The relative importance of host characteristics and co-infection in generating variation in *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* fecundity
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SUMMARY

We examined the relative importance of intrinsic host factors and microparasite co-infection in generating variation in *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* fecundity, a parameter that serves as a proxy for infectiousness. We undertook extensive trapping of *Apodemus flavicollis*, the yellow-necked mouse in the woodlands of the Italian Alps and recorded eggs *in utero* from the dominant nematode species *H. polygyrus*, and tested for the presence of five microparasite infections. The results showed that sex and breeding status interact, such that males in breeding condition harboured more fecund nematodes than other hosts; in particular, worms from breeding males had, on average, 52% more eggs *in utero* than worms from non-breeding males. In contrast, we found a weak relationship between intensity and body mass, and no relationship between intensity and sex or intensity and breeding condition. We did not find any evidence to support the hypothesis that co-infection with microparasites contributed to variation in worm fecundity in this system. The age-intensity profiles for mice singly-infected with *H. polygyrus* and those co-infected with the nematode and at least one microparasite were both convex and not statistically different from each other. We concluded that intrinsic differences between hosts, specifically with regard to sex and breeding condition, contribute relatively more to the variation in worm fecundity than parasite co-infection status.
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INTRODUCTION

Empirical studies have identified that individual hosts vary greatly in terms of both the rate at which they produce infectious particles and the rate at which infectious individuals come into contact with susceptible individuals. In terms of potential onward transmission, individuals can be classified as the ‘insignificant many’ or the ‘vital few’ (Woolhouse et al. 1997; Perkins et al. 2003; Ferrari et al. 2004). Amongst macroparasite infections, the majority of a helminth population is usually concentrated in a minority of the host population, and is invariably best described by the negative binomial distribution in parasite intensity, because the variance in the intensity of infection between populations rises faster than the mean (e.g. Shaw and Dobson, 1995; Wilson et al. 2001). There are 3 ways the vital few individuals may be responsible for higher than average number of transmission events: (1) these individuals have higher than average contact rates with susceptible hosts, irrespective of their intensity of infection; (2) these individuals produce infectious particles that survive longer or are more infectious than others; (3) these hosts shed more infectious particles than others in the population (Anderson and May, 1991; Matthews et al. 2006; Chase-Topping et al. 2008).

Heterogeneity in any one or combination of these processes can result in an increase in the basic reproductive number (R0) and hence the spread of infection (Woolhouse et al. 1991, 1997; Smith et al. 2007).

Our study pertains specifically to the third mechanism, with the aim to identify the relative contribution of host characteristics and parasite co-infection status in generating variation in fecundity of the nematode *H. polygyrus*. Variation in egg output per infected host need not be linearly related to variation in the nematode intensity, particularly if worm growth and fecundity are constrained by density dependence via intra-specific competition or immune-mediated host responses, and perhaps exacerbated by co-infection (Goater, 1992; Tompkins and Hudson, 1999; Dezfuli et al. 2001; Paterson and Barber, 2007). Hence, we used *in utero* egg count as
an estimate of nematode fecundity (Ractliffe and LeJambre, 1971; McClelland, 1980; Smith, 1988; Szalai and Dick, 1989; Marcusgiuse, 1997; Tompkins and Hudson, 1999). This parameter is arguably more reliable than the alternative approach of counting worm eggs expelled by the host in the faeces, owing to the variability in faecal egg counts that can occur within and between days (Anderson and Schad, 1985; Keymer and Slater, 1987; Stear et al. 1995; Harvey et al. 1999). As such, eggs per gram (EPG) data from a few time-points may not accurately reflect a host’s infectiousness. Assuming that the rate of egg production (i.e., the nematode’s lifetime reproductive or shedding rate) is linearly related to the number of eggs in the nematode uterus, the number of eggs in utero serves as a reliable estimate of the number of eggs shed into the environment (Michel, 1963; Ractliffe and LeJambre, 1971). Previous work has shown this to be indeed the case in nematodes, where a positive association was found between the number of eggs in utero and the faecal egg count in sheep infected with Teladorsargia circumcinta (Stear et al. 1995; Stear and Bishop, 1999). Here, we assume that in utero egg count is a reliable measure of worm fecundity in Heligmosomoides polygyrus, which serves as a proxy for host infectiousness.

