekte der*
559 *ärztlichen tätigkeit: Verschreiben ärzte häufiger medikamente als ärztinnen?* **52**, 386-391.

- 560 Grabosky, P., Braithwaite, J., 1986. *Of Manners Gentle. Enforcement Strategies of Australian*
561 *Business Regulatory Agencies.* Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 562 Henke, C.R., 2008. *Cultivating Science, Harvesting Power. Science and Industrial Agriculture in*
563 *California.* MIT Press, London.
- 564 Hochschild, A.R., 1983. *The Managed Heart.* University of California Press, London.
- 565 Hood, C., 1991. A New Public Management for all seasons. *Public Administration* **69**, 3-19.
- 566 Hood, C., Scott, C., James, O., Jones, G., Travers, T., 1999. *Regulation inside government waste*
567 *watchers, quality police, and sleaze-busters.* Oxford University Press, New York.
- 568 Huston, S., Sleath, B., Rubin, R.H., 2001. Physician gender and hormone replacement therapy
569 discussion. *Journal of Women's Health and Gender-Based Medicine* **10**, 279-287.
- 570 Hutter, B.M., 1988. *The reasonable arm of the law? the law enforcement procedures of*
571 *environmental health officers.* Clarendon, Oxford.
- 572 Independent Scientific Group, 2003. *Minutes.* Defra, London.
- 573 Ingram, J., 2008. Agronomist-farmer knowledge encounters: An analysis of knowledge exchange in
574 the context of best management practices in England. *Agriculture and Human Values* **25**, 405-418.
- 575 Institute of Employment Studies, 2010. *The 2010 RCVS Survey of the UK Veterinary and Veterinary*
576 *Nursing Professions.* IES, Brighton.
- 577 Janis, I., 1972. *Victims of Groupthink.* Houghton Mifflin, Boston.
- 578 Law, J., 2010. Care and killing. Tensions in veterinary practice, in: Mol, A., Moser, I., Pols, J. (Eds.),
579 *Care in Practice. On tinkering in clinics, homes and farms.* Transcript Verlag, Bielefeld, pp. 57-72.
- 580 Law, J., Mol, A., 2011. Veterinary Realities: What is Foot and Mouth Disease? *Sociologia Ruralis* **51**, 1-
581 16.
- 582 Little, J., 1987. Feminist perspectives in rural geography: an introduction. *Journal of Rural Studies* **3**,
583 335-342.
- 584 Lofstedt, J., 2003. President's Message: Gender and Veterinary Medicine. *Canadian Veterinary*
585 *Journal* **44**, 533-535.
- 586 Lowe, P., 2009. *Unlocking Potential: A Report on Veterinary Expertise in Food Animal Production.*
587 Defra, London.
- 588 Lowe, P., Clark, J., Seymour, S., Ward, N., 1997. *Moralizing the environment countryside change,*
589 *farming and pollution.* UCL Press, London.
- 590 Marsden, T., Flynn, A., Harrison, M., 2000. *Consuming interests the social provision of food choice.*
591 UCL, London.
- 592 Martin, S.E., 1999. Police Force or Police Service? Gender and Emotional Labor. *The ANNALS of the*
593 *American Academy of Political and Social Science* **561**, 111-126.

