Griffith Jones (1684–1761) of Llanddowror and his ‘Striking Experiment in Mass Religious Education’ in Wales in the Eighteenth Century
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E. Wyn James writes: ‘this article first appeared in Volksbildung durch Lesestoffe im 18. und 19. Jahrhundert/ Educating the People through Reading Material in the 18th and 19th Centuries, ed. Reinhart Siegert, with Peter Hoare & Peter Vodosek (Bremen, Germany: Edition Lumière, 2012), pp. 275–89. To the references in the original article should now be added the important extended discussion on Griffith Jones’s life, work and theology in D. Densil Morgan, Theologia Cambrensis: Protestant Religion and Theology in Wales, vol. 1 (Cardiff, 2018). The Anglo-German publication was the product of a Conference of the Wolfenbüttel Circle for the History of Libraries, The Book and Media and the author was invited to contribute a paper on Wales so that there was a full spectrum of contributions from the four nations of the British Isles: England, Scotland, Wales and Ireland’. Since the volume is unlikely to be known to our readership and Griffith Jones is an important figure in the county’s history, we are grateful to Professor Wyn James for allowing republication of a paper that provides an international context for Griffith Jones’s achievements. This complements the article published in The Carmarthenshire Antiquary by Peter Stopp in 2016, where he also discusses the contribution made by Madame Bevan.

INTRODUCTION
By the mid-eighteenth century, Wales had become one of the most literate countries in the world. So remarkable was the feat that it even reached the ears of Empress Catherine the Great of Russia. Under the influence of Enlightenment thinking, Catherine placed great faith in education as a means of improvement, and after coming to the throne in 1762, she set about the task of educational reform in her realm. About two years later she sent a commissioner to Britain to report on education there, and the report she received included a glowing description of the remarkable success of circulating schools in Wales.¹ Wales would have to wait until the nineteenth century for a developed state education system, and the schools referred to in the commissioner’s report were not state schools, but rather a peripatetic system of voluntary charity schools set up in the 1730s by a man called Griffith Jones, an Anglican clergyman who was rector of the parish of Llanddowror in Carmarthenshire in southwest Wales.

THE EMERGENCE OF WALES
Griffith Jones’s schools were a major step in the process described by one educationalist as Wales’s ‘transition from a primitive society to a self-conscious literary and articulate nation’.² In one sense, the Welsh had been a ‘self-conscious and articulate nation’ ever since Wales gradually emerged, following the demise of the Roman Empire, as a conscious entity on the western peninsula of Britain, where it formed a Romano-British remnant with its own distinct language, culture and territory, and with Christianity as a key element in that identity. Those descendants of the old Brythonic kingdoms of Britain were called ‘Welsh’ (meaning ‘Romanised foreigners’, from the word Weahl or Wahl) by the pagan Germanic-speaking peoples who had conquered much of the rest of southern Britain in the centuries following the departure of the Roman legions; but they called themselves – and indeed still call themselves – Cymry (from the Brythonic word meaning ‘fellow-countrymen’).³

The Welsh language, or Cymraeg, one of the Celtic family of languages, had evolved from the older Brythonic tongue by the middle of the sixth century and would remain the only language of the majority of the inhabitants of Wales until the nineteenth century. From its earliest periods, the Welsh language
has supported a flourishing literary tradition, one in which the threat to survival has been a constant theme, as has the more affirmative motif of praise. Initially that language and its literature were mainly oral, but they had begun to be written down possibly as early as the mid-sixth century – the earliest surviving examples of written Welsh are from the ninth century, and include a legal memorandum and poetry. Although conscious of their cultural and linguistic unity, the small kingdoms on the mountainous peninsula called Wales were never fully united politically and were gradually conquered piecemeal by Anglo-Norman invaders, a process which reached its culmination in the late thirteenth century. Despite this process of conquest, Welsh-medium literature continued to flourish in the middle and late medieval periods and included such masterpieces of European stature as the prose tales known as the Mabinogion and the poetry of Dafydd ap Gwilym. 6

