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The adsorption of molecular oxygen (enriched with $^{17}\text{O}$) onto high surface area MgO has been studied by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. The oxide surface was pretreated in such a way so that surface trapped electron F$_S^-$ (H) centers are produced. Subsequent dioxygen adsorption results in an electron transfer reaction from F$_S^-$ (H) centers to O$_2$, producing a surface stabilized superoxide (O$_2^-$) anion. The resulting EPR spectrum of the paramagnetic anion is complicated by the simultaneous presence of a high number of “normal” hyperfine lines along the principal axes and also by several off-axis extra features which have complicated previous interpretations of the $A_{yy}$ and $A_{zz}$ components. By adopting a suitable adsorption procedure which suppresses the superoxide speciation, using a highly crystalline MgO material and controlling the isotopomer composition through appropriate $^{17}\text{O}$ enrichments, the resolution of the EPR spectrum has been dramatically improved. Analysis of the $^1\text{H}$ superhyperfine structure ($[|\Lambda|/\beta_{eg} = [3.9, 2.2, 1.3]\text{G}]$), resulting from a dipolar interaction between the adsorbed O$_2^-$ anion and a neighboring OH group, and positions of the extra absorption lines in the spectrum, have provided us with auxiliary sources of information to determine for the first time the complete $^{17}\text{O}$ hyperfine tensor ($A^0/\beta_{eg} = [-76.36, 7.18, 8.24]\text{G}$). The tensor has been analyzed in detail using a localized spin model. The spin density is shared among the $2p_x^s(0.495)$, $2p_y^s(-0.024)$ and $2s(0.011)$ orbitals. The total spin density on O$_2^-$ indicates that a complete surface electron transfer from the F$_S^-$ (H) center to dioxygen occurs upon adsorption, in line with recent $ab$ initio calculations.


I. INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of oxygen species at solid surfaces has attracted the attention of several research groups over the years due to its relevance in various fields of modern science such as heterogeneous catalysis, material science, electrochemistry and corrosion. Owing to its peculiar properties, related to the $^1\Sigma_g^-$ ground state that hinders the reactivity, dioxygen is usually activated on catalytic surfaces by a gradual reduction process: O$_2^-(\gtrsim)\rightarrow$O$_{2(a)}^-(\gtrsim)\rightarrow$O$_{2(a)}^−\rightarrow$O$_{2(a)}^+$, O$_{2(a)}^−\rightarrow$O$_{2(a)}^−\rightarrow$O$_{2(a)}^+$. Many experimental and theoretical investigations have been devoted to this activation process, starting from the early stages of O$_2^-$ formation until final incorporation of the resultant O$_2^-$ ion into the oxide lattice. In particular, the EPR technique has singularly advanced our understanding of the nature of the paramagnetic oxygen intermediates appearing along this pathway, and also helped to characterize their molecular structure.

The surface adsorbed superoxide anion is usually identified on the basis of its $g$ tensor. However, the initial unambiguous proof for its formation and stabilization at a surface was obtained by analysis of the hyperfine pattern from the spectra obtained with $^{17}\text{O}$-enriched molecular oxygen. The natural abundance of this isotope, having a nuclear spin of $\frac{3}{2}$, is very low (0.038%) and the EPR spectra are dominated by the structureless signal due to the more abundant $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{18}\text{O}$ isotopes with $I=0$ (99.7%).

In a pioneering paper by Tench and Holroyd, the $^{17}\text{O}$ hyperfine structure of the superoxide anion adsorbed on polycrystalline MgO was first reported. The authors used molecular oxygen with an isotopic enrichment of 58%. The EPR powder spectrum was, however, quite complicated due to the simultaneous presence of superoxide species arising from both ($^{17}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$)$^-$ and ($^{17}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$)$^-$ superoxide species superimposed on the structureless signal of the ($^{16}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$)$^-$ isotope. Furthermore, the simultaneous presence of several distinct O$_2^-$ species on the polycrystalline surface (O$_2^-$ speciation) added more complications to the EPR spectrum. Since the symmetry of the $A^0$ tensor for the adsorbed superoxide is orthorhombic, the number of expected hyperfine lines is large and in the spectrum reported by Tench and Holroyd, only the largest component ($A_{zz}$) had a clearly
resolved structure. This was sufficient, however, to enable the authors to unambiguously establish the diatomic nature of the adsorbed species and demonstrate the magnetic equivalence of the two oxygen atoms. This latter observation indicates a “side-on” bonding of the superoxide species. The resolution of the EPR spectrum was, however, insufficient for a reliable assignment of the remaining components (A_{yy} and A_{zz}) of the hyperfine tensor, and the reported estimates (A_{yy}=0 G and A_{zz}=15 G) have never been verified by simulation of the spectrum. As a result, until now the complete ^17O hyperfine tensor of the surface O_2 radical remains still unknown.