Hosts harbouring highly fecund worms are likely to shed more infectious particles than others in the population, and hence contribute more to transmission events than the average host. Studies on H. polygyrus but also on other nematode and tick vectors have demonstrated that male hosts, particularly those in breeding condition may be responsible for the majority of parasite transmission (Perkins et al. 2003; Ferrari et al. 2004; Luong et al. 2009). Furthermore, variation in the intensity of nematode infection between individuals has been related to host body mass and sex (Viney, 2002; Paterson and Viney, 2002; see review by Poulin, 1996) and will also have a major influence on variation in individual host infectiousness. In this study, we examined the relationship between host characteristics and nematode fecundity by quantifying the number of in utero eggs of individual worms in wild mice. We asked, ‘Do inherent variations among individual hosts contribute to the variation in nematode fecundity and hence production of parasite transmission stages?’ We hypothesized that intrinsic differences between individual hosts with regard to sex, breeding status and body mass generate variation in nematode fecundity. Since males generally harbour a higher intensity of parasites, we expected that breeding males harboured nematodes with higher fecundity than other hosts. As a contrast to the relationship between worm fecundity and host characteristics, we also examined the relationship between worm intensity and host characteristics.

Co-infecting parasites and pathogens have also been proposed to affect the number of infective stages produced (Bassetti et al. 2005; Matthews et al. 2006). The hypothesis here is that individuals that carry co-infections are more susceptible than single infected hosts, and that these nematodes are also more fecund. For example, co-infection with the poxvirus myxoma has been shown to be positively associated with increased susceptibility to the nematode, Trichostrongylus retortaeformis (Cattadori et al. 2007). This interaction is thought to be often mediated by the host immune system whereby pathogens exert a suppressive effect on the cytokine response, stemming the control of nematode establishment, expulsion and fecundity (Cox, 2001; Lello et al. 2004; Graham, 2008; Montes et al. 2009). Thus, we asked ‘How do inter-specific interactions between the parasite community influence nematode fecundity and intensity?’ We proposed that co-infecting microparasites indirectly generate variation in nematode fecundity such that worms from hosts not previously exposed to microparasites are expected to have fewer eggs in utero than worms collected from hosts that were exposed.

Materials and methods

Animal trapping and pathogen sampling

Extensive trapping of Apodemus flavicollis, the yellow-necked mouse was carried out in July 2002 using multi-capture live traps (Ugglan type 2, Graham Sweden) at 6 study sites for 3 nights, with a total trapping effort of 3456 trap nights. The study sites were located in mixed broadleaf woodlands of the Italian Dolomitic Alps. The methods followed standard trapping procedures and are described elsewhere (Ferrari et al. 2007). For each mouse we recorded the host sex, body mass and breeding condition. Individuals with descended testes, perforated vagina or pregnancy were recorded as animals in breeding condition. Mice with a body mass above 15 g were euthanized following the animal procedures of the European Commission Directive 86/609/EEC implemented by Italy. The gastro-intestinal tract was removed and all helminths extracted using a filtration-sedimentation technique (Euzebly, 1982). All helminths were counted and identified to species level. The dominant helminth species was Heligmosomoides polygyrus, a directly transmitted nematode common in A. flavicollis (Gregory et al. 1992; Ferrari et al. 2004). From the larger dataset we randomly selected a subset (25%, n = 59 mice) to carry out in utero egg counts. From these mice each female H. polygyrus was mounted onto an individual slide and the eggs in utero were visually counted under a stereomicroscope. A subsample of nematodes (n = 24) from this group was measured to assess the relationship between worm length and in utero egg count. Individual H. polygyrus were photographed under a stereomicroscope (Leica® S6E)
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Host characteristics and H. polygyrus intensity

The relationship between nematode intensity and host factors was analysed with a generalized linear model using a negative binomial error distribution. Nematode intensity per host was modelled as the response with host mass, sex, breeding condition, co-infection of any microparasite, and their interactions as explanatory variables. We used backwards model selection starting with the full model with all interaction terms to determine a minimum best-fit model. A posteriori contrasts were employed to test for differences in mean nematode fecundity between specific functional host-groups, which were defined as non-breeding females, breeding females, non-breeding males, and breeding males.

Age and co-infection relationship with H. polygyrus intensity

Body mass was used to estimate the age class of each host with a digital camera (Nikon® Coolpix 4500). The length of each nematode was measured using the ImageJ software package (Rasband, 2007).