LITERACY AND THE BIBLE
Literacy is a very difficult matter to gauge with any accuracy. It increased gradually in Wales over the medieval period – especially in the small towns that dotted the country and among the clergy, the bards, the professions, and the higher strata of society. It has been estimated that by about 1630 around 15%–20% of the Welsh population were literate. However, by this period, literacy in Welsh among the higher orders seems to have been on the wane as the Welsh gentry became increasingly anglicized, especially after Wales was formally incorporated into England by the so-called Acts of Union of 1536 and 1543. This anglicization of the gentry grew apace during the seventeenth century and was well advanced by the late eighteenth century. As Janet Davies has emphasized, this ‘had profound consequences. Linguistic difference reinforced class difference. Welsh culture, which [in the Middle Ages] had been essentially aristocratic, came into the guardianship of the peasantry and the “middling sort of people” – craftsmen, artisans and the lower clergy – for while more and more of the gentry, the better-off and the ‘upwardly mobile’ lost the Welsh language and exchanged a commitment to traditional Welsh culture for the attractions and delights of the capital, London, the lower orders remained predominantly Welsh-speaking.

A feature of seventeenth-century Wales is the increasing efforts to educate the common people. One reason for this was that, because of its union with England, Wales had officially become a Protestant country in the mid-sixteenth century, following the formation of an Anglican state church independent of the See of Rome, as a result of the rift between Rome and the English crown. The Protestant emphasis on the ‘priesthood of all believers’ and the resultant emphasis that worship should be in a language understood by the people, led to the translation of the Bible and the Book of Common Prayer into Welsh during the reign of the Tudor queen, Elizabeth I. This was a truly momentous event in the history of the Welsh language, which had far-reaching consequences. While the Acts of Union did not go as far as to suppress the native language in Wales, as happened in Ireland in the same period, one of the provisions of the Act of 1536 was to make English the official language of government and law in Wales. However, a subsequent Act of Parliament in 1563 not only ordered the translation of the Bible and the Book of Common Prayer into Welsh, but also instructed that public worship be conducted in Welsh in those places where that language was commonly spoken. Through this, Welsh was afforded official status as a language of worship. Furthermore, translating the Bible and the liturgy into Welsh meant that a standard, high-register Welsh was heard and read regularly in all parts of Wales, thus preventing Welsh degenerating from being a language of learning and literature into a motley group of debased dialects in terminal decline. As one historian has said: ‘It was the Acts of Union of 1536 and 1543 which incorporated Wales into the kingdom of England; it was the Bible which helped ensure that its people were never fully incorporated.’

EDUCATION AND PIETY, 1630-1730.
The efforts to educate the common people of Wales, which began in earnest in the period 1630–1730, are closely linked to the gradual growth of piety and puritanism, together with a deep desire to bring spiritual enlightenment to the people for the cure of their souls. During this period, in addition to instances of promoting literacy locally and more informally, in church schools, in small private schools and in the home, one also finds examples of more
concerted efforts, where wealthy patrons, pious clergy and devout laity collaborated in a wider context to spread the light of the Christian gospel among the common people, through publishing affordable editions of the Welsh Bible and ‘good books’, and through establishing schools. During the republican period in the mid-seventeenth century, the Puritans established over sixty free schools in Wales. This was the first attempt to create a state-funded education system, but it did not survive the restoration of the monarchy in 1660. There followed in the 1670s a voluntary movement, embodied in a ‘Welsh Trust’ and supported by both Anglicans and Dissenters, whose aim was to establish schools and publish religious books. The eminent church historian, R. Tudur Jones, notes that ‘the emphasis on Bible-reading, the promotion of godliness by means of devotional reading, the belief in the value of education, are all characteristics of pietism’, and he sees this movement in Wales in the 1670s as ‘the emergence of a Welsh form of pietism – concurrent with the better-known movement in Germany’. Following the demise of the Welsh Trust, similar educational and publishing work was continued in Wales from 1699 by the newly-formed Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge (SPCK), a mainly Anglican society ‘clearly much influenced by the work of Pietists of Halle’. Like the Welsh Trust, the SPCK produced and distributed books in Welsh. However, also like the Welsh Trust, the medium of instruction in most of the SPCK’s schools in Wales was English, since English was regarded generally as the language of progress, modernity and opportunity. This was despite the fact that most of those attending such schools were Welsh-speakers, who had therefore to learn English in addition to literacy skills and who frequently understood little of what they read in English. This greatly hampered the success of those schools and was one reason why, by the 1720s, the SPCK’s schools in Wales ‘had nearly all collapsed’.