The complete resolution of the hyperfine structure is necessary in order to understand another crucial factor related to the structure of the superoxide anion on MgO, i.e., the distribution of the electron spin density on the adsorbed species. This is important from the viewpoint of the surface chemistry, since as discussed by Tench and Holroyd, the anion is generated by electron transfer from surface point defects containing a single trapped electron [the F_5^-(H) center]. In this case the total spin density on O_2 reflects the degree of electron transfer from the defect to the adsorbed molecule. The magnetic properties of these F_5^-(H) color centers, and their subsequent electron transfer reactions with various adsorbed molecules, have been the subject of several recent investigations. In particular, it has been demonstrated that adsorbed N_2 radical anions are generated and stabilized on the MgO surface by the reaction of dinitrogen with F_5^-(H) centers at 77 K. The remarkable resolution of the N_2 spectrum was achieved, in part, by the use of a highly crystalline MgO sample with high surface area (≈300 m^2 g^{-1}), produced by a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique. The high resolution of the N_2 spectra obtained with this CVD MgO material prompted us to revisit the EPR spectrum of the adsorbed ^17O_2 anion on the same material.

The present article provides results of the simulation and in depth analysis of the complex EPR spectra obtained when dioxygen enriched in ^17O at two different levels is adsorbed on CVD MgO containing surface F_5^- (H) centers. The methodology adopted for the interpretation of the resultant powder spectrum consists in the simplification of the system by suppressing O_2 speciation, by control of the isotopomer composition and, finally, by utilization of the superhyperfine and extra absorption lines in order to determine the complete ^17O hyperfine tensor.

II. EXPERIMENT

All experiments were carried out with polycrystalline magnesium oxide prepared by a chemical vapor deposition method and kindly supplied by Professor E. Knözinger (Technische Universität, Wien). The MgO powder, with a surface area of ≈300 m^2 g^{-1}, was thermally activated at 1173 K for 1 h under a dynamic vacuum (10^{-5} Torr). The F_5^- (H) or F_5^- (D) color centers were generated on the surface of the activated oxide as described in detail elsewhere. Briefly, hydrogen or deuterium (∼100 Torr, 1 Torr=133 Pa) was added to the activated oxide at 298 K and the powder was subsequently cooled to 77 K. The sample was then irradiated using a low pressure UV mercury vapor lamp for about 1 h.

The excess H_2 or D_2 was then slowly evacuated at 298 K from the pale blue colored sample. The colored sample exhibits an EPR spectrum characterized by a hyperfine doublet due to the interaction of the electron with the proton of a neighboring OH group. The hyperfine pattern is unresolved for the F_5^- (D) center, since activation in D_2 leads to the formation of surface OD groups. The superoxide radical anion was generated by exposure of the sample to oxygen (0.5 Torr). Two different ^17O isotopic enrichment, 28% and 63%, were used. All isotopic gases were supplied by ICON SERVICE Inc. (New Jersey, USA) and used without further purification. The relative abundance P^{17}m of the isotopomers (16O_2, 17O_16O, and 17O_2) present in the gas mixture is given by P^{16.16}= (1-p)^2, P^{16.17} = 2p(1-p), and P^{17.17} = p^2, where p is the ^17O enrichment level. The number of lines and the abundance of the oxygen isotopomers are summarized in the following scheme for the two isotopic enrichments used in this study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>^17O-^16O</th>
<th>^16O-^17O</th>
<th>^17O-^17O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>(1-x)^2</td>
<td>2x (1-x)</td>
<td>x^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n° of lines: 1 6 11

The EPR spectra were recorded at 77 K on a Bruker EMX spectrometer operating at X-band frequencies. Simulations were carried out using a program (developed by Mabbs and Collison) which calculates exact solutions for the spin Hamiltonian by performing matrix diagonalization.

III. EPR SPECTRUM OF THE ^17O_2 SURFACE SPECIES

The two principal modes of superoxide adsorption correspond to a “side-on” η^2 structure with equivalent nuclei and a “top-on” η^1 structure with nonequivalent nuclei. As shown by Tench and Che, they can be readily distinguished using ^17O labeled oxygen. The case of MgO, where O_2 radicals are stabilized at the surface with both oxygens magnetically equivalent, and the case of Mo/SiO_2, where they are distinctly nonequivalent, may serve here as good examples.

The free superoxide radical exhibits a σ^2_p π_u π_g electronic configuration and the ^3Π_g/2 ground state. The corresponding magnetic moment due to orbital and spin angular momentum is proportional to A + g_Σ = ±2 and causes the g tensor to be extremely anisotropic with g_L=2(+1+1/2)L_z+2S_z+1/2=4, and g_L=2(+1+1/2)L_z+2S_z+1/2=0. As a result the powder spectrum of the superoxide radi
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FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the principal directions (X,Y,Z) for the superoxide (O$_2^-$) radical anion. See text for description of other terms.

cal will extend over a large magnetic field and consequently will be too weak to be detected, unless the orbital momentum is partially quenched by the strong interaction with the environment, as occurs for trapped or adsorbed state.

