Leveraging Fluorescent Emission to Unitary Yield: Dimerization of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
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We report on the synthesis and characterization of novel substituted 1,1'-biperylene-2,2'-diols in which the dihedral angle between the two polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) units is tailored from ca. 60° to ca. 90° in the solid state by introduction of cyclo-etheric straps or sterically hindered groups such as the triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) group. Depending on the type of substitution, we lock the dihedral angle between the perylenyl moieties enabling fine-tuning of the molecular optoelectronic properties, with the molecules displaying the smallest angles acting as exceptionally strong emitters with unitary quantum yields.
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Introduction

Rational control of the dihedral angle between the π-conjugated fragments of molecular entities is one of the approaches used by organic chemists to finely tune the optoelectronic properties of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.[1–7] Key examples include synthetic bacteriochlorins,[8] meso meso linked porphyrins,[2] extended naphthofurans,[4][9] and binaphthyl-derived polymers.[3][10] Among the different scaffolds, biaryls and its derivatives have certainly attracted the widest interest, as they can be used as ligands for asymmetric catalysis,[11–14] key structural elements in pharmacologically active substances,[15–17] agrochemicals,[17][18] supramolecular architectures,[19–26] mechanophores-based sensors,[27][28] and light-harvesting complexes,[29][30] to mention a few. In this context, chiral 1,1'-bi-2-naphthols (BINOLs) revealed to be a versatile building block for the construction of functional luminescent materials for fluorescent sensors,[31–37] metal organic frameworks (MOFs),[22][38] chiral polymers,[10][31][39] and molecular machines,[40–43] among others.

Very recently, we reported on the synthesis and characterization of a highly emissive π-extended 1,1'-biperylene-2,2'-diol (Φ-2H)[7] featuring good solubility in organic solvents, blue-centered UV-Vis absorption and high fluorescence quantum yields (Φ ~ 0.9) when compared to that of its perylenyl precursor (Φ ~ 0.5).[7] When looking at the chemical structure of (Φ)-2H, one can hardly fail to see that the free hydroxy groups of the biperylenediol moieties can be functionalyzed either with bridging units or bulky groups to gain control on the torsion angle (Figures 1 and 2) between the two perylenyl fragments of (Φ)-2H.[44] It is in the light of this observation that we report on the synthesis, X-ray analysis, and photophysical properties of a series of 1,1'-biperylene-2,2'-diol derivatives, in which the torsion angle between the two perylenyl units is modulated by introducing either bridging cycloethers or sterically hindered TIPS substituents (Figure 2). As expected, the molecules featuring the
Figure 1. Schematic representation of the dimerization and locking of PAHs into highly fluorescent dimeric molecules. $\theta'$ = dihedral angle of open dimer and $\theta''$ = dihedral angle of closed dimer. Quantum yield values of 0.5 and 0.9 for perylene and biperylene derivatives are taken from the literature. [7]

smallest angles displayed the strongest emissive properties.

Results and Discussion

The synthetic conditions adopted for the preparation of 2,2'-functionalized biperylenediol derivatives $2^{\text{Ac}}$ and $3^{\text{Cyc}}$ are gathered in Table 1. Starting biperylene-diol (Φ)-2$^{2\text{H}}$ and references (Φ)-2$^{2\text{Me}}$ and (Φ)-1$^{\text{Fur}}$ were prepared following a previously reported protocol (Scheme S1).[7]