GRiffith Jones, LLANDDOWROR AND HIS CIRCULATING SCHOOLS

Griffith Jones (1684–1761) of Llanddowror was a keen supporter of the SPCK and had close links with its main patron in Wales, Sir John Philipps of Picton Castle in Pembrokeshire. Jones first came to prominence as a powerful preacher who engaged in ‘field-preaching’ and attracted great crowds of hearers. He had a passionate evangelistic zeal and a keen sense of Christian mission, as is demonstrated by the fact that in 1713 he almost went as a pioneer missionary and schoolmaster to Tranquebar (Tharangambadi) in south-east India under the Royal Danish Mission, which had close links with the Pietists of Halle. However, he eventually declined the invitation, ‘upon the prospect he had of doing more service in his Native Country than he can propose to do abroad’.

Although he had taught in one or two of the SPCK’s schools as a young cleric, it is the provision and distribution of affordable Welsh Bibles and other reading matter which seem to have been the main focus of Griffith Jones’s involvement with the SPCK until the 1730s. Incidentally, in addition to books, he would also request from the Society medicines for use among the sick – and his interest in medicine is not surprising, perhaps, for someone who suffered much ill-health himself and was something of a hypochondriac! However, Jones does not seem to have been active in the Society’s educational efforts – possibly because he did not agree with its linguistic policy – and it was only following the significant decline in the number of SPCK schools in Wales that he began to get involved in earnest in establishing and running schools.

Griffith Jones began setting up his charity schools in the early 1730s. He was a powerful preacher, but he had by then come to the conviction that listeners often understood very little of a sermon unless they were grounded in the basics of the Christian faith, that the best means of doing so was through catechizing, and that catechizing was much easier if people could read. This had arisen from his own experience, for when he began questioning some of his parishioners, he discovered ‘how deplorably ignorant the poor people are who cannot read, even when constant preaching is not wanting, while catechizing is omitted’, and how impractical it was to catechize ‘while the people cannot read’. His conclusion, therefore, was that the most effective way to dispel the spiritual ignorance he perceived around him was to establish schools. He seems to have been spurred on especially to do so by ‘a virulent outbreak of typhus in south-west Wales between 1727 and 1731, which had claimed the lives of many of his parishioners’, many of whom he feared, despite his
regular preaching, had died without a saving knowledge of Christ. There was an element of vocational training in the curriculum of the SPCK’s schools, with subjects like arithmetic, agriculture and navigation being taught to boys and needlework, knitting and spinning to girls. In contrast, the sole aim of Griffith Jones’s schools was to provide basic religious instruction – to teach people to read the Bible and to catechize them in the rudiments of the Christian faith. Apart from the Bible, the main text used in his schools was the Church Catechism, which concentrated on the Apostles’ Creed, the Ten Commandments, the Lord’s Prayer and the Sacraments of Baptism and the Lord’s Supper, while an exposition on that Catechism by Griffith Jones in the form of questions and answers was used as a textbook and constituted a simple body of divinity; prayer and psalm-singing were also actively promoted. The purpose of the schools, Jones said, was not to teach people writing or arithmetic, nor ‘to elate their minds’, nor ‘to make them gentlemen, but christians, and heirs of eternal life’.32