The trapped rigid species enriched in $^{17}$O ($I=\frac{5}{2}$) with equivalent nuclei usually exhibits an orthorhombic EPR spectrum that is accounted for by the following spin Hamiltonian:

$$H = \mu_B \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{S} + S^z \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I^I} \cdot \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

(1)

with $g_{zz} > g_{xy} > g_{xx}$ and $A_{zz} > A_{xy} > A_{xx}$. The $z$ direction is defined as along the internuclear axis, while $x$ and $y$ directions correspond to the in-plane and out-of-plane $2p_n$-orbitals, respectively (Fig. 1). Due to the relatively small value of the quadrupole moment of the $^{17}$O nuclei ($Q_{o} = -0.026$), the quadrupole effects ($I^I \cdot P \cdot I$) do not influence the powder pattern to an appreciable extent and can be neglected. In the case of the doubly labeled ($^{17}$O-$^{16}$O) species, it is useful to define a total nuclear spin number $I = I_1 + I_2 = (\frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{2}) = 5$ as a convenient label for the hyperfine transitions. The simulation of the spectrum has been carried out by adding the signals of the three isotopomers ($^{16}$O-$^{16}$O), ($^{17}$O-$^{16}$O), and ($^{17}$O-$^{17}$O) using the same $g$ and $A$ tensors, but weighted by their relative abundance in the gas mixture.

In a randomly oriented sample the number of features in the EPR spectrum is determined by the following condition:

$$\partial B/\partial \theta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial B/\partial \phi = 0.$$  

(2)

The three solutions, where $\theta = 0(B_z)$, $\theta = \pi/2$, and $\phi = 0(B_x)$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\phi = \pi/2(B_y)$, correspond to the usual principal components. For each principal direction of the $g$ tensor the signal is additionally split into 21 + 1 = 6 lines in the case of $^{16}$O$^{16}$O$^{-}$ isopomer and into 2n + 1 = 11 lines for $^{17}$O$^{17}$O$^{-}$ species with even nuclei. Because the nuclear magnetic moment of $^{17}$O is negative ($g_{o} = -0.7575$), the successive hyperfine satellites appearing with increasing magnetic field are transitions from $m_1 = \frac{5}{2}$ to $m_1 = -\frac{5}{2}$, for singly labeled species, and from $m_1 = 5$ to $m_1 = -5$ for doubly labeled species, respectively. The intensity of these lines and their detectability depends essentially on the degree of the oxygen enrichment. At low enrichment levels (20%–30%), the signal due to the singly labeled molecules is dominant (scheme 1), while for mixtures containing 60–70% of $^{17}$O the patterns from both singly and doubly labeled superoxide radicals can be simultaneously observed, producing up to 51 lines in the EPR spectrum.

However, this relatively simple situation becomes more complicated due to the additional effect of a large anisotropy in the $^{17}$O hyperfine tensor and a high nuclear spin quantum number. This favors the appearance of extra lines in the powder spectrum, when the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$2A_{zz} - h\nu A_z/m = (g_{zz}^2A_{zz}^2 - g_{zy}^2A_{zy}^2)/(g_{zz}^2 - g_{zy}^2) < 2A_{zz} - h\nu A_z/m,$$

(3)

where $\nu$ is the microwave frequency, and $m$ is the nuclear magnetic spin number. These extra features, also known as “overshoots,” correspond to additional solutions ($\partial B/\partial \theta = 0$ and $\partial B/\partial \phi = 0$) in the $ij$ plane, and therefore do not coincide with the principal magnetic components. The appearance of extra lines, which can exhibit significant intensity, may be used to determine some less resolved components of the experimental spectrum (vide infra).

IV. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

A. EPR spectra and determination of the spin Hamiltonian parameters

The adsorbed $O_2^-$ anion was generated on the MgO sample by bleaching the $F_0^+(H)$ color centers with a small amount of $O_2$ (<0.5 Torr) at liquid nitrogen temperature. Assuming local $C_{2v}$ symmetry for the adsorbed $O_2$ (Ref. 23) we may write

$$F_0^+(2A_1 + O_2(g)) \rightarrow F_S^+(O_{2\text{ads}})^2B_1).$$

(4)

At this reaction temperature, the $O_2$ species dominate at a single surface site (i.e., one does not observe the simultaneous presence of several groups of $O_2$ radicals with different $g$, caused by surface heterogeneity), so that a well resolved superoxide EPR spectrum of $^{16}$O$^{-}$ is obtained [Fig. 2(a)]. This spectrum is characterized by $g_{zz} = 2.0770$, $g_{xy} = 2.0083$, and $g_{xx} = 2.0016$. Closer inspection of the spectrum reveals that the $O_2$ spectral lines are actually split by a superhyperfine interaction between the $O_2$ anion and a proton from the nearby OH group. This interaction can be fully resolved ($|A_{zz}^{\text{H}}| = 3.9$ G, $|A_{zy}^{\text{H}}| = 2.2$ G, $|A_{zz}^{\text{H}}| = 1.3$ G) and the $^1H$ splitting can be rationalized in terms of a through space dipolar interaction as discussed in detail elsewhere.

