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Abstract

Circular economy emphasises the idea of transforming products involving economic growth and improving the ecological system to reduce the negative consequences caused by the excessive use of raw materials. This can be achieved with the use of second-generation biomass that converts industrial and agricultural wastes into bulk chemicals. The use of catalytic processes is essential to achieve a viable upgrade of biofuels from the lignocellulosic biomass. We carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculations to explore the relationship between twelve transition metals (TMs) properties, as catalysts, and their affinity for hydrogen and oxygen, as key species in the valorisation of biomass. The relation of these parameters will define the trends of hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) process on biomass-derived compounds. We found the hydrogen and oxygen adsorption energies in the most stable site have a linear relation with electronic properties of these metals that will rationalise the surfaces ability to bind the biomass-derived compounds and break the C-O bonds. This will accelerate the catalyst innovation for low temperature and efficient HDO processes on biomass derivates, e.g. guaiacol and anisole, among others. Among the monometallic catalysts explored, the scaling relationship pointed out that Ni has a promising balance between hydrogen and oxygen affinities according to the d-band centre and d-band width models. The comparison of the calculated descriptors to the adsorption strength of guaiacol on the investigated surfaces indicate that the d-band properties alone are not best suited to describe the trend. Instead, we found that a linear combination of work function and d-band properties gives significantly better correlation.

Introduction

In the last decades, environmental and sustainability awareness has grown against petroleum and natural gas, the long-established foundations of our modern society [1, 2]. Therefore, renewable alternatives must be developed not only as energy carriers but also as feedstock to produce bulk chemicals. As outlined in the EU Action Plan for the Circular Economy, renewable biomass is a desirable substitute if sourced sustainably or recycled, for example, from industrial and agricultural waste [3]. In this context, highly abundant lignocellulosic biomass has come to the focus of attention [4]. However, the conversion of lignin, which makes up about 25 to 30 % of lignocellulosic biomass [5], to valuable chemicals and fuels remains a challenge. Lignin is a highly complex three-dimensional macromolecule consisting of 4-hydroxyphenyl, guaiacol, and syringyl monolignol units connected by a variety of carbon-carbon and carbon-oxygen linkages [6]. A large number of methods for
the cleavage of lignin into smaller units have been developed [7, 8]. Still, the high oxygen content of the resulting products is not desirable to use as biofuels or feedstock for aromatic compounds in the petrochemical industry [9]. An important pathway for the reduction of the oxygen content is the hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) process [10, 11]. In the HDO reaction, oxygen-containing groups, such as for example hydroxy or methoxy groups, are removed from the substrate under reducing conditions (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1. Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) reaction scheme of guaiacol to benzene, water, and methanol.](image)

As shown by many previous reports, transition metals such as Fe [12, 13], Co [14, 15], Ni [16-18], Cu [16, 19], Mo [20-22], Ru [23, 24], Rh [14, 25], Pd [26-29], W [30], Pt [28, 31-33], or bimetallic combinations such as, AuPd [34], NiFe [7, 35-37], NiCu [38, 39], NiMo [14, 40, 41], and PtNi [31, 42] are highly active catalysts in the HDO reaction. However, these catalysts also show high activity for the hydrogenation of aromatic rings leading to less-desirable saturated products; furthermore, most of these catalysts rapidly deactivate due to coking [25, 43]. A full understanding of the HDO process on the catalysts is of paramount importance for the rational design of new selective and durable catalysts. Several computational studies provided insights into the HDO reaction, but the search for understanding of the relationship between activity, and selectivity and the properties of the catalysts is still ongoing [33, 44-48].

The catalytic activity of supported metal catalysts depends on properties such as structural features (e.g. particle size and shape), the structure and nature of the support, and the intrinsic properties of the metal atoms. For an efficient catalytic turnover, it is not only beneficial to have a thermodynamically feasible pathway with low activation barriers for the desired reaction, but also a weak binding of the products on the catalyst to prevent site inhibition. Commonly, linear Brønsted-Evans-Polanyi (BEP) relationships can be found between the activation and the adsorption energies, which may lead to volcano relations between adsorptions strength and catalytic activity (Sabatier principle) [49-51]. Similar to the BEP, the scaling relationships are graphical constructions illustrating the correlation between adsorption energies, for example of the oxygenated species, and properties of the catalysts, e.g. transition metal surfaces [52]. Basic geometric and electronic properties of
the catalytic surfaces, which, to a great extent, control the activation energies for the elementary surface reaction, can be used as descriptors of the catalytic activity [53, 54]. These descriptors are divided into primary and secondary features. The former ones are derived from the electronic structure, e.g., the d-band centre, d-band width, work function, surface energy, d-band skewness, and kurtosis. While ionisation potential, electron affinity and Pauling electronegativity are secondary features descriptors extracted from the periodic table [55]. Because descriptors are often simple to calculate, extensive screening studies can be carried out efficiently over a wide range of materials accelerating the catalyst innovation [52, 56, 57].