Until the massive industrial developments which began towards the end of the eighteenth century, Wales was a sparsely populated country of scattered rural communities, whose total population never reached 500,000. A poor, mountainous country with bad communications, it had no towns of any size and no major centres of learning and commerce. Part of the problem with the SPCK’s schools, as with those of the Welsh Trust before them, was that they tried to apply solutions to rural Wales which were more fitting for urban England.33 Griffith Jones adopted a different pattern, one far more conducive to the Welsh situation. In contrast to the schools of the Welsh Trust and the SPCK, which were fixed, and located mainly in the small market towns, Griffith Jones’s schools were circulating ones, generally held for three months in a particular neighbourhood, and using cheap, simple accommodation such as a barn or a farmhouse, a cottage or a church building, after which time the schoolmaster would move on to another district. This put the schools within easier reach of the scattered rural population. Furthermore, they were held mainly during the winter months, a slacker period for the workforce in the pastoral economy which dominated Wales at the time. That workforce included adults and children. Griffith Jones’s schools catered for all ages and for both sexes, and night classes were held for those who could not attend during the day. Very importantly, Welsh was used as the medium of instruction in Welsh-speaking areas. It was, Jones argued, as absurd to teach ‘our Welsh people Christian knowledge in English, a language they do not understand, as it would be to teach it to the poor English people in French’.34 English-medium schools, he said, took three or four years to teach Welsh-speaking children to read some easy parts of the Bible in English, and that very imperfectly without understanding what they were reading, whereas his Welsh-medium schools could teach them to read in their native tongue in three or four months. This had financial consequences also. Poor people could not afford to stay long at school; and in terms of running costs, ‘for the same money there could be but one taught to read English for twelve that are now taught Welsh’.35 His were charity schools. Schooling was free, and Griffith Jones made great efforts to raise financial support from benefactors, many of whom were from England.36 This included publishing a series of annual reports in English called Welsh Piety. Its title was probably suggested by Pietas Hallensis, August Hermann Francke’s account of charity schools in the vicinity of Halle, and is indicative of Pietist influences on Griffith Jones. Indeed, one author has described Jones as combining in himself ‘the spiritual Pietism of Spener and the more active and challenging aspects of Francke’s development of Pietism’.37

Griffith Jones’s circulating schools proved remarkably successful. They have been described as ‘the most striking experiment in mass religious education undertaken anywhere in Great Britain or its colonial possessions in the eighteenth century’.38 As has already been noted, through these schools Wales became in the mid-eighteenth century one of the most literate countries in the modern world. Indeed, it is estimated that by the time of Jones’s death in 1761 over 200,000 of the Welsh population had learned to read through these charity schools, and that at a time when the total population of Wales was only about 480,000.39

**GRiffith Jones AND METHODISM**

In many ways Griffith Jones was the father of the Methodist Revival, that powerful evangelical awakening which began in south Wales in the 1730s
and which would radically transform the spiritual and cultural landscape of Wales by the beginning of the nineteenth century. Although nicknamed ‘the Methodist Pope’ by his enemies, Griffith Jones’s relationship with the leaders of the Methodist Revival was a rather uncomfortable and ambivalent one in many respects, not least because he feared that any suggestion that he supported religious ‘enthusiasm’ would lead to a loss of support for his circulating schools among Anglican clergy and wealthy patrons. However, the link between his charity-school movement and the progress of the Methodist Revival is striking. Methodism was frequently strongest where Griffith Jones’s circulating schools were most popular. The great Methodist hymn-writer, William Williams of Pantycelyn, was adamant in his elegy to Griffith Jones, that it was through Jones that revival light first began to break out in Wales; and the early Methodist historian, Robert Jones of Rhos-Ilan, likened Griffith Jones’s charity schools to a cock-crow heralding the dawn of the Methodist Revival.