- 594 Mather, G., 2003. Beyond targets, towards choice. *Political Quarterly* **74**, 481-492.
- 595 McDonald, R., Waring, J., Harrison, S., Walshe, K., Boaden, R., 2005. Rules and guidelines in clinical
596 practice: A qualitative study in operating theatres of doctors' and nurses' views. *Quality and Safety in*
597 *Health Care* **14**, 290-294.
- 598 Mennerick, L., 1974. Client Typologies: A Method of Coping with Conflict in the Service Worker-
599 Client Relationship. *Sociology of Work and Occupations*, 396-418.
- 600 Morris, C., 2010. Environmental knowledge and small-scale rural landholding in south-west England.
601 *Geographical Journal* **176**, 77-89.
- 602 Osborne, D., Gaebler, T., 1992. *Reinventing Government*. Addison-Wesley Publ. Co., Reading, MA.
- 603 Power, M., 1997. *The Audit Society*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 604 Public Administration Select Committee, 2003. *On Target? Government by Measurement*. The
605 Stationery Office, London.
- 606 Rathore, S.S., Chen, J., Wang, Y., Radford, M.J., Vaccarino, V., Krumholz, H.M., 2001. Sex differences
607 in cardiac catheterization: The role of physician gender. *Journal of the American Medical Association*
608 **286**, 2849-2856.
- 609 Roe, E., Buller, H., Bull, J., 2011. The performance of farm animal assessment. *Animal Welfare* **20**, 69-
610 78.
- 611 Roter, D., Lipkin Jr, M., Korsgaard, A., 1991. Sex differences in patients' and physicians'
612 communication during primary care medical visits. *Medical Care* **29**, 1083-1093.
- 613 Roter, D.L., Geller, G., Bernhardt, B.A., Larson, S.M., Doksum, T., 1999. Effects of obstetrician gender
614 on communication and patient satisfaction. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* **93**, 635-641.
- 615 Roter, D.L., Hall, J.A., Aoki, Y., 2002. Physician gender effects in medical communication: A meta-
616 analytic review. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **288**, 756-764.
- 617 Sanders, C., 1994a. Biting the hand that heals you: encounters with problematic patients in a general
618 veterinary practice. *Society and Animals* **2**, 47-66.
- 619 Sanders, C.R., 1994b. Annoying owners: Routine interactions with problematic clients in a general
620 veterinary practice. *Qualitative Sociology* **17**, 159-170.
- 621 Silliman, R.A., Demissie, S., Troyan, S.L., 1999. The care of older women with early-stage breast
622 cancer: What is the role of surgeon gender? *Medical Care* **37**, 1057-1067.
- 623 Singleton, V., 2010. Good farming. Control or care?, in: Mol, A., Moser, I., Pols, J. (Eds.), *Care in*
624 *Practice. On Tinkering in Clinics, Homes and Farms*. Transcript Verlag, Bielefeld, pp. 235-256.
- 625 Sligo, F.X., Massey, C., 2007. Risk, trust and knowledge networks in farmers' learning. *Journal of*
626 *Rural Studies* **23**, 170-182.
- 627 Smith, P., 1992. *The Emotional Labour of Nursing*. Macmillan, Basingstoke.

- 628 Smith, P., 1993. Outcome-related performance indicators and organisational control in the public
629 sector. *British Journal of Management* **4**, 135-151.
- 630 Vaughan, D., 2005. The normalization of deviance: signals of danger, situated action, and risk, in:
631 Montgomery, H., Lipshitz, L., Brehmer, B. (Eds.), *How professionals make decisions*. Lawrence
632 Erlbaum, London, pp. 255-276.
- 633 Waring, J., Harrison, S., McDonald, R., 2007. A culture of safety or coping? Ritualistic behaviours in
634 the operating theatre. *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy* **12**.
- 635 Waring, J.J., 2005. Beyond blame: Cultural barriers to medical incident reporting. *Social Science and*
636 *Medicine* **60**, 1927-1935.
- 637 Whatmore, S., 1990. *Farming Women: Gender, Work and Family Enterprise*. Macmillan, London.
- 638 Wilkins, M.J., Bartlett, P.C., Judge, L.J., Erskine, R.J., Boulton, M.L., Kaneene, J.B., 2009. Veterinarian
639 injuries associated with bovine TB testing livestock in Michigan, 2001. *Preventive Veterinary*
640 *Medicine* **89**, 185-190.