Among the primary features, the surface energy (γ), which corresponds to the energy to create a specific surface from a bulk material, was found to be a suitable descriptor for the catalytic activity of the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) by Zhuang et al. [58]. It is a measure of the degree of coordination on surface atoms. The work function (Φ) indicates the minimum energy necessary to move an electron from the highest occupied band into the vacuum. Losiewicz et al. investigated the catalytic activity of different metals in the hydrogen electro-evolution exchange current using the work function as an activity descriptor proving that the catalytic activity is a periodic function of their atomic numbers [59]. Likewise, Shen et al. used Φ as an additional descriptor along with the d-band centre to improve the predicting accuracy and to understand the catalytic properties in oxygen reduction reactions [60]. They considered the fact that band hybridisation and electron transfer can occur at the same time when a molecule adsorbs on TMs. The d-band centre (ε_d) parameter was introduced by Hammer and Nørskov [61, 62] and it measures the interaction strength between a TM and an adsorbate, which is directly related to the filling of the bonding orbitals. However, this descriptor needs to explicitly take into account how the bond-strength depends on the reduction of the adsorbate antibonding state, which is defined through the upper-edge position of the d-band [63]. Thus, the emptier the antibonding is, the stronger the interaction becomes. In other words, a higher d-band centre results in stronger bonds [64, 65].

Vojvodic et al. proposed a modification of the d-band model introducing the d-band width (W_d) in the oxygen bonding at metal surfaces, ε_d^W = ε_d + W_d/2 [66]. The new energy descriptor induced variations in bond-strengths, which were not captured by the simple d-band model [67]. The use of these parameters captures the effect of the d-electrons and the interaction with the adsorbate introducing a refined energy descriptor with ε_d and W_d [68]. Thus, the narrower the bandwidth is, the higher the occupation of these d-band is [69].
Several theoretical investigations have analysed the role of the metals for the HDO process [70-73]. However, these studies are focused on the most popular materials with little consideration of the electronic/geometry properties trends. Herein, we analysed the correlation between relevant adsorption energies of the HDO process and properties of transition metal surfaces evaluating their viability as descriptors for the catalytic activity. The use of statistical tools such as violin plot and linear regression allowed us to increase the accuracy with respect to the relation of the descriptors to the interaction energy.

**Computational methods**

We have carried out spin-polarised density functional theory (DFT) calculations using VASP (Vienna Ab initio Software Package) code [74] to systematically study the hydrogen and oxygen adsorption on a wide range of transition metal surfaces. The exchange and correlation contributions were calculated using the revised functional of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (rPBE) (see Table S1-S2 in the Supplementary Information) [75]. The core electrons were described using the Projected Augmented Wave (PAW) formalism [76], and a kinetic energy cut-off of 550 eV was defined for the valence electron plane-wave basis set. Long-range interactions were added using Grimme’s empirical dispersion correction DFT-D3 [77]. Dipole corrections were employed perpendicular to the metal surfaces upon hydrogen/oxygen adsorption. The Brillouin zone was sampled with a Monkhorst-Pack 13×13×13 k-point grid for bulk calculations and 3×3×1 k-point grid for slab calculations [78]. Isolated molecules were placed in a 20×20×20 Å box to avoid interactions with their periodic image.

In this study, we considered group VI (Mo, W), VIII (Fe, Ru), IX (Co, Rh, Ir), X (Ni, Pd, Pt), and XI (Cu, Ag, Au) metals as potential catalysts for the HDO process. These metals crystallise in a face-centred cubic (fcc) (Ni, Cu, Rh, Pd, Ag, Ir, Pt and Au), body-centred cubic (bcc) (Fe, Mo and W) or hexagonal close packed (hcp) lattice (Co and Ru). Slab models of their low index surfaces were generated with the Atomic Simulation Environment (ASE) based on optimised bulk lattice parameters [79]. We have chosen the (100), (110), and (111) surfaces for the metals with fcc and bcc structure, and the (0001), (10\textoverline{1}0) and (11\textoverline{2}0) surfaces for hcp metals. Each slab model consists of five atomic layers, where the top two were relaxed and the bottom three layers were fixed to their bulk positions. To avoid any interaction with the periodic images, we added a vacuum of 15 Å along the z-axis (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

**Surface characterisation**
The surface energy ($\gamma$) of the metal surfaces was calculated using Equation 1 from the total energy of the relaxed slab ($E_{\text{slab}}^{\text{relax}}$) and the unrelaxed frozen bulk-terminated slab ($E_{\text{slab}}^{\text{fix}}$), the energy per atom of the bulk material ($E_{\text{bulk}}$), and the area $A$ of the newly generated surface area [80, 81].

$$\gamma = \frac{E_{\text{slab}}^{\text{relax}} - E_{\text{bulk}}}{A} - \frac{E_{\text{slab}}^{\text{fix}} - E_{\text{bulk}}}{2A} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

The work function ($\Phi$) is defined as the difference between the energy in the vacuum ($E_{\text{vac}}$) and in the highest occupied band, i.e. the Fermi energy ($E_F$) (Equation 2) (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information).

$$\phi = E_{\text{vac}} - E_F \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

The d-band centre ($\epsilon_d$) is defined as the energy d-state cutting through the middle of all the d-states and it is obtained by halving the integral of the d-band [82]. To obtain the d-band width ($W_d$), the band was normalised using the Gaussian curve fitting to find the best fit for the series of energy states. Based on the rectangular band model approximation, we took the difference between the lowest energy ($W_1$) and the higher energy states ($W_i$) within the region, $W_d = W_i - W_1$ (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) [83]. While the d-band skewness ($S_d$) and d-band kurtosis ($K_d$) are based on the measure of symmetry and heavy or light tails of the distribution of the d-band [81].