Since the $O_2-H$ complex possesses a $C_2$ symmetry, the principal axes of the $g$ and $A^H$ tensors are not necessarily coincident, and the observed values may not correspond to the principal components of the proton superhyperfine interaction. In the case of the $F_0^+(D)$ centers, by comparison, the weak superhyperfine splitting due to deuterium is too small, as it can be deduced from the corresponding $A^H$ tensor ($|A^D| = g_d g_{\text{B}} |A^H| = 0.6, 0.3, 0.2$ G), and remains unresolved. All of the magnetic parameters are summarized in Table I.

The analysis of the $^{17}$O-enriched superoxide spectra [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] is rather complex but has been appreciably simplified due to two favorable circumstances. In the
first case, a negligible speciation of surface O$_2^-$ occurs, due to the low temperatures of adsorption. In the second case, a well resolved $^1$H hyperfine coupling is manifested in every line of the $^{17}$O hyperfine structure. As a result, comparison of patterns appear simultaneously.

The EPR spectra obtained by bleaching the F$_S$ centers with dioxygen containing 28% and 63% $^{17}$O are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. For the 28% enriched sample, the contribution of the doubly labeled ($^{17}$O–$^{16}$O) species to the overall spectrum is small (17%–17% = 7%) and distributed over 11 lines, which remain practically undetectable. The resulting spectrum is therefore greatly simplified, and practically displays the ($^{17}$O–$^{16}$O)$^-$ hyperfine structure only. The largest splitting corresponds to A$_{xx}$ = 67 G, which is in agreement with previous reports. However, in the case of the sample with 63% $^{17}$O enrichment, the ($^{17}$O–$^{16}$O)$^-$ and ($^{17}$O–$^{17}$O)$^-$ hyperfine patterns appear simultaneously [see stick diagram in Fig. 2(c)]. The presence of a distinct 11-line pattern clearly indicates that both nuclei are magnetically equivalent, implying the formation of a “side-on” $^7$O$_2^-$–Mg$_2^+$ electrostatic complex.

The EPR spectrum of O$_2^-$ obtained by reacting the F$_S$ centers with 28% enriched $^{17}$O is shown in Fig. 3 along with its computer simulation. Each line of the hyperfine sextet is split by 3.9 G along the x direction due to the interaction with the adjacent OH group. For clarity of presentation, the A$_{xx}$ and A$_{zz}$ lines are emphasized by the stick diagram on the simulated spectrum [Fig. 3(b)]. Two rather intense lines, marked with dots in Fig. 3(a), that are not accounted for by the A$_{xx}$ pattern, have been identified as off-axis extra absorption lines. The nature of these extra lines is discussed below in more detail.

The relative clarity and resolution of the EPR spectrum in Fig. 3 permitted us to thoroughly analyze the hyperfine coupling constants in the y and z directions, so that a complete determination of the A$^{17}$ tensor could be obtained. To illustrate this point, magnification of the g$_{yy}$ region of this spectrum is shown in Fig. 4(a) along with the corresponding simulated fragment [Fig. 4(b)]. Six hyperfine lines centered at g$_{yy}$ = 2.0083 and separated by a 7.8 G can be clearly identified, as indicated by the stick diagram in Fig. 4(a). This assignment is strongly supported by the fact that each line is additionally split into a doublet of 2.2 G. This latter value corresponds exactly to the $^1$H superhyperfine splitting of the A$_{yy}$ component determined earlier from the $^{16}$O$_2^-$ spectrum [see Fig. 2(a) and Table I]. Thus, although the $^{17}$O hyperfine lines are rather weak, the A$_{yy}$ component could be determined quite reliably.

The analysis of the g$_{zz}$ region is, by far, more demanding. The expanded fragment of this region, along with its simulation, is shown in Fig. 5. This portion of the spectrum is the most congested, due to the superimposition of the A$_{zz}$
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**FIG. 2.** EPR spectra (at 77 K) of (a) $^{16}$O$_2^-$, (b) O$_2^-$ (28% $^{17}$O enriched), and (c) O$_2^-$ (63% $^{17}$O enrichment), adsorbed on the MgO surface. The $^{16}$O$_2^-$ radical (a) was formed by reaction of $^{16}$O$_2$ with surface F$_S$(H) centers, while the $^{17}$O enriched O$_2^-$ radicals (b) and (c) were formed using F$_S$(D) centers.

**FIG. 3.** Experimental (a) and computer simulated (b) EPR spectrum of the 28% $^{17}$O enriched O$_2^-$ radical on MgO. The A$_{xx}$ and A$_{zz}$ coupling are illustrated with the stick diagrams.