641

642

643

Year of bTB test	Vet gender	Number of Vets	Number of Tests Conducted				Number of Cattle Tested				Test Characteristics	
			Maximum No. Tests	Mean No. Tests	Standard Deviation	Total Tests	Maximum Cattle Tested	Average Cattle Tested	Standard Deviation	Total Cattle Tested	Average Herd Size	Mean Test RpT
2004	Female	127	95	16.94	19.95	2152	12198.00	1705.09	2259.22	216546	101	5.54
	Male	289	196	26.08	25.98	7536	31021.00	2991.39	3696.38	864512	115	5.88
2005	Female	150	88	21.32	21.80	3198	12799.00	2413.22	2870.61	361983	113	4.85 **
	Male	303	222	27.21	28.17	8245	43278.00	3287.20	4197.80	996023	121	6.69
2006	Female	168	90	20.24	20.35	3400	14631.00	2080.24	2454.68	349481	103	3.79 **
	Male	308	168	28.33	28.58	8727	35308.00	3504.30	4308.77	1079323	124	5.25
2007	Female	179	113	21.43	21.41	3836	11889.00	2252.22	2617.45	403147	105	3.95 ***
	Male	319	134	28.59	29.03	9121	34696.00	3429.56	4249.39	1094031	120	6.49
2008	Female	203	93	23.56	22.31	4783	18279.00	2582.53	3040.93	524253	110	5.81 ***
	Male	331	143	30.05	30.85	9945	36863.00	3684.34	4584.61	1219518	123	8.41
2009	Female	220	109	24.18	22.88	5320	16669.00	2746.32	3206.02	604191	114	5.17 **
	Male	335	188	32.87	32.70	11012	47721.00	4230.75	5393.32	1417301	129	6.39
All Tests	Female	373	113	21.67	21.69	22689	18279.00	2349.19	2821.74	2459601	108	4.88 ***
	Male	500	222	28.96	29.44	54586	47721.00	3538.84	4469.01	6670708	122	6.57

644

645 **Notes:**

646 Comparison of RpT between male and female vets.

647 *** $p < 0.001$

648 ** $p < 0.05$

649 * $p < 0.1$

650

651

Table 2: Outcomes of bTB tests in different counties							
			bTB Tests	Inconclusive Reactors	Reactors	Adverse test	RpT
			Total N	Total N	Total N	Total N	Mean
short interval tests	Devon	female	3800	6884	3816	1864.00	7.90 **
		male	8782	18720	12368	4526.00	9.70
	Dyfed	female	1768	4345	2300	941.00	6.40 ***
		male	4532	18491	10325	2543.00	11.25
	Gloucestershire	female	584	825	738	243.00	11.27
		male	3069	6520	4234	1504.00	10.46
Routine tests	Devon	female	8204	6257	3035	2328.00	4.63
		male	17069	15006	7229	4900.00	5.12
	Dyfed	female	6943	5358	1505	1664.00	2.22 ***
		male	15235	16734	5562	3903.00	3.53
	Gloucestershire	female	1390	515	545	270.00	6.81
		male	5899	3613	3411	1538.00	8.32
short interval tests		female	6152	12054	6854	3048.00	7.79 ***
		male	16383	43731	26927	8573.00	10.27
Routine tests		female	16537	12130	5085	4262.00	3.80 ***
		male	38203	35353	16202	10341.00	4.98

652

Notes:

653

Comparison of RpT between male and female vets.

654

*** $p < 0.001$

655

** $p < 0.05$

656

* $p < 0.1$

			Testyear						All years
			2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
			rpt	rpt	rpt	rpt	rpt	rpt	
			Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
DEVON	short interval test	female	8.73	6.20**	4.41**	5.34***	8.95	10.74	7.90**
		male	10.22	9.27	7.80	9.79	11.27	9.71	9.70
	routine test	female	6.17	4.79	3.99	3.92	4.58	4.77	4.63
		male	4.71	5.89	4.12	5.37	5.83	4.77	5.12
DYFED	short interval test	female	4.88**	4.81**	7.28	6.63**	7.65***	5.62**	6.40***
		male	11.41	11.82	11.34	11.71	14.13	8.54	11.25
	Routine test	female	1.63	2.37	2.44	2.28	2.81***	1.66**	2.22***
		male	3.93	3.18	2.28	2.57	6.59	2.56	3.53
GLOUCESTERSH	short interval test	female	7.80	10.92	9.13	8.04	17.55	9.54	11.27
		male	9.28	8.44	9.40	8.85	13.26	10.93	10.46
	Routine test	female	7.91	8.82	3.50**	4.70**	9.00	7.42	6.81
		male	6.03	8.89	8.31	9.38	7.72	9.48	8.32
All Tests	short interval test	female	7.82	6.33***	5.55**	6.01***	9.35**	9.01	7.79***
		male	10.35	9.75	8.93	10.17	12.50	9.58	10.27
	Routine test	female	4.93	4.35	3.33	3.28**	4.12**	3.41**	3.80***
		male	4.63	5.39	3.95	4.92	6.42	4.56	4.98