To study the adsorption of hydrogen and oxygen atoms on inequivalent adsorption sites (see Figure 1), the respective atom was placed at 1.5 Å over the top metal layer of the surface and fully relaxed. For the calculation of the gas phase energies of hydrogen and oxygen, the molecules were placed in a sufficiently large box to avoid spurious interactions with the periodic cells [84]. For the fcc metals, these are on top (T), bridge (B), hollow fcc (fcc), and hollow hcp (hcp) on the (111) surface, on top (T), short bridge (SB), long bridge (LB), and hollow (H) on the (110) surface and on top, bridge, and hollow on the (100) surface. The hollow fcc positions are located over a hollow position of the first subsurface layer, while the hollow hcp positions are over a metal atom of the second layer. For the bcc metals, the sites are on top (T), bridge (B), hollow fcc (fcc), and hollow hcp (hcp) on the (111) surface, on top (T), short bridge (SB), long bridge (LB), and hollow on the (110) surface and on top (T), bridge (B), and hollow (H) on the (100) surface. On the (0001) surface of the hcp metals, we defined the on top
(T), bridge (B), hollow fcc, and hollow hcp positions [85]. For (1010) and (1120), the sites are on top, short bridge (SB), long bridge (LB) and hollow [86]. The adsorption energies ($E_{ads}$) were calculated with Equation 3 where the $E_{ads/slab}$ is the energy of the adsorbate on the slab, and $E_{adsorbate}$ and $E_{slab}$ are the energies of the free adsorbate (H$_2$ and O$_2$) and the clean surface, respectively:

$$E_{ads} = E_{ads/slab} - \left( E_{slab} + \frac{1}{2} E_{adsorbate} \right) \quad (Eq. 3)$$

![Diagram showing different adsorption sites on Ag(fcc) and W(bcc) and Co(hcp) surfaces.](image)

**Figure 1.** Schematic representations of the different adsorption sites on the (111), (110), and (100) surfaces of Ag (fcc) and W (bcc) and (0001), (1010), and (1120) surfaces of Co (hcp); T: on top; B: bridge; SB: short bridge; LB: long bridge; H: hollow; fcc: hollow position over atom of second layer; hcp: hollow position over hollow position in second layer.

Normalisation (Z-score) is a strategy to transform the data to have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one allowing to compare and evaluate the accuracy of the descriptors. The Z-score is obtained with equation 4, where $x_i$ is the original data, $\bar{x}$ is the sample mean, and $s$ is the sample standard deviation. This Z-score can be visualised in the violin plot, which allows comparing different sets of data using standardised tables.
\[ Z = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s} \]  
(Eq. 4)

Results and discussion

We summarised the results on metals optimisation from the bulk-like slab in Table S3 in the Supporting Information. It contains information regarding the areas, the atomic layers distances along the z-axis and slabs magnetisations.

Surface Energy

Our calculated surfaces energies for (111), (110), and (100) surfaces of the fcc (Ni, Cu, Rh, Pd, Ag, Ir, Pt and Au) and bcc metals (Fe, Mo, and W) and (0001), (10\bar{1}0), and (11\bar{2}0) surfaces of the hcp metals (Co and Ru) are shown in Figure 2 [87]. The values obtained are in good agreement with experimental values from previous work with a mean absolute percentage error of 6.5% (see Table S5-S6 in the Supporting Information). We found that the (111) surfaces are the most stable surfaces of the fcc metals due to the higher atomic coordination of the surface atoms compared to the (110) and (100) surfaces. This is in line with previous computational results from Wang et al. and Vitos et al. obtained with non-spin polarised PBE calculations and LMTO calculations, respectively [88]. Our results, as well as the calculations of Wang et al., indicate a higher stability of the (100) surface compared to the (110) surface for all group X and XI metals and Ir, whereas the results of Vitos et al. suggest that the (110) surfaces are more stable for Ni and Pd, and Ir. This trend follows the decrease of the coordination number of the surface layer atoms from (111) (CN: 9) to (100) (CN: 8) and (110) (CN: 7). Interestingly, the (110) surface of Rh is predicted to be slightly more stable \( E_{\text{surf}} = 0.02 \text{ J m}^{-2} \) than the (100) surface, while both Vitos et al. and Wang et al. reported the opposite ordering, albeit with small separation of 0.10 and 0.08 J m\(^{-2}\), respectively. The (110) surfaces of group VI metals (Mo and W) are calculated to be most stable followed by the (111) and (100) surfaces in agreement with previous reports. The results of Vitos et al. suggest that the (111) surface to be the least stable surface. For the bcc metals, the coordination number of the top layer atoms is not a good indicator of the surface energy as the small difference in distance to first and second neighbours does not properly reflect the density of the packing at the surface. Moreover, we found that the (0001) surfaces of the hcp metals Co and Ru are the most stable surface for these metals, which is in agreement with previous reports [89, 90]. The next most stable surface is in both cases the (10\bar{1}0) surface followed by the (11\bar{2}0) surface. This reflects
the decrease in coordination number of the surface atoms from 9 for the (0001) to 8 for the (10\bar{1}0) and 7 for the (11\bar{2}0) surface.