**TABLE I.** Spin-Hamiltonian parameters for adsorbed $^{17}$O$_2^-$ radical ion on MgO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$g$ tensor</th>
<th>$^{17}$O hyperfine tensor (G)</th>
<th>$^1$H superhyperfine tensor (G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$g_{xx}$</td>
<td>$g_{yy}$</td>
<td>$g_{zz}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>2.0016</td>
<td>2.0083</td>
<td>2.0770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated$^b$</td>
<td>2.0016</td>
<td>2.0083</td>
<td>2.0770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^b$Values taken from Ref. 32.
pattern of \( ^{17}\text{O} \) combined with the \( g_{\perp \perp} \) component of \( ^{16}\text{O} \) (i.e., the dominant single at \( g_{\perp \perp} = 2.0770 \) with \( |A^H| = 1.3 \) G) and the \( m_1 = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( m_1 = \frac{3}{2} \) lines due to the \( A_{\times \times} \) hyperfine structure. Further complications in the assignment are created due to the presence of two off-axis extra lines (marked with dots in Fig. 5) and the absence of a resolved \( ^1\text{H} \) superhyperfine splitting, which previously proved helpful in the interpretation of the \( g_{\perp} \) and \( g_{\parallel} \) regions.

Nevertheless, although the six line \( A_{\perp \perp}^{O} \) hyperfine pattern is not very clearly resolved in the spectrum, the assignment can be corroborated by the independent evaluation of \( |A_{\perp \perp}^{O}| \) through the analysis of the positions of the five extra lines (marked with dots and asterisks in Fig. 6) which were observed in the spectra of \( ^{16}\text{O}–^{17}\text{O} \) and \( ^{17}\text{O}–^{17}\text{O} \). In the latter case, a 63\% \(^{17}\text{O} \) enriched mixture was used to generate the \( ^{17}\text{O}–^{17}\text{O} \) species. The angular dependencies of the hyperfine lines are also shown. The positions of the off-axis “overshoot” features are indicated with the * (17-17 structure) and • (16-17 structure) symbols.

V. ANALYSIS OF THE OFF-AXIS EXTRA LINES

As mentioned above, Eq. (2) may sometimes have additional solutions giving rise to extra features in the EPR first derivative powder spectrum. Such off-axis lines were first observed by Neiman and Kivelson in the hyperfine structure of copper phthalo cyanine in a \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) glass\(^ {22} \). This problem was theoretically studied by Ovchinnikov and Konstantinov\(^ {27} \), who derived general conditions for the existence of off-axis extra lines on the basis of a first order treatment which was subsequently refined to include second order terms. From the values of the experimental \( A^{O} \) tensor, and the inequivalences given by Eq. (3), it was deduced that five extra absorption lines should be expected in the \( \gamma \zeta \) plane, for the investigated superoxide radical. They correspond to \( m_1 = 3, \frac{5}{2}, 2, \frac{3}{2}, \) and 1 transitions reflecting the appearance of additional extrema in a plot of the resonance field \( B \) versus \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) angles. As an illustration, this plot along with the associated topographic map is shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively, for the \( m_1 = \frac{3}{2} \) transition. Inspection of this figure shows that while the field extrema occur only along the principal directions in the \( \gamma \zeta \) and \( \gamma \chi \) planes, for the \( \gamma \zeta \) plane there is an additional local minimum at \( \theta = 28.8^\circ \).

The relation between the \( ^{17}\text{O}_2^\ast \) EPR spectrum and the angular dependence of the resonance magnetic field in the \( \gamma \zeta \) plane for all transitions and both isotopomers is summarized in Fig. 6. The angular dependence of the resonant field was calculated using the second order approximation\(^ {15} \). The angular dependence of the magnetic field for \( ^{17}\text{O}–^{17}\text{O} \) (solid

FIG. 4. Expanded part of the \( g_{\perp \perp} \), \( g_{\parallel \parallel} \) region of the 28\% \(^{17}\text{O} \) enriched \( \text{O}_2 \) (Fig. 3). (a) Experimental and (b) computer simulation. The \( A_{\perp \perp}^{O} \) coupling is illustrated with the stick diagram. The superimposed dominant \( g_{\perp \perp} \) component due to \( ^{16}\text{O}_2 \) is also indicated.

FIG. 5. Expanded part of the \( g_{\perp \perp} \) region of the 28\% \(^{17}\text{O} \) enriched \( \text{O}_2 \) (Fig. 3). (a) Experimental and (b) computer simulation. The \( A_{\perp \perp}^{O} \) coupling is illustrated with the stick diagram. The superimposed dominant \( g_{\perp \perp} \) component due to \( ^{16}\text{O}_2 \) is also indicated.

FIG. 6. (a) Experimental and (b) computer simulated EPR spectra of 63\% \(^{17}\text{O} \) enriched \( \text{O}_2 \) generated by reaction with F\(_2\) (D) centers. The spectrum contains contribution from \( ^{16}\text{O}–^{16}\text{O} \), \( ^{17}\text{O}–^{16}\text{O} \), and \( ^{17}\text{O}–^{17}\text{O} \) species. The angular dependencies of the hyperfine lines are also shown. The positions of the off-axis “overshoot” features are indicated with the * (17-17 structure) and • (16-17 structure) symbols.
(16O\textsuperscript{17O})\textsuperscript{-} isotopomer (dotted lines) only two such features can be distinguished (the two corresponding lines are marked with dots in Fig. 6). The first peak, related to the transition \{m_1 = 3, \theta = 72.5^\circ\}, lies very close to the principal one (\theta = 90^\circ) and is therefore responsible for the anomalous intensity of the combined lines observed in this region of the (17O\textsuperscript{18O})\textsuperscript{-} spectrum. The two other additional extrema for the doubly labeled species are associated with the intermediate transitions \{m_1 = 2, \theta = 38.3^\circ\} and \{m_1 = 1, \theta = 22.7^\circ\}. The extra peaks due to these singularities are intense and well separated from the normal lines.