Blood and tissue samples were taken from each individual to test if the mice had been exposed to the following pathogens: arenavirus, cowpox, *Ehrlichia* spp., murine-herpes gammavirus (MuHV), and tick-borne encephalitis (TBE). Serum samples were tested for the arenavirus and MuHV using an indirect immunofluorescent (IF) antibody assay as described in Tagliapietra et al. (2009) and Telfer et al. (2007), respectively. Cowpox virus antibody was also determined in an IF antibody assay as described in Crouch et al. (1995). Standard PCR and DNA sequencing were used for the identification of *Ehrlichia* from liver and spleen samples taken at necropsy (Liz et al. 2000). We recognize that animals that were seropositive for a given pathogen may not have been actively infected with the micro-parasite at the time of necropsy (see Discussion section).

**Host characteristics and H. polygyrus intensity**

The relationship between nematode intensity and host factors was analysed with a generalized linear model using a negative binomial error distribution. Nematode intensity per host was modelled as the response with host mass, sex, breeding condition, co-infection of any micro-parasite, and their interactions as explanatory variables. We used backwards model selection starting with the full model with all interaction terms to determine a minimum best-fit model. We removed explanatory terms if a drop-in-deviance $\chi^2$ test comparing a full model to a reduced model was not significant ($P > 0.05$). We first tested the most complex interaction terms and proceeded with less complex interaction terms until we reached a minimum model.

**Host characteristics and H. polygyrus fecundity**

To investigate the relationship between host characteristics and nematode fecundity, we used the logarithm of *in utero* egg counts of female *H. polygyrus* from a subset ($n = 59$ hosts) of the full data as the response variable in a linear mixed effect (LME) regression model. Host body mass, host sex, and host breeding condition were entered into the model as fixed-effect explanatory variables. To account for the replicated effect of measuring multiple worms from the same host, the unique identification code of each host was included as a random effect. We again used backwards model selection starting with the full model with all interaction terms to determine a minimum best-fit model. A posteriori contrasts were employed to test for differences in mean nematode fecundity between specific functional host-groups, which were defined as non-breeding females, breeding females, non-breeding males, and breeding males.

**RESULTS**

**Parasite community**

We captured a total of 230 *A. flavicollis*, from which 6 species of nematode parasites were recovered (Table 1). The directly transmitted nematode *H. polygyrus* was most prevalent at 66% and also reached the highest mean intensity at 7.02 (95% CI [5.70, 8.84]) and with an estimated dispersion parameter from the negative binomial, $k = 0.34$ (95% CI [0.30, 0.44]). *H. polygyrus* body length was correlated with the number of eggs *in utero* per female worm (Coef. = 0.107, s.e. = 0.034, $P = 0.002$). The
most common nematode co-infection occurred with *H. polygyrus* and *Syphacia frederici* at 20.9% (Table 1).

Sera from mice were analysed for antibodies to 5 different pathogens. Of the pathogens tested, mice had the highest antibody-positive sero-prevalence against murine herpes gammavirus (MuHV) (24.5 ± 0.03%), which also had the highest percentage of co-infection (18.3 ± 2.4%) with *H. polygyrus* (Table 2). The majority of animals (56.0 ± 0.03%) had antibodies against at least 1 of the pathogens screened. Co-infection with *H. polygyrus* and any given pathogen occurred at a prevalence of 35.6% (Table 2).

### Host characteristics and *H. polygyrus* fecundity

A generalized linear model (GLM) analysis of the intensity of *H. polygyrus* and host factors identified a weak but significant positive association between the intensity of infection and host body mass (Coef. = 0.041, s.e. = 0.017, P = 0.019). The intensity of infection (uninfected mice included) was significantly (Coef. = -1.85, s.e. = 0.446, P < 0.001) lower among mice in breeding condition (mean ± s.e. = 3.74 ± 1.16) than those not in breeding condition (mean ± s.e. = 8.88 ± 0.75). Host sex (Coef. = 0.037, s.e. = 0.272, P = 0.891) and the interaction with breeding status and sex were not significant (Coef. = 0.832, s.e. = 0.522, P = 0.111). The relationship between *H. polygyrus* intensity and *S. frederici* intensity was not significant (Coef. = 0.009, s.e. = 0.028, P = 0.754). There were no statistically significant differences in the intensity of *H. polygyrus* in relation to the presence or absence of microparasite antibodies (Coef. = 0.149, s.e. = 0.237, P = 0.53).