The deviations from the mentioned literature values arise from the different computational methodologies, which emphasises the need for a systematic recalculation of the surface energies, here present.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Surface energies (\(\gamma\)) of the investigated metal surfaces. The solid bars represent the herein calculated values whereas the dashed bars represent previous experimental results [88, 90-99].

Higher surface energies are related to surface instability and, therefore, high chemical reactivity. In general, we see a decrease in surface energy within a period with increasing group number. The surfaces of Ag and Au show the lowest surface energies (1.47 and 1.19 J m\(^{-2}\), respectively), which reflects their general low reactivity, whereas the more reactive early transition metals Mo and W have significantly higher values (3.69 and 4.42 J m\(^{-2}\), respectively). Both extremes will lead to a weak catalytic activity according to the Sabatier principle [49-51].

**Work Function (\(\Phi\))**

The work function (\(\Phi\)) for all investigated metal surfaces is shown in Figure 3. The calculated values for the work function of the surfaces (in Table S7-S8 in the Supporting Information) with a mean absolute percentage error of 4.7% from the experimental values [88, 100]. The work function depends on the exposed metal facet which suggests that the more stable the surface is, the higher the work function becomes. This trend can be confirmed for the fcc metals, where the work function values are in the following order \((111) > (100) > (110)\) for the respective metals. The same sequence can be seen for the bcc metals where the most stable facet \((110)\) has
the highest work function. Higher work function means that the electrons cannot escape easily from the surface because it has a lower probability that the surface is exposed to the vacuum [88]. Therefore, the described trend between work function and surface energy is only valid for surfaces of the same metal and no correlation can be found for the surfaces of different metals [101]. Pt and Ir show the overall highest work function, so it can be concluded that these metals accumulate electron density at the surface while Mo, Fe and W present the lowest work function being poor in electron density at the surfaces [102, 103].

Figure 3. Work function (Φ) of the investigated metal surfaces. The solid bars represents the herein calculated values whereas the dashed bars represent previous experimental results [88, 100].

**d-band Properties**

We have summarised the calculated values for d-band properties such as: d-band centre (ε_d), width (W_d), skewness (S_d) and kurtosis (K_d) of all metals and surfaces under study as a heatmap in Figure 4-a, 4-b, 4-c, and 4-d respectively.

The herein reported d-band properties have been also calculated by various other studies e. The values of the calculated d-band centre (ε_d) present a mean absolute percentage error of 12.6% with previous works. While there is generally a good agreement with the literature values, the moderate deviation of the percentage error found for the ε_d of the surfaces is due to a considerable difference between the ε_d values of Fe, Pt and Pd (21.3% of mean absolute percentage error). A detailed comparison to previous computational works for ε_d and W_d can be found in Tables S9 and S10 in the Supporting Information, respectively. As expected, the values of the d-
band centres of the most stable surfaces become generally more negative within a period with increasing atomic number due to the increased filling of the d-band except for the group X metals (Ni, Pd, Pt). The d-band centre of the group IX metals (Co, Rh, Ir) is located closer to the Fermi energy than the neighbouring group VIII (Fe, Ru, Os) and group X metals. For example, the d-band centre of Fe(111) was calculated as $-1.20$ eV while the ones of Co(0001) and Ni(111) are $-1.50$ eV and $-1.42$ eV, respectively. Such behaviour was previously described for Ru-Rh-Pd and Os-Ir-Pt [66, 104].

The reason for this could be the change in crystal structure from one metal to the next, which in itself could influence the location of the d-band centre as shown by our results. For the fcc metals, we found (except for Ag) the most negative d-band centres for the (111) surfaces, which exhibit the highest coordination number, but there is no clear correlation between these two properties. The d-band centre follows the ordering (111) < (100) < (110) for Cu and Au but (111) < (110) < (100) for Rh, Ir, and Pt. For Ni, both the (110) and (100) surfaces have the same values for the d-band centre. The lowest d-band centre for Ag can be found for the (100) surface, but the overall variation between the surfaces is only 0.05 eV. In the case of the bcc structures, Fe(110), Mo and W((111) and (110)) have the lowest d-band centre. There is not a relationship either with the most stable surface or the highest coordination number. Fe, Mo, and W (100) showed the highest d-band centre being Mo(100) and W(100) the least stable surfaces. For hcp structures, the (0001) surface are the most stable and with the highest coordination number and have the lowest d-band centre in comparison with (1010)) and (1120) surfaces of the same metals. As in the case of the fcc surfaces, there is no clear connection to the coordination number of the surface atoms visible. A higher $\varepsilon_d$ corresponds to lower filling of the antibonding states provoking a strong binding between the metal and adsorbates. This suggests that Au and Ag will be less reactive than Mo and W (Figure 4a).