As mentioned previously the (16O\textsuperscript{17O})\textsuperscript{-} hyperfine structure is complicated by the presence of two extra lines only. The first one is associated with the \{m_1 = \frac{5}{2}, \theta = 51.6^\circ\} transition, while the second corresponds to the \{m_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \theta = 28.8^\circ\} transition. Similar to the previous case, the \{m_1 = \frac{5}{2}, \theta = 51.6^\circ\} line is located close to the normal one \{m_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \theta = 90^\circ\} and appears as a shoulder in the spectrum, while the \{m_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \theta = 28.8^\circ\} extra line is well separated from the adjacent ordinary peak \{m_1 = \frac{5}{2}, \theta = 90^\circ\}. This accounts well for the anomalous intensity distribution in this region of the EPR signal. As a result the g\textsubscript{zz} region contains nine intense peaks (i.e., four “normal” lines at \theta = 90^\circ, and five extra lines) which are superimposed on a weaker and less resolved A\textsubscript{zz} pattern, in accordance with the experimental observation.

Since the hyperfine structure along the z direction is weak and occurs in the most congested part of the spectrum, the dominant extra lines were used as a reference to pin down the precise value of A\textsubscript{zz}. Following the second order treatment, the positions of the overshoots in the \textit{zx} plane are related to the A\textsubscript{zz} value according to the following equation:\textsuperscript{22}

\[ B_{zx}(m_1) = h v g_{zx} \left[ 1 - m_1 K_{zx} - (I(I+1) - m_1^2) A_{yy}^2 + A_{zz}^2 A_{xx}^2 / K_{zx}^2 / 2 K_{zx}^2 - K_{zx}^2 \right] / 2K_{zx}^2, \]

where \( A_{ij} = A_{ji} / h v \). The g\textsubscript{zx} value corresponding to the extra absorption peak may be calculated from

\[ g_{zx} = g_{xx}^2 g_{zz}^2 (A_{zz}^2 - A_{xx}^2) / (g_{zz}^2 - g_{xx}^2) (\Delta_{xx} - K_{zx}^2), \]

where \( \Delta_{zx} \) is defined as

\[ \Delta_{zx} = (g_{zz}^2 A_{zz}^2 - g_{xx}^2 A_{xx}^2) / (g_{zz}^2 - g_{xx}^2). \]

The calculation of \( K_{ij} \) is more complex, and has been determined to first order only:

\[ K_{zx}^{(0)} = (1/4m_1) \left[ 1 - (1 - m_1^2 I_{zx})^{1/2} \right]. \]

VI. DISCUSSION

A. The g tensor

Analysis of the g tensor for surface adsorbed superoxide species was usually performed in the past (Ref. 3 and references therein) approximating to first order the set of equations rigorously derived by Kanzig and Cohen in the case of O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} trapped in a single crystal of potassium chloride.\textsuperscript{28} This crude approximation was, however, adequate to the relatively low resolution of most surface O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} powder spectra.

In the present case the excellent quality of the spectra prompted us to go back to the original analysis of Kanzig and Cohen\textsuperscript{28} as follows. The g tensor of the trapped superoxide species is in agreement with that expected for a bound \( ^3\Sigma_{\text{u}}^\text{+} \)\textit{g} state characterized by the unpaired electron on the \( 2p \) \( \pi^\text{a} \) antibonding orbital. The spin orbit coupling along with the C\textsubscript{2\textit{g}} crystal field produces to an orthorhombic g tensor\textsuperscript{28} given by

\[ g_{xx} = 2.0023 + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \Delta_2 \right]^{1/2} - \lambda / E \left( 1 - \lambda^2 / (\lambda^2 + \Delta_2) \right)^{1/2} - \left( \Delta_2 / (\lambda^2 + \Delta_2) \right)^{1/2}, \]

\[ g_{yy} = 2.0023 + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \Delta_2 \right]^{1/2} - \lambda / E \left( 1 - \lambda^2 / (\lambda^2 + \Delta_2) \right)^{1/2} - \left( \Delta_2 / (\lambda^2 + \Delta_2) \right)^{1/2}, \]

\[ g_{zz} = 2.0023 + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \Delta_2 \right]^{1/2} - \lambda / E \left( 1 - \lambda^2 / (\lambda^2 + \Delta_2) \right)^{1/2}. \]