### Host characteristics and *H. polygyrus* fecundity

We measured in utero egg counts from a total of 59 *A. flavicollis* hosting a total of 652 nematodes, from which 417 intact female worms were sampled. The mean number of eggs in utero was not correlated with the intensity of *H. polygyrus* (Coef. = -0.681, s.e. = 0.411, P = 0.112). A linear-mixed-effects regression analysis of host factors revealed that host body mass was significantly negatively associated with the number of eggs per worm (Coef. = -0.039, D.F. = 327, P < 0.0001; Fig. 1). Host sex (Coef. = 0.084, s.e. = 0.065, P = 0.203) or breeding status alone was not significant (Coef. = -0.156, s.e. = 0.151, P = 0.308), but the interaction between sex and breeding status was significantly associated with nematode fecundity (Coef. = -0.521, D.F. = 53, P = 0.004). Co-infection with another dominant nematode parasite, *S. frederici* did not influence *H. polygyrus* fecundity (Coef. = 0.005, s.e. = 0.011, P = 0.681). The presence or absence of antibodies to any pathogen did not significantly alter *H. polygyrus* fecundity (Coef. = 0.125, s.e. = 0.076, P = 0.10).

To further examine the interaction between sex and breeding condition, an *a posteriori* contrast analysis on the functional groups was conducted. On average *H. polygyrus* that infected breeding males were 52% more fecund than those infecting non-breeding males (Coef. est. = 0.411, s.e. = 0.148, P = 0.006). Worms from breeding males were also

---

### Table 1. Summary of the prevalence and intensity (± s.e.) of infection for six species of parasitic nematodes recovered from the wild rodent, *Apodemus flavicollis*

(Composition indicates the percentage of the population which is simultaneously co-infected with *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* and another given species of gastrointestinal parasitic nematode.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Percentage co-infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H. polygyrus</em></td>
<td>65.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>7.02 ± 0.80</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Syphacia frederici</em></td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.32</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Syphacia spp.</em></td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.23</td>
<td>4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichuris muris</em></td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Syphacia stroma</em></td>
<td>18.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rictularia proni</em></td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microparasite</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Percentage co-infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MuHV</td>
<td>24.55 ± 0.03</td>
<td>18.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyme</td>
<td>17.90 ± 0.03</td>
<td>11.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehrlichia</td>
<td>12.61 ± 0.02</td>
<td>9.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arenavirus</td>
<td>10.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowpox</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBE</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any pathogen</td>
<td>56.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>35.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
support as the best model. We calculated the variable weights in order to assess which explanatory variables contained in the top 4 models were important predictors of *H. polygyrus* intensity and the linear predictor of age, as well as the polynomial predictor of age was important in our candidate set of models (0.94 and 0.79 variable weights, respectively). Variable weights of the interaction terms between age (linear component) and pathogen co-infection, as well as age^2^ (polynomial component) and pathogen co-infection were low (0.11 and 0.12, respectively). Hence, nematode intensity increased with host age, peaked in the middle age classes before decreasing in older animals. Although the additive effect of pathogen co-infection was an important component of the candidate models (variable weight 0.98), the age-intensity profile did not differ greatly between mice singly-infected with *H. polygyrus* and mice co-infected with *H. polygyrus* and a pathogen (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

We examined the relative importance of intrinsic host factors and microparasite co-infection in generating variation in *H. polygyrus* fecundity, a proxy for infectiousness. Studies on other parasite-host systems have suggested that a small proportion of the population is responsible for the majority of transmission events (Woolhouse et al. 1997; Perkins et al. 2003; Galvani and May, 2005). There are several possible mechanisms underlying this heterogeneity in transmission, including variation in contact rates between infected and susceptible hosts, and the quality and/or quantity of infective stages shed into the environment (Keeling et al. 2001; Matthews et al. 2006; Chase-Topping et al. 2008). In our study, we investigated the relative contribution of intrinsic differences between hosts and co-infection status to variation in the quantity of infective stages shed, measured here in terms of nematode fecundity. Our results show that sex and breeding status interacted such that breeding males harboured more fecund nematodes than other hosts. In contrast, we did not find any evidence to support the hypothesis that co-infecting pathogens generated variation in worm fecundity. The presence or absence of antibodies to any pathogen did not significantly affect worm fecundity, and the age-intensity profiles for these two groups did not differ significantly from each other. Taken together, these results suggest that intrinsic differences between hosts, specifically with regard to sex and breeding condition, contribute relatively more to the variation in *H. polygyrus* fecundity than co-infection status.