Treatment of biperylenediol (Φ)-2$^{2\text{H}}$ with TIPSCI in the presence of imidazole and DMAP in DMF[45] led to the formation of mono-TIPS substituted (Φ)-2$^{2\text{H}}$Tips and disubstituted derivative (Φ)-2$^{2\text{H}}$Tips in 46% and 18% yield, respectively. Capitalizing on a double Williamsson etherification reaction,[44][46] compound (Φ)-2$^{2\text{H}}$ was reacted with the relevant benzyl- or alkyl halide in the presence of K$_2$CO$_3$ to form compounds (Φ)-3$^a$, (Φ)-3$^b$, and (Φ)-3$^d$ in 97, 24, and 88% yield, respectively. In a like manner, compound (Φ)-2$^{2\text{H}}$ underwent a tetra alkylation reaction[47] when treated very slowly with 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(bromomethyl)benzene in the presence of K$_2$CO$_3$ in anhydrous DMF at 80 °C yielding molecule 3$^c$ (Table 1, Scheme S2). Purification of the crude material through silica gel chromatography gave two distinct fractions that have been assigned to meso-(R,S)-3$^c$ and racemic mixture of (R,R)-3$^c$ and (S,S)-3$^c$ ((Φ)-3$^c$). The molecular masses of both meso and racemic 3$^c$ were identified by HR-MALDI through the detection of the peaks at m/z 1867.1141 and 1867.1173, respectively ($M^+$, C$_{138}$H$_{146}$O$_4$ $^+$; calc. 1867.1216). Solution $^1$H-NMR analysis of both samples showed highly symmetric spectra (Figures 3,a and 3,b), with the biperylenyl moieties being chemically equivalent and the appearance of the typical doublet pattern of the diastereotopic methylene protons (see also below). Whereas molecule (Φ)-3$^c$ is highly soluble, meso-3$^c$ proved to be poorly soluble in most of the common organic solvents, which limited its spectroscopic characterization. Only X-ray analysis allowed decisive discrimination between the two diaster-eoisomers giving unambiguous confirmation of their
Table 1. Synthetic protocol adopted for the preparation of acyclic $^{2\text{H}}$Tips and $^{2\text{2}}$Tips, and cyclic $^{3\text{Cyc}}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>O-substituents</th>
<th>Experimental conditions (a or b)</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Φ)-$^{2\text{H}}$Tips</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>a) imidazole, DMAP, TIPSCI, dry DMF, r.t., 24 h</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Φ)-$^{2\text{2}}$Tips</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>b) CH Br$_2$, K CO$_3$, NaI, dry acetone, reflux, 48 h</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Φ)-$^{3\text{a}}$</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>b) CH Br$_2$, K$_2$CO$_3$, dry acetone, reflux, 48 h</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Φ)-$^{3\text{b}}$</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>b) (CH$_2$)$_2$Br$_2$, K$_2$CO$_3$, dry DMF, 80 °C, 48 h</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{3\text{c}}$</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>b) 1,2,4,5-tetrakis (bromomethyl)benzene, K$_2$CO$_3$, dry DMF, 80 °C, 48 h</td>
<td>62$^{[a]}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Φ)-$^{3\text{d}}$</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>b) α,α'-dibromo-o-xylene, K$_2$CO$_3$, dry DMF, 80 °C, 48 h</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{[a]}$Total yield corresponding to meso-$^{3\text{c}}$ in 35% and (Φ)-$^{3\text{c}}$ in 27% yield.

Particular attention was paid to the two-folded tetrakis(methylenyl)benzene derivative (Φ)-$^{3\text{c}}$, which converts between two possible conformers: unfolded (U) and folded (F) (Figure 4.b). Dynamic properties of the conformation of (Φ)-$^{3\text{c}}$ were investigated through variable temperature (VT) $^1$H-NMR experiments in (D$_6$) acetone using a temperature range between 45 °C and 70 °C (Figure 4.a). $^1$H-NMR spectrum at r.t. shows the peaks of the aromatic H-atoms located between 7.1 and 8.6 ppm and two doublets between 5.4 and 5.6 ppm that can be assigned to the diastereotopic methylene protons of the tetrakis(methylenyl) linkages (Figure 3.b). When the $^1$H-NMR spectrum of (Φ)-$^{3\text{c}}$ was recorded at 45 °C, no appreciable changes were detected except an improvement of the resolution without significant shifts of the methylene signals. However, upon decrease of the temperature from 45 °C to 70 °C, the two doublets of the methylene protons undergo coalescence at 10 °C before splitting into four distinct doublets at 5.92, 5.64, 5.52, and 5.08 ppm at lower temperatures. The observed temperature-induced coalescence of the methylene proton resonances of (Φ)-$^{3\text{c}}$ suggests the presence of a dynamic equilibrium between the unfolded and folded conformers, with the dioxecine rings undergoing flipping motions.$^{[43]}$ The free-energy activation $G^{\Delta\text{ve}}$ for the flipping could be estimated to be ca. 11.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ using Eyring’s equation$^{[47]}$ (Section S5). All these observations suggested that the U and F conformers are in the slow exchange regime at low temperature and in fast exchange at high temperature.
Figure 3. 300 MHz $^1$H-NMR spectrum of molecules a) meso-$3^c$ in CDCl$_3$ at 45 °C, b) $(\phi)-3^c$ in (CD$_3$)$_2$CO at r.t., and c) $(\phi)-3^d$ in CDCl$_3$ at r.t.