Carmarthenshire is a good case in point since that county was, in the mid-eighteenth century, a stronghold of both the circulating-schools movement and the emerging Methodist movement. After Griffith Jones, the next great name in the history of voluntary religious education in Wales is, without question, a man called Thomas Charles (1755–1814). Although he is usually known as ‘Thomas Charles of Bala’, a town situated in the centre of north Wales, he was actually born and raised in south-west Wales, in Carmarthenshire, within a stone’s throw of Llanddowror. Charles was strongly influenced by both Griffith Jones’s charity-school movement and the Methodist Revival. Like Griffith Jones before him, Thomas Charles was a skilled organizer, and he would be pivotal in continuing and developing the influence of both the charity-school movement and the Methodist Revival into the nineteenth century.

Gwladys Jones, in her excellent, pioneering volume, The Charity School Movement: A Study of Eighteenth Century Puritanism in Action (1938), is right in claiming: ‘It would be difficult to exaggerate the importance and effect of the charity school movement upon the history and character of the Welsh people.’ Griffith Jones’s prime concern was the spiritual enlightenment of the Welsh, and he and his educational movement were crucial in making the Bible and orthodox Protestant Christianity central to the lives of an increasing number of Welsh men and women as the eighteenth century progressed; but his work had other long-term consequences for Welsh life and culture which he would probably not have foreseen, nor sometimes even welcomed. In many ways, the flourishing of Methodism and evangelical Nonconformity, the preservation of the Welsh language, the strengthening of Welsh national identity, and the growth of radicalism – factors which between them created the radical, Nonconformist, Welsh-speaking culture that characterized much of Welsh life by the mid-nineteenth century – can all be traced back to his charity-school movement. It is certainly no exaggeration to describe Griffith Jones as ‘one of the prime makers of modern Wales and one of Britain’s most notable educational pioneers’.

GRiffITH Jones: AN ENLIGHTENMENT FIGURE?

Furthermore, it is perhaps not amiss to argue that Griffith Jones was a significant Enlightenment figure in eighteenth-century Wales, and not only of spiritual enlightenment. This may seem rather a strange claim for someone who excluded everything from the curriculum of his schools except the principles of the Christian faith, whose whole mission in life turned around that revealed, biblical faith, and who has been accused of not having ‘thirst for culture’. Those are the words of one of the most eminent authorities on eighteenth-century Wales, R. T. Jenkins, who claimed that ‘Griffith Jones’s sole purpose in going to school was to fit himself for his work as a clergyman – not love of knowledge for its own sake, nor thirst for culture. He was like his friend, Sir John Philipps, who tried to remove the heathen Latin poets from among the subjects studied at Eton, and to substitute Latin books on religion.’

Although Wales produced some significant Enlightenment figures, such as the philosopher, Richard Price (1723–91), the general tendency has been to emphasize that their main contribution and influence was outside Wales, and that Wales itself remained little affected by the Enlightenment, partly because of the increasing dominance of evangelical Christianity. However, in recent years, this view has been tempered somewhat, with one literary historian, for example, commenting thus in a perceptive
overview of life and thought in eighteenth-century Wales: ‘In the realm of ideas one might well at first sight believe that the rays of the Enlightenment reached Wales much attenuated, if they reached the Welsh-speaking population at all; but, in fact, partial acceptance of the new cultural climate – or reaction against it – is never far beneath the surface. Newton and Locke shimmer in unexpected places.’

Moreover, it has been argued that the tendency to juxtapose the Enlightenment and the Evangelical Revival of the eighteenth century as two diametrically opposed forces, of reason versus revelation, is too simplistic, and that there is actually a rather complex relationship between them, not simply one of polarization and conflict, to such an extent that it could be claimed that the two were in some ways wedded. One prominent Welsh literary critic has maintained recently, for example, that there were two Enlightenments at work in Wales during the eighteenth century, a ‘subjective’ one which placed ‘self’ and ‘mankind’ centre-stage, and a more ‘objective’ Enlightenment, where divine revelation was central and where the believer’s intellect and reason operated within the context of revealed truth and were subject to it.