658

Notes:

659

Comparison of RpT between male and female vets.

660

*** $p < 0.001$

661

** $p < 0.05$

662

* $p < 0.1$

Table 4: OLS Regression. Dependent Variable: No. Reactors per Test. N - 77,275

	Standardized	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	Coefficients Beta			Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)		-3.525	.000		
No. Inconclusive Reactors	.209	57.012	.000	.843	1.186
No. Cattle tested	.172	45.409	.000	.788	1.269
Gender (dummy variable)	.019	5.583	.000	.981	1.020
Short interval tests (dummy variable)	.070	19.268	.000	.873	1.146
County: Devon (dummy variable)	-.029	-5.728	.000	.434	2.302
County: Dyfed (dummy variable)	-.028	-5.469	.000	.431	2.321
Year: 2005 (dummy variable)	.012	2.672	.008	.537	1.863
Year: 2006 (dummy variable)	-.002	-.340	.734	.525	1.904
Year: 2007 (dummy variable)	.007	1.470	.142	.512	1.955
Year: 2008 (dummy variable)	.035	7.176	.000	.486	2.059
Year: 2009 (dummy variable)	.010	2.035	.042	.466	2.148

Adjusted R Square: 0.122
F – 974.572
sig. 0.000

663

664

Table 5: Test results by type of bTB test.					
		Number of tests	Tests with Reactors	Tests with >5 Reactors	Tests with >10 Reactors
short interval test	female	6152	1848	424	139
	male	16383	5400	1683	697
	Total	22535	7248	2107	836
Routine Tests	female	16537	1481	300	103
	male	38203	4001	1036	393
	Total	54740	5482	1336	496
All Tests	female	22689	3329	724	242
	male	54586	9401	2719	1090
	Total	77275	12730	3443	1332

665

Table 6: Results of Logistic Regressions						
		Wald	Sig.	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval	
					Lower	Upper
Tests with 1 or more reactors	Male Vet	46.332	.000	1.167	1.116	1.221
	Short Interval Test	5143.275	.000	4.246	4.081	4.417
	constant	11232.025	.000	.100		
Tests with >5 reactors	Male Vet	97.990	.000	1.531	1.407	1.665
	Short Interval Test	1531.673	.000	4.085	3.807	4.383
	constant	8512.012	.000	.018		
Tests with >10 reactors	Male Vet	68.358	.000	1.810	1.573	2.083
	Short Interval Test	618.554	.000	4.157	3.715	4.651
	constant	4916.993	.000	.006		
Tests with 1 or more reactors & >118 herd size	Male Vet	13.801	.000	1.119	1.055	1.187
	Short Interval Test	1501.483	.000	2.835	2.690	2.989
	constant	2392.593	.000	.231		
Tests with >5 reactors & >118 herd size	Male Vet	65.519	.000	1.487	1.351	1.637
	Short Interval Test	441.263	.000	2.401	2.213	2.606
	constant	3472.661	.000	.050		
Tests with >10 reactors & >118 herd size	Male Vet	53.842	.000	1.781	1.526	2.078
	Short Interval Test	171.342	.000	2.285	2.019	2.587
	constant	2471.213	.000	.017		

666

667 Notes:

668 Confidence Interval is 95%

669 Average herd size for all tests is 118