The calculation of \( K_{ij} \) is more complex, and has been determined to first order only:
TABLE II. Molecular parameters derived from the $g$ tensor, and spin densities obtained from the $A^O$ tensor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\rho_\sigma^+$</th>
<th>$\rho_\sigma^-$</th>
<th>$\rho_\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{17}$O$_2$/MgO</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>0.3067</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\lambda$ is the spin-orbit coupling constant, $\Delta$ is the $2p_\pi^+ - 2p_\pi^-$ separation and $E$ is the $2p_\pi^+ - 2p_\sigma^-$ separation. It follows then that $g_{zz} > g_{yy} > g_{xx}$, as experimentally observed. The $\lambda$, $\Delta$, and $E$ parameters were calculated by least squares fitting of the experimental $g$ tensor to these equations. The results of the optimization are summarized in Table II. The $\lambda$ value is smaller in comparison to the estimated atomic one ($\lambda_0 = 0.014$ eV)$^{39}$ and we assign this deviation to quenching of the angular momentum $l$ about the internuclear axis caused by the crystal field ($l < 1$). The results indicate that the SOMO is confined essentially to the $2p_\pi^+$ orbital with a small contribution from the $2p_\pi^-$ and $2p_\sigma$ orbitals.

B. The $A$ tensor

As mentioned in the Introduction the first analysis of the hyperfine structure of O$_2^-$ on MgO$^5$ done in the late 1960s was deeply affected by the lack of information on two ($A_{yy}$ and $A_{zz}$) of the three components of the experimental $A$ tensor.

Once again, the nice resolution of the spectra here reported for CVD MgO and the results of the complex simulation of the whole line pattern allows us a thorough and conclusive analysis of the spin population of the surface adsorbed species.

Based on the preceding discussions for the $^{17}$O hyperfine interaction (Sec. IV), the sign of the $A_{xx}$ component has been taken as negative, in agreement with results reported by other authors.$^3$ The $^{17}$O$_2^-$ tensor deduced from the current spectra is therefore

$$A^O/\beta_x = \begin{pmatrix} -76 \\ +7.8 \\ +7.3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$G$

or

$$A^O/\hbar = \begin{pmatrix} -212.9 \\ +21.9 \\ +21.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

MHz.

This result represents the first complete experimental determination of the $^{17}$O$_2^-$ hyperfine tensor for the adsorbed superoxide species.

The localized spin model, successfully applied by Lindsay et al.$^{30}$ for analysis of the O$_2^-$ EPR spectrum, can be used to rationalize the observed $^{17}$O tensor. In this model, a quartet ($\rho/4$) of the electron spin density is assumed to be concentrated equally in each of the four lobes of the oxygen $2p_\pi^+$ orbitals at an effective distance $R_p$ from the nucleus (Fig. 1). The magnetic interactions within the superoxide radical are indicated in the figure with the dotted arrows. The spin density on the $2p_\pi^+$ orbital gives rise to a certain degree of spin polarization of the inner $s$-orbital for each $^{17}$O atom as well as to polarization of the $\sigma$-bond connecting both oxygen nuclei. However, in the latter case the spin density induced by one atom is counterbalanced by an equivalent amount of opposite spin density generated by interaction with the second atom, since both nuclei are magnetically equivalent. Therefore the resultant polarization can be accounted for by a simple McConnell-type equation.

The localized spin model implies two types of dipolar interactions: (i) a through bond intratomic interaction on the coupling atom gauged by the tensor $T^O = g_x \beta_x \sigma_0 \beta_0 1/r^3 \times [\hat{r} - \hat{z}]$ and (ii) a through space inter-atomic coupling $A_d$ between the $^{17}$O nucleus and the spin density on the adjacent oxygen. As a result the $A^O$ tensor is comprised of the following three terms:

$$A^O = \langle a^{O} \rangle + T^O + A_d$$

(10)

with $\langle a^{O} \rangle = a_{iso} + P(g)$, where $a_{iso}$ is the isotropic Fermi contact interaction and $P(g)$ is the pseudocontact term.

The traceless tensor $A_d$ can be approximated by the proximal dipole formula originally proposed by Gordy for organic $\pi$ radicals:$^{31}$

$$A_{xx}/\hbar = -14.1p_\pi g_0 (2R_p^2 - R_{OO}^2)/(R_{OO}^2 + R_p^2)^{3/2}$$

$$A_{yy}/\hbar = -14.1p_\pi g_0 (R_{OO}^2 + R_p^2)^{3/2}$$

(11)

$$A_{zz}/\hbar = 14.1p_\pi g_0 (2R_{oo}^2 - R_p^2)/(R_{oo}^2 + R_p^2)^{3/2}$$

The $R_p$ value has been estimated from the known atomic value of $\langle r^{-3} \rangle$ for oxygen using the expression $R_p = \langle r \rangle^{30} = 1.75(r^{-3})^{-1/3} = 0.54$ Å proposed elsewhere by Lindsay.$^30$ The internuclear distance $R_{OO} = 1.35$ Å was derived from quantum chemical calculations of O$_2$ on MgO.$^{32}$ Upon substitution of the numerical values into this equation we obtain $A_d/\hbar = 1.02, 1.74, -2.76 \times MHz (A_d/\beta_x = 0.36, 0.62, -0.94 \times G)$. Thus the corrected $A^O/\beta_x$ tensor assumes the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -76.36 \\ +7.18 \\ +8.24 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -20.3 \\ +27.5 \\ +28.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