Interestingly, animals of higher body mass harboured nematodes that had a lower fecundity than those of smaller animals. *H. polygyrus* fecundity has been shown to decrease with worm age and eventually cease at 8–10 weeks post-infection (Keymer and
Given that host body mass serves as a proxy for age, the decrease in worm fecundity in larger animals is likely due to the senescence of worms acquired earlier in life. An alternative explanation for the decline in worm fecundity with host age is the development of acquired immunity in older animals (Gregory et al. 1992). Gregory et al. (1992) showed that in repeated nematode infections, nematode egg production decreased over time at a faster rate than in primary infections, suggesting that acquired immunity acts on worm fecundity to constrain parasite population growth. Other model systems such as *T. retortaeformis* have also demonstrated a reduction in worm fecundity with host age as a consequence of acquired immune response (Chylinski et al. 2009).

However, for a given body mass, male hosts in breeding condition harboured significantly more fecund worms than non-breeding males. Possible mechanisms underlying this pattern include host-mediated effects such as immuno-suppression by testosterone, which may be elevated during breeding (Poulin, 1996; Zuk and McKean, 1996). Such immuno-suppression may allow worms to grow larger in the breeding males, thus leading to greater worm fecundity. Indeed, *H. polygyrus* body length was a predictor of the number of eggs in utero in our study. Moreover, Swanson et al. (1984) and Harder et al. (1992) showed that lab mice treated with testosterone implants display a positive relationship between testosterone levels and nematode growth and egg production. An alternative explanation for the higher egg counts among male mice is that breeding males may be subject to greater stress levels as a result of territorial defence and agonistic encounters, compared to non-breeding males (Anderson, 1994; Zuk, 1990). Stress hormones produced by the host under these circumstances including cortisol or corticosterone have been shown to be immuno-suppressive (Noble, 1961, 1962, 1966; Stein and Schleifer, 1985; Zuk and McKeen, 1996; Elftman et al. 2007).

In contrast to the nematode fecundity results, the intensity of infection was lower among breeding mice compared to non-breeding mice. These results suggest that hosts in breeding condition harbour fewer but more fecund nematodes than non-breeding

---

**Table 3. Candidate models, AIC values, and model weights for 8 generalized linear models testing for linear versus the polynomial fit of the age—*Heligmosomoides polygyrus* intensity profile, as well as interaction between age-intensity and pathogen co-infection**

(The value $\omega_i$ refers to the probability that the model is the best model given the data and the term cumulative $\omega_i$ is the probability that the ascending set of candidate models contains the best model given the data.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>$\Delta$AIC</th>
<th>$\omega_i$</th>
<th>Cumulative $\omega_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (+ age)$^2$ + pathogen</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1137.91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age + pathogen</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1139.22</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age + pathogen + (age)$^2$ + (age)$^3$ * pathogen</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1139.44</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age + pathogen + (age)$^2$ + age*pathogen</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1139.57</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age + pathogen + age*pathogen</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1140.57</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age + (age)$^2$ + pathogen + age*pathogen + (Age)$^3$ * pathogen</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1141.27</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age + (age)$^2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1216.46</td>
<td>78.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1218.93</td>
<td>81.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Full model, Pearson goodness-of-fit test ($P = 0.84$).

---

Fig. 2. Age-intensity curves showing the relationship between intensity of *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* and host age class. The observed mean *H. polygyrus* intensity for mice with the nematode infection only (dark circles) and mice with both nematode and antibodies to pathogen (light grey circles) are shown with size indicating relative sample size. The predicted values for nematode-infected (solid line) and co-infected (dashed line) mice are shown based on a model with the 3 most important relative variable weights, age + age$^2$ + pathogen co-infection, with 95% prediction confidence limits (shaded areas).
hosts, which is consistent with our findings for male hosts. These contrasting results also illustrate the advantage of using fecundity as a more direct measure of infectiousness than intensity. The general negative relationship between intensity and fecundity may arise as a consequence of intra-specific competition, limiting nematode establishment, growth, or egg production as competition for resources increases. However, the mean number of *H. polygyrus* eggs *in utero* was not correlated with the intensity of infection, suggesting a lack of density-dependent constraint on per capita female fecundity in this system. Alternatively, a host-mediated mechanism, such as the immune response, may be controlling parasite growth and fecundity (Stear et al. 1995, 1997; Zuk and McKeen, 1996; Poulin, 1996). Indeed, Keymer and Hiorns (1986) showed that lab mice subject to repeated infections exhibited an immune-mediated decrease in worm fecundity (also see Gregory et al. 1990). Therefore, breeding animals harbour fewer and more fecund nematodes than non-breeding animals, and this difference can result in higher levels of infectiousness among the mice in breeding condition.