The $W_d$ values calculated were compared with Vojvodic et al. [66], resulting in a mean absolute percentage error of 11.7%. This reflects that the method that we used does not give considerably different results to those obtained using the second moment of the electronic density of states in previous works. $W_d$ did not show a link with the $\varepsilon_d$ values calculated; this is caused by an independent relation between the filling of d-band with these two descriptors similar to ref [68]. However, $W_d$ presents a good relationship with $K_d$ and $S_d$ ($R^2$: 0.892 and 0.934 respectively). The trend shows the $K_d$ and $S_d$ values decreasing with increasing $W_d$ (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). $K_d$ measures the spread of the d-band, in which lower values means that the d-band is broader and a more positive $\varepsilon_d$ while $S_d$ measures the symmetry of the d-band. Positive $S_d$ values mean that the distribution of the states is more concentrated on the right side of the band and its d-band centre is far away from the $E_F$. Hence, big positive $S_d$ values mean a narrow d-band and a more negative high d-band. Although
there is a good correlation degree with the $\varepsilon_d$ ($R^2$: 0.636 and 0.700 for $K_d$ and $S_d$ respectively), the highest degree correlation with the d-band width means the lack of relationship with $W_d$ and $\varepsilon_d$.

Figure 4. Heatmap of (a) d-band centre ($\varepsilon_d$), (b) d-band width ($W_d$), (c) d-band skewness ($S_d$) and (d) d-band Kurtosis ($K_d$) (in eV) on (111), (110), (100) fcc and bcc and (0001), (10\bar{1}0), and (11\bar{2}0) hcp surfaces. Smaller
values are given by red colour in the plot. Values are within the range from –3.88 to –0.72 eV for \( \varepsilon_d \), 4.25 to 11.0 eV for \( W_d \), –0.36 to 4.02 eV for \( S_d \) and 1.80 to 23.90 eV for \( K_d \).

**Hydrogen adsorption**

We have summarised the hydrogen adsorption energies in a heatmap, see Figure 5 (Table S13-S14 in the Supporting Information for details), which illustrates the dependence on the facet and adsorption site. Except for Pt and Ir, the strongest adsorption of H on the (111) surfaces of the fcc metals was found on hollow sites. The fcc and hcp hollow sites are almost degenerate with a slight preference for the fcc sites on Rh, Pd, and Au and for the hcp sites on Ni. This is in agreement with previous theoretical studies on the adsorption of H on fcc(111) surfaces which suggest that the fcc sites are mostly favoured but without any significant energy difference to the hcp sites [105-117]. According to our calculations H atoms are most stable on top sites of Pt and Ir, whereas some studies only found the top site to be favoured for Ir [118-122]. Others suggest that the top site is more stable for Pt [123, 124]. However, the energy difference between the top sites and the hollow sites was found to be low [112, 113, 123, 124]. On the fcc(110) surfaces, the bridge adsorption sites (SB or LB) are favoured for all metals except Ir and Pt, for which the top site is more stable. However, the top sites on Pt(110) show only an energy difference of 0.01 eV to the short bridge site. The long bridge sites are favoured for Ni and Pd and the short bridge sites for Cu, Rh, Ag, Ir, and Au but the energy difference between both bridge sites is below 0.1 eV for Ni, Cu, Rh, and Pd. DFT calculations by Shi et al. on the Pt(110) found the short bridge site to be most stable but that H atoms on bridge and top sites coexist at higher coverages [122]. Hydrogen adsorption on the (100) surface is the strongest on the hollow sites of Ni, Cu, and Ag and bridge sites of Rh, Pd, Ir, Pt, and Au. Significant energy differences (>0.1 eV) between the hollow and top sites were found only for Ir and Pt. Previous theoretical works also identified these two sites as the most stable ones but which one of these is favoured changed depending on the specific computational methodology used [107, 111, 120, 125-128].

The most stable adsorption site on the (100) surface of the bcc metals Fe, Mo, and W show is the bridge site as found in previous theoretical studies [107, 129]. Our results indicate that there is no general trend for the sites with the lowest energy for H adsorption on the bcc(111) surfaces. The hollow-hcp sites are most stable on Fe(111), the bridge site on Mo(111) and the top site on W(111). The energy between the top and bridge sites on Mo and W is below 0.05 eV. The hollow sites of the bcc(110) surfaces have the lowest energies for the adsorption of hydrogen in agreement with the literature [107, 112, 124, 130, 131].
The most stable adsorption on the (0001) surfaces of the hcp metals Co and Ru are the hollow hcp sites. Other theoretical studies identified the fcc sites as lowest energy positions but there are no significant energy differences to the hcp sites [107, 131-133]. The adsorption on the bridge sites (SB or LB) for (10\overline{1}0), and (11\overline{2}0) hcp surfaces is preferred, while the top position is least favourably.