A plurality of ‘Enlightenments’ is the subject of a recent book by the American historian, Gertrude Himmelfarb, entitled The Roads to Modernity: The British, French and American Enlightenments. Her purpose in that volume, she says, is to engage ‘in a doubly revisionist exercise, making the Enlightenment more British and making British Enlightenment more inclusive’. In it she argues that, while the study of Enlightenment has traditionally focussed on France, that French Enlightenment – where reason was given ‘the same absolute, dogmatic status as religion’, and ‘was not just pitted against religion, [but was] defined in opposition to religion’ – was predated by a British Enlightenment which had a rather different character and was without the same ‘animus to religion’. She also contends that, ‘To bring the British Enlightenment onto the stage of history, indeed, the center stage, is to redefine the very idea of Enlightenment. In the usual litany of traits associated with the Enlightenment – reason, rights, nature, liberty, equality, tolerance, science, progress – reason invariably heads the list. What is conspicuously absent is virtue. Yet it was virtue, rather than reason, that took precedence for the British, not personal virtue but the “social virtues” – compassion, benevolence, sympathy.’

As a result, Himmelfarb argues, the mainstream of British Enlightenment sought to create in Britain ‘an age of Enlightenment’ that was not ‘an age of reason’ but rather ‘an age of benevolence’, brought about not by revolutionary change to the political order, but by reform and the promotion of social virtue and responsibility.

After asserting that the eighteenth century ‘was, par excellence, the age of benevolence’ in English history, Gwladys Jones adds that the charity school was the ‘favourite form of benevolence […] of the pious and philanthropic men and women of eighteenth-century England […] and] the most striking of the many social experiments of the age’. Gertrude Himmelfarb, in her work, includes charity schools within the compass of her British Enlightenment, as examples of ‘benevolence and compassion’, and even more inclusively, she argues that the prominent English Methodist leader, John Wesley, should be included within this benevolent British Enlightenment, in part because Methodism shared that Enlightenment’s social ethos – and the Methodists were indeed far more concerned with social welfare than is often recognised. Interestingly, Himmelfarb argues that mainstream British Enlightenment showed far more concern for the common people than did the radicals of the French Enlightenment and their British counterparts, and one example she uses for this is the comparative lack of involvement by the radicals in educating the poor, and indeed in philanthropic enterprises and practical reforms in general. In contrast, in the second half of the eighteenth century, ‘the very word “philanthropist” […] became very nearly synonymous with “Evangelical”’. And certainly, if one considers an emphasis on virtue and benevolence and a concern for the common people as hallmarks of the British Enlightenment, then Griffith Jones ticks all the boxes.

He certainly showed great compassion – indeed love – for the poor people of Wales. They were not, to him, ‘vermin’ and ‘pests’, as they were to some of his fellow Anglican clergymen and to Enlightenment figures such as Diderot and Voltaire, but immortal souls for whom he showed concern, not only for their spiritual, but also for their physical needs, providing
them with bread and clothes and medicines. The scribes and Pharisees of Christ’s day, said Griffith Jones, preached only to the rich and treated the poor with contempt instead of pitying and teaching them, which ‘is ever the way where the spirit of Christ is not in the heart’. By contrast, Christ himself ‘came into the world professedly “to preach the Gospel to the poor’”; and Jones saw his role as following Christ’s example among the poor people of Wales, which ‘is ever the way where the spirit of Christ is with contempt instead of pitying and teaching them, which are, and quite destitute of all worldly treasures, they are yet capable of being enriched with the hidden treasures of divine wisdom and knowledge.’ Noble words, indeed!

Despite the huge success of his educational project, Griffith Jones’s work would not have had the long-term effects on Welsh society and culture that were described earlier without the subsequent work of Thomas Charles in building on the success of Jones’s charity-school movement, initially through his own circulating schools and then, crucially, through the strong Sunday-school movement he promoted. As has already been noted, Thomas Charles was heavily influenced by Griffith Jones, but there are also a number of significant differences between them. Furthermore, if Jones may be regarded as an Enlightenment figure, then that is even more true of Charles, partly because he was an heir not only of Griffith Jones but also of William Williams of Pantycelyn – but that is another story.
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