We found no evidence for differences in worm fecundity or intensity of infection between the host sexes. The lack of sex differences conflicts with comparative studies in which male mammals were found to consistently harbour larger and presumably more fecund nematodes (Poulin, 1996; Dare and Forbes, 2008). Both laboratory and field studies of *H. polygyrus* infections in wood mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*) show that males have a higher prevalence and intensity of infection than females (Elton, 1931; O’Sullivan et al. 1984; Gregory et al. 1990; Ferrari et al. 2004). Our inability to detect a significant difference between the sexes may be due to the small sample size among females, particularly those in breeding condition.

The overall age-intensity profile for *H. polygyrus* was convex such that the intensity of *H. polygyrus* peaked in the middle age classes before turning over and declining in the older hosts. This convex shape has also been documented in wild and enclosed populations of *A. sylvaticus* infected with *H. polygyrus* (Gregory, 1991; Gregory et al. 1992). The initial rise in the curve is likely due to an increase in exposure or force of infection with age. Several possible mechanisms may explain the decline in intensity among older age classes, including parasitemediated host mortality, acquired immune response, and/or age-related changes in host exposure to parasite infective stages (Anderson and Gordon, 1982; Anderson and May, 1985; Hudson and Dobson, 1995; Wilson et al. 2001). Parasite-induced host mortality has been demonstrated in lab mice (Keymer and Hiorns, 1986; Scott 1987, 1990) and in enclosed wood mice populations infected with *H. polygyrus* (Gregory, 1991). There is also ample evidence for acquired immunity from a number of laboratory and semi-natural studies of *H. polygyrus* infections in lab *Mus* (Keymer and Hiorns, 1986; Keymer and Tarlton, 1991; Tanguay and Scott, 1992) and *Apodemus* species (Gregory et al. 1990; Quinnell, 1992). For example, wood mice infected with *H. polygyrus* exhibited exposure-dependent constraints on parasite population growth, with higher variability in population dynamics between individuals exposed to repeated infections compared to those in primary infection (Gregory et al. 1992; Quinnell, 1992).

Neither the shape nor magnitude of the age-intensity curve was statistically different between single- and co-infected animals. Also, co-infection with at least one microparasite did not influence the intensity or fecundity of *H. polygyrus* compared to hosts infected with the nematode alone. In contrast, laboratory mice experimentally co-infected with *H. polygyrus* and a respiratory bacterium have been shown to be chronic shedders of nematode eggs (Lass et al., personal communication). The failure to detect a difference in our study may be because other naturally occurring pathogens were not considered in the ELISA and HI tests, but may have played a potentially important role in what was categorized as an uninfected animal this study. Furthermore, hosts that tested sero-positive for a pathogen may not be infected at the time of dissection since the ELISA tests merely detected the presence/absence of antibodies, possibly from past infections. If the micro-parasite had been cleared, then the strength of the pathogen-induced immune response may be diminished, and any immune-mediated interaction between *H. polygyrus* and a microparasite infection could be below the threshold of detection (Janeway and Travers, 1996).

In summary, the present results suggest that intrinsic differences between hosts, specifically the interaction between sex and breeding condition, had a relatively greater impact on nematode fecundity than co-infection with a microparasite. Given that worm fecundity is a proxy for host infectiousness, our results suggest that heterogeneity in the infectiousness of hosts can create super-spreaders, potentially independent of infection intensity. In this case, breeding males were more infectious than other hosts, which supports the findings of Perkins et al. (2003) and Ferrari et al. (2004) that breeding males are driving transmission of several *Apodemus* parasites. Optimal control of infectious diseases should target treatment of those individuals that account for the majority of the transmission (Anderson and May, 1991; Woolhouse et al. 1997). Future studies that incorporate seasonal data and the experimental manipulation of nematode infections are needed to provide better insight into the role of intrinsic host factors and parasite-community in generating variation in host infectiousness.
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