Figure 4. a) 400 MHz VT $^1$H-NMR spectra of $(\phi)-3^c$ at 45, 35, 25, 15, 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70 °C (bottom to top) in (D$_6$) acetone, and b) schematic representation of the conformational inversion process between the folded (F) and unfolded (U) conformers (hydrogens are omitted for clarity).

Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained for molecules $(\phi)-3^b$, meso-$3^c$, $(\phi)-3^c$, and $(\phi)-3^d$ by vapor diffusion or slow evaporation of a dilute solution containing the relevant compound (see Supporting Information for detailed description, and Figures 5 and 6).

These crystal structures were compared with those of molecules $(\phi)-1^{Fur}$ and $(\phi)-2^{2H}$, previously described by us.$^7$ Figures 5,c and 5,g display the X-ray structure.
of compound (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\) bearing an ethane strap between the two hydroxy groups of the biperylenediol back-bone, which crystallized in space group \(Pn\) (see Supporting Information for crystallographic data and refinement details). The asymmetric unit contains two crystallographically independent molecules. The X-ray analyses of \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\) and (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{c}\) (Figures S15, S16, and S17) show a butterfly-like structure for both compounds with their molecular symmetry being reflected in the solid-state arrangement. In both cases, the asymmetric unit contains half of the molecule, and the second half is generated through an inversion center for \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\) (space group \(P-1\)) and a two-fold rotation for (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\) (space group \(C2/c\)). In the crystal packing of (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\) both enantiomers (\(R,R\)) and (\(S,S\)) are equally present in an unfolded conformation\(^{[47]}\) (Figure 6). For (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\), \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\), and (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{c}\) only hydrophobic CH-nτ contacts are present, with the perylene moieties delimiting cavities filled either with CH\(_2\)Br\(_2\) for (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\), \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\), and acetone for (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{c}\). For molecule (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{d}\) (Figures 5,d and 5,h), the crystal packing shows limited perylene nτ--nτ stacking between symmetry-related molecules. Also, in this case, the perylene motifs delimit cavities filled by solvent (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)). In all investigated compounds, the rotation around the bond 1,1' is restricted by the alkoxy groups, where the ethane bridge in (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\) defines an eight-membered ring whereas a ten-membered ring is present for the dibenzylene-bridged derivatives \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\), (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{c}\), and (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{d}\). As expected, the dimension of the ring directly influences the dihedral angle between the two perylene fragments. In fact, the smaller the ring formed is, the more constrained the perylene moieties to smaller angles (Figures 5e–h and 6). Crystallographic data reported for reference compounds (\(\bullet\))\(^{-1}\)\(^\text{Fur}\) and (\(\bullet\))\(^{-2}\)\(^\text{H}\) show a large decrease of the dihedral angle from 63° for (\(\bullet\))\(^{-2}\)\(^\text{H}\) to 17° for (\(\bullet\))\(^{-1}\)\(^\text{Fur}\), where the dihedral angle between the aryl moieties is drastically reduced by planarity of the furanyl framework.\(^{[7]}\) In order to further corroborate the locked angle of the biperylenediols, geometry optimization in gas phase for each derivative has been performed using Gaussian09\(^{[48]}\) including the D01 revision at B3LYP/6-31 + G(d,p) level of theory. Data are gathered in Table 2. The results show a larger angle value for the molecules bearing bulkier substituents and larger cyclic linkages for 2\(^\text{Acy}\) and 3\(^\text{Cyc}\), respectively in accordance with experimental results. The only exception is (\(\bullet\))\(^{-2}\)\(^\text{H}\) that presents a smaller experimental dihedral angle of 62°, whereas the calculated value is 79°. This could be rationalized by a less hindered motion of the two perylene units along the 1,1' bond in the gas phase giving a larger value. On the other hand, \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\) shows an exper-

![Figure 5](image1)

**Figure 5.** From the left: a–d) Top-view and e–h) side-view of the crystal structures of (\(\bullet\))\(^{-1}\)\(^\text{Fur}\) (P2\(_1/c\)), (\(\bullet\))\(^{-2}\)\(^\text{H}\) (P-1), (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{b}\) (Pn), and (\(\bullet\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{d}\) (P-1). All compounds crystallized as racemates. \(\theta'\) = dihedral angle of open dimer and \(\theta''\) = dihedral angle of closed dimer.