These results can be compared with those recently calculated by Soave et al.$^{32}$ for O$_2^-$ on MgO (Table I). The dipolar tensor is in excellent agreement with our experimental data. The $a_{iso}$ term, which is more difficult to theoretically predict with high accuracy, is apparently underestimated in the calculations, although the discrepancy is not dramatic considering that it corresponds to an absolute difference of 0.006 in the total spin density on the 2s orbital.

C. Spin density on the superoxide ion

To thoroughly analyze the spin density associated to the various oxygen orbitals, the $A^O/\hbar$ matrix can be decomposed as follows:
where the first and second matrices are related to the decomposition along the $x$ and $y$ axis, respectively, while the third one is a correction which accounts for the L·I coupling between the nuclear and orbital magnetic moments calculated from the Wettner’s formula. Because of the pronounced derivation of $g_{zz}$ from 2.0023 and the small value of $A_{zz}$, the correction is sensible for this particular component.

The experimental value for $a_{iso} = -60.7 \ (21.3 \ G)$ is in line with that predicted by applying a simple equation proposed by Melamud and Silver for organic and inorganic oxygen containing $\pi$ radicals. This equation can be written as $a_{iso} = Q^0 p_{\pi}^O$, where $Q^0 = 41 \pm 3 \ G$ and $p_{\pi}^O$ is the spin density on the $2p_{\pi}$ orbital. For an oxygen radical with magnetically equivalent nuclei and a unit $\pi$ spin density (vide infra) the value of $a_{iso} = (41 \pm 3)/2 = 20.5 \pm 1.5 \ G$ is expected, if the spin polarization only is involved. This value compares very well with the observed one, indicating a true $\pi$ character of the investigated superoxide radical.

The dipolar tensor components $B$ along the $x$ and $y$ directions indicate that the spin densities are positive in the $2p_{\pi}$ orbital but negative in the $2p_{\sigma}$ orbital, in line with previous observations for superoxide species bound to Co$^{2+}$ ions. Using the reported atomic value for the dipolar $^{17}$O hyperfine constant, $B_{O} = 4.58 \ G$, ($r^{-3}$)$_{2P} = -336.8 \ MHz$, we can assess the spin density on the oxygen $2p$ $\pi$ orbitals, by comparing the experimentally derived dipolar component $B$ with that value, using the classic formula $p_{\pi} = B_{O}/B_{\sigma}$. In our case the following values of $p_{\pi} = B_{x}/B_{y} = -149.8/ -336.8 = 0.44$ and $p_{\sigma} = B_{x}/B_{y} = 7.07/ -168.4 = -0.021$ were calculated. However, through an increased nuclear screening, the resultant negative charge on the $^{17}$O atom of the superoxide radical will decrease the anisotropic coupling constant over that expected for the neutral species, and the spin densities calculated above must therefore be further corrected. The relevant semi-empirical corrections were proposed by Townes and Schawlow. For a net negative charge $c^-$ on the atom the formula for the spin density takes the form

$$p_{\sigma} = B(1 + c^- \epsilon)/B_{\sigma},$$

where $\epsilon$ is the charge-correction constant tabulated elsewhere. Taking $c^- = 0.5$ and $\epsilon = 0.25$, the values of $p_{\pi} = 0.495$ and $p_{\sigma} = -0.024$ were obtained after substitution of the remaining numerical values into this equation (Table II).

The net spin density on the $2s$ orbital ($\rho_s$) was calculated using $a_{iso} = \rho_s A_0$, which gives $\rho_s = -60.7/ -5263 = 0.011$ for $A_0 = -5263 \ MHz$.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The resolution of the EPR spectrum due to the $^{17}$O$_2$ radical trapped on the MgO surface is significantly improved by (i) use of a highly crystalline high surface area material, (ii) adopting a particular adsorption procedure which prevents superoxide speciation, and (iii) control of the isotope composition with two selected $^{17}$O enrichments. The spectral pattern is complicated by the simultaneous presence of a high number of “normal” hyperfine lines and also by several off-axis extra features, which have prohibited the accurate interpretation of the $A_{xy}$ and $A_{zz}$ components in the past. The $^1$H superhyperfine structure, from the $O_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot OH$ interaction, along with the positions of the extra absorption lines have been used as auxiliary source of information to determine the complete $^{17}$O hyperfine tensor. The composition of this tensor was analyzed in detail using a localized spin model. The evaluated spin density distribution within the superoxide radical is consistent with a complete electron transfer from a surface $F_S$ to the dioxygen acceptor counter part, in agreement with recent ab initio theoretical calculations.
18 W. Weltner, Jr., in Magnetic Atoms and Molecules (Dover, New York, 1983).