The magnitude of the adsorption energies ranges from −1.40 eV (on Ru(11\overline{2}0)) to +0.13 eV (on Ag(111)) relative to the clean surface and \( \frac{1}{2} \) H\(_2\) molecule. Except for Pt and Au, the (110) surfaces of the fcc metals bind strongest to hydrogen compared to the other facets. For Pt and Au, the (100) surface shows a lower adsorption energy, which is equal to the one on the (111) surface in the case of Au. The weakest adsorption of hydrogen can be found on the (111) surface of Rh, Ag, Ir, and Pt, on the (100) surfaces of Ni, Cu, and Pd and on the (110) surface of Au. Our results suggest that the bcc metals Mo and W bind H strongest on the (110) surfaces and weakest on the (100) surfaces. For the surfaces of Fe, we found the strongest adsorption on the (110) surface and the weakest on the (111) surface, but without any significant energy difference (≤0.03 eV). The (10\overline{1}0), and (11\overline{2}0) surfaces of Ru interact stronger with hydrogen compared to the (0001) surface while the energy difference between these surfaces is not larger than 0.03 eV for Co. On all surfaces except Ag (111), the adsorption energies are negative, which means that the chemisorption of atomic hydrogen is energetically favoured.
Oxygen adsorption

We have summarised the oxygen adsorption energies in a heatmap, see Figure 6 (see Table S15-S16 in the Supporting Information for details), which illustrates the dependence on the facet and adsorption site. The most favourable adsorption site on the fcc(111) surfaces is the fcc hollow site followed by the hcp site in agreement with previous theoretical works [105, 109, 114, 115, 117, 118, 134-140].

On the fcc(110) surfaces, the short bridge sites are favoured for all metals except Cu and Ag for which the hollow site is more stable. The hollow sites are the lowest energy adsorption sites for oxygen on most of the fcc(100) surfaces as reported in previous publications [126, 128, 141-143]. Only for Ir and Pt, the bridge sites were found to be more stable which is in agreement with other theoretical calculations [144-148]. On the bcc(100) surfaces, the adsorption of oxygen occurs preferentially on the hollow sites of Fe(100) and on the bridge sites of Mo(100) and W(100). This is in agreement with previous computational studies [149-152].

The hollow sites were identified as most stable positions for oxygen adsorption on the (110) surfaces of Fe and W while the long bridge position is most favourable on Mo(110). The results for Fe(110) and W(110) are in good
agreement with the literature [153, 154]. However, the hollow position shows the weakest adsorption for oxygen on Mo(110) whereas previous studies concluded that it would be the most stable site but followed by long bridge site [155-157]. The favoured oxygen adsorption sites on the bcc(111) surfaces are the bridge sites for Fe(111) and Mo(111) and top sites for W(111). As for hydrogen, the favoured positions for oxygen adsorption on the (0001) surfaces of the hcp metals are the hollow-hcp sites closely followed by the fcc sites. This confirms the results found previously by other studies [133, 158-160]. For the (1010) surface, oxygen prefers the long bridge and short bridge sites for Co and Ru, respectively, while on the (1120) surfaces the short bridge sites are favoured for both Co and Ru. In agreement with previous reports, bcc metals have a strong oxyphilic character [161-164], which may facilitate the direct cleavage of C=O bonds but will require elevated temperatures to release the oxygenated compounds. The different behaviour of these facets opens the possibility of nanoparticles engineering where the right ratio between desired facets may enhance the catalytic activity and selectivity towards specific products.

![Heatmap of oxygen adsorption energies (E_{ads})](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Heatmap of oxygen adsorption energies (E_{ads}), only values within range from ~4.28 to 0.77 eV

Scaling relations
To maximise the predictive character of this study, we analysed the trends in hydro- and oxophilicity by exploring several relationships between the adsorption energies and surface descriptors described above. Combining the metals and surfaces explored, we have more than 39 values to normalise (Tables S17-S30 in the Supplementary Information) leading to a violin plot enhancing the comparison and rationalisation. The violin plot, see Figure 7, represents the statistical distribution of the normalised descriptors, which is convenient for comparing them. This plot will help us to visualise the entire distribution of the data and to analyse linear relationships. The more normal distributed, i.e. symmetrical shape with a wide belly curved around zero, is the data, the more likely a linear trendline (and a higher degree of correlation).

We have identified the median of the results of each descriptor, white point in the centre of the violin plots because it is a robust estimate of the mean and ensures the average of the low or high values of it [68]. The distribution of the data shows a bell-shaped curve (Gaussian curve) within Z-score range of −3 to 3, where the mean dominates the position of the centre, i.e. the median and the mean are equal [54]. The data related to the work function (Φ) and d-band centre (ε_d), second and third violin, falls right on the mean although the position is not in the centre, which is determined by the peak of the curve. The d-band width violin presents an elongated distribution without a well-defined peak around the mean indicating a lack of symmetry in the data and, therefore, a weak linear trend. Both the d-band skewness (S_d) and kurtosis (K_d) show the belly-shape curve in one extreme, this is related to a log-normal distribution, in which the data is more skewed in one part (as we can see in Figure S8-S9 in the Supporting information). This will give as a result a better logarithmic trend with the H and O adsorption energies than a linear trend.

The first and the last violins, i.e. surface energy (γ) and d-band width modification (ε^W_d = ε_d + W_d/2) [66] descriptors, have a defined peak of the curve falling right to the mean. Moreover, their wide sections are remarkably concentrated around the median value, very close to zero Z-score, showing that the data is symmetrically around the mean. This means that these descriptors are within one standard deviation of the mean, hence, there is a high probability to fit in a linear trend with the dataset with a high degree of correlation [165].
Figure 7. Violin plots of the descriptors surface energy ($\gamma$), work function ($\Phi$), d-band centre ($\varepsilon_d$), d-band width ($W_d$), d-band skewness ($S_d$), d-band kurtosis ($K_d$) and d-band width modification ($\varepsilon_w^d$) of the TMs candidates.

The violin plot facilitates the identification of descriptors and based on the information from Figure 7, we have represented scaling relationships between the descriptors and the adsorption energies of the most stable sites (see Figure 8 and Figures S5-S11 in the Supplementary Information). Inset in these figures there are the corresponding (multiple) correlation coefficient ($R^2$), which is used as a statistical test to measure the association between the two variables i.e. descriptors and adsorption energy [166]. As close to one is $R^2$ the better is the fitting and stronger the relationship between variables.
Figure 8. Scaling relationships between (a) d-band width modification ($\varepsilon_d^w$) for (a) H and (b) O adsorption energies, surface energy ($\gamma$) with the d-band width modification ($\varepsilon_d^w$) for (c) H and (d) O adsorption energies, and work function ($\Phi$) with the d-band width modification ($\varepsilon_d^w$) for (e) H and (f) O adsorption energies.

Simple (a and b) and multiple (c-f) linear regression coefficients ($R^2$) are inset.

The addition of a second descriptor can increase the correlation between the chemical properties and the affinity of atomic or molecular species [166]. Using a multiple linear regression model ($Y_{\text{calc}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2$), we created combined descriptors (with $x$: $\gamma$, $\Phi$, $\varepsilon_d$, and $W_d$; see Supporting Information for details on the fitting). These present a substantial improvement in accuracy correlating both adsorption energies with the combination of $\varepsilon_d^w$. 

$\beta_2 = 0.913$, $\beta_2 = 0.946$
with different descriptors ($R^2$: 0.919 and 0.907 for $\gamma$ and $R^2$: 0.919 and 0.907 for the $\Phi$). It proves that the electron transfer (represented by $\Phi$) between the adsorbent and TM surface and the band hybridisation (represented by $\varepsilon_d^W$) can occur at the same time during the adsorption process [60]. Moreover, it was demonstrated that not only the electronic properties control the catalytic activity but also the stability of the structure related to the measure of the number of bonds broken to form a new surface is a good factor to take into account in the material selection. The charge of H and O on such metal surfaces is quite different and the small magnitude of the fitting parameter $\beta$ for H adsorption compared to the O adsorption reflects the lower influence of the electron transfer for the H adsorption.

The results herein discussed on metals hydrogen and oxygen affinities and based on Sabatier’s principle [49-51], we rationalise the selection of a monometallic HDO catalysts. The scaling relationships with $\varepsilon_d^W$, $\varepsilon_d^W + \gamma$ and $\varepsilon_d^W + \Phi$ gave as a result that Ag and Au have weak affinities for H and O, contrarily to Mo, W, and Ru, which is too strong. Cu, Pd and Pt are considered intermediate weak adsorption energies, while Fe, Rh and Co have intermediate strong adsorption energies. The three models point to Ni as metal catalysts with intermediate affinities for hydrogen and oxygen (neither too strong nor too weak). This outcome is a good agreement with several studies which use Ni as part of metal catalysts such as monometallic, bimetallic supported in hydrogenation and deoxygenation process of biomass derivates with good results [167-169].

**Adsorption of Guaiacol**

Based on the results of the descriptor calculations, we selected six metal surfaces to study the adsorption of guaiacol (GUA), prominent model substrate for the HDO reaction. More precisely, we investigated the most stable surfaces of Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Pd, and Pt according to their intermediate adsorption energies of H and O. GUA molecule was placed at different adsorption positions (0°, 45° and 90°) on the TM selected as shown in Figure 9 (see Table S33).
Figure 9. Schematic view of the different adsorption on Ni(111) when the molecule (GUA) is adsorbed in a) parallel (0°), b) 45° and c) perpendicular (90°) form.

As shown in Table 1, the weakest adsorption is at a 90° angle between the surface and the aromatic ring of the substrate, while the strongest is at 0° (Table S33 in the Supporting Information). This is due to the aromatic ring in the guaiacol is characterised by a cloud of π-electrons; these electrons interact strongly with the surfaces increasing the selectivity and adsorption energy. This parallel mode results found in aromatic compounds are similar to those reported for similar surfaces [7, 44, 170-172].

Table 1. Adsorption of guaiacol (GUA) on metals surfaces in different positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ni (111)</th>
<th>Cu (111)</th>
<th>Pt (111)</th>
<th>Fe (110)</th>
<th>Pd (111)</th>
<th>Co (0001)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E_{ads} [eV]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The order of the guaiacol adsorption energy (E_{ads}) is Pt(111) > Fe(110) > Pd(111) > Ni(111) > Co(0001) > Cu(111). We noticed that the trend is almost the same as we found in the scaling relations where Cu(111) and Co(0001) have an intermediate-weak adsorption strength and Fe(110) and Pt(111) have an intermediate-strong adsorption with GUA. Figure 10 shows the guaiacol adsorption energy against the descriptors studied, something to highlight is the strong adsorption found on Pt(111). These results indicate that there is no correlation between the adsorption energy, the surface energy and d-band centre (see Figure 10-a and 10-c). For example, Pt(111) binds the substrate strongest (~1.92 eV) but has the second highest d-band centre. A better correlation can be obtained with the work function as descriptor (see Figure 10-b) although it was identified as a poor descriptor for the adsorption of H and O. An increase in Φ corresponds to an increase in E_{ads}, which is related to the nature
of the surface in terms of charge transfer. For example, Pt accumulates more electron density at the surface than Cu(111), which has smaller work function [101]. This observation makes clear that the selection of materials not only depends on the interaction with the d-band and their properties but also on the metal’s nature. The use of different the descriptors $\Phi, \Phi + \epsilon_d$, and $\Phi + \epsilon_d^W$ gives a linear trend with a high correlation degree ($R^2$: 0.740, 0.793 and 0.877 eV, respectively) as shown in Figure 10-b, 10-h and 10-i. These indicate the main role of $\Phi$ and $\epsilon_d^W$, which better relate to the strength of the bond on the metals.

![Figure 10](image.png)

Figure 10. Plots of absorption energy for GUA against the descriptors (a) surface energy ($\gamma$), (b) work function ($\Phi$), (c) d-band centre ($\epsilon_d$), (d) d-band width modification ($\epsilon_d^W$), (e) d-band skewness ($S_d$), (f) d-band kurtosis ($K_d$), (g) surface energy ($\gamma$) with the d-band width modification ($\epsilon_d^W$), (h) work function ($\Phi$) with the d-band...
centre (ε_d), and (i) work function (Φ) with the d-band width modification (ε_d^W) of the TMs selected. Linear regression coefficients (R^2) are inset.

Conclusion
The creations of scaling relationships using the adsorption energies of H, O on the surfaces were analysed using GGA-rPBE. The descriptors were evaluated with the violin plot normalising the data in which the surface energy (γ) and the d-band width modification ε_d^W showed a normal distribution which means that they are more likely to fit in a linear regression. This hypothesis was confirmed using these two descriptors in the scaling relationship giving a high R^2 correlation (> 0.85) in both H and O species, providing high accuracy in the selection of the metal surfaces concluding that the ε_d descriptor is not a universal parameter to describe the interactions with the d-band and modification needs to be done to improve the accuracy in the selection of materials.

In the three models studied, Ni is the metal with intermediate affinities for H and O, and therefore good properties for the hydrogenation of oxygenated reactions along the HDO process, this results is in a good agreement with multiple investigations using this metal as monometallic and as a part of bimetallic catalysts supported on different materials [164, 173-175]. Finally, we validated the applicability of our descriptors for the HDO of guaiacol by comparing them to the adsorption energies. The results showed that the work function is an important and valuable descriptor of the adsorption strength of the substrate although it showed no correlation to the adsorption of H and O. The best results were obtained by combining the descriptors of work function and d-band properties. Ultimately, these results help us to build a robust screening methodology for catalytic materials but also shed light on the chemical properties that influence the adsorption and catalytic process.

Additional Information
*Information on the following should be included wherever relevant.*

Ethics
Insert ethics statement here is applicable

Data Accessibility
All data created during this research are openly available from the University of Cardiff Research Portal http://doi.org/10.17035/d.2020.0104938086

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally

Competing Interests

There are no conflicts to declare

Funding Statement

Acknowledgments

FM-F gratefully acknowledges to CONACYT (National Council for Science and Technology) for the student scholarship with the reference number 440221. JE would like to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for support through a research fellowship (EN 1229/1-1). UK Catalysis Hub is thanked for resources and support provided through our membership of the UK Catalysis Hub Consortium and funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) (grants EP/K014706/1, EP/K014668/1, EP/K014854/1, EP/K014714/1, and EP/M013219/1). We also thank the EPSRC for the support through the EP/P005845/1 grant. Via our membership of the UK’s HEC Materials Chemistry Consortium, which is funded by EPSRC (EP/L000202), this work used the UK Materials and Molecular Modelling Hub for computational resources, MMM Hub, which is partially funded by EPSRC (EP/P020194). We also acknowledge computing time on the facilities of Supercomputing Wales and the Advanced Research Computing @ Cardiff (ARCCA) at Cardiff University.

Disclaimer

References

3. Act SM. Communication from the commission to the European parliament, the council, the economic and social committee and the committee of the regions. 2011.
121. Shi Q, Sun R. Adsorption manners of hydrogen on Pt(100), (110) and (111) surfaces at high coverage. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry. 2017;1106:43-9.


137. Miller SD, Kitchin JR. Relating the coverage dependence of oxygen adsorption on Au and Pt fcc (1 1 1) surfaces through adsorbate-induced surface electronic structure effects. Surface science. 2009;603(5):794-801.


150. Bloński P, Kieja A, Hafner J. Theoretical study of oxygen adsorption at the Fe (1 1 0) and (1 0 0) surfaces. Surface science. 2005;590(1):88-100.


Supplementary material
Supplementary material can be used for supporting data sets, movies, figures and tables, and any other supporting material. The main article, however, should stand on its own merit. Where possible, supplementary material should be combined into one Word document or PDF. A template is available here.