![Figure 6](image2)

**Figure 6.** Crystal structures of \(3^\text{c}\). a) (\(S,S\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{c}\), b) (\(R,R\))\(^{-3}\)\(^\text{c}\) (\(C2/c\)), and c) \(\textit{meso}-3^\text{c}\) (P-1). \(\theta''\) = dihedral angle of closed dimer.
imetal torsion angle of 63°, the smallest among the dioxyx derivatives (\(\Phi\))-3c/d, which can be ascribed to the presence of entrapped crystalline solvent molecules (CH\(_2\)Br\(_2\)).

Steady-state UV/Vis absorption and emission spectroscopy has been used to study the effects of the dihedral angle on the optical properties of (\(\Phi\))-2Acy and (\(\Phi\))-3Cyc using (\(\Phi\))-2Me and (\(\Phi\))-1Fur as references. Measurements in aerated toluene at r.t. are shown in Figure 7, and the main results are gathered in Table 3.

As a general trend, the absorption and emission spectra of (\(\Phi\))-2Acy and (\(\Phi\))-3Cyc show the characteristic features of biperylenediols,[7] with gradual bathochromic shift upon shrinking the dihedral angle between the two perylenyl fragments. Indeed, compound (\(\Phi\))-3c containing a dioxepin system (\(\theta''\) = 62°) exhibits a maximum absorption peak centered at 484 nm, ca.

![Figure 7. a) Absorption and b) emission spectra of compounds (\(\Phi\))-1Fur, (\(\Phi\))-2Acy, and (\(\Phi\))-3Cyc in air-equilibrated toluene at r.t.](image)

### Table 2. Angles between the two mean planes of each perylene units in the crystal (\(\theta_{\text{exp}}\)) and computed (\(\theta_{\text{cal}}\)) structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>(\theta_{\text{exp}})</th>
<th>(\theta_{\text{cal}})</th>
<th>(\theta_{\text{cal}})</th>
<th>(\theta_{\text{exp}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2Acy[a]</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Me}[b]</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Tips}[c]</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Tips}[c]</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] \(\theta\) = dihedral angle of open dimer and [b] \(\theta''\) = dihedral angle of closed dimer. [c] Calculation performed at B3LYP/6-31 + G(d,p) level of theory using Gaussian09 including the D01 revision. [d] No single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction could be grown. [e] No convergence has been reached for those molecules.

### Table 3. Optical properties of the 2,2'-functionalized biperylenediol derivatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{abs}}) [nm][a]</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{em}}) [nm][b]</th>
<th>(\Phi)</th>
<th>Stokes shift [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Me}</td>
<td>534, 97400[d]</td>
<td>547[d]</td>
<td>0.8[d]</td>
<td>13 (445 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Tips}</td>
<td>463, 65000[d]</td>
<td>472[d]</td>
<td>0.88[d]</td>
<td>9 (411 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Tips}</td>
<td>470, 59500</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>39 (1630 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Tips}</td>
<td>465, 64900</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>43 (1820 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\text{Tips}</td>
<td>466, 59000</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>21 (925 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\text{c}</td>
<td>484, 72600</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>20 (820 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\text{c}</td>
<td>468, 72500</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19 (834 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\text{c}</td>
<td>474, 115800</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>23 (976 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\text{d}</td>
<td>474, 61400</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>24 (1017 cm(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] UV/Vis absorption maximum of the lowest-energy band in toluene at r.t. [b] Emission maximum in toluene at r.t. [c] Data taken from reference. [d] Optimized values using Gaussian09 including the D01 revision at B3LYP/6-31 + G(d,p) level of theory.
shows a maximum absorption band at 534 nm, which is strongly shifted toward the red compared to the other derivatives. As expected, the smaller the dihedral angle is, the larger the bathochromic shift is. Low dihedral angles favor a significant π-conjugation, over the entire molecule. All the investigated compounds present good molar absorptivity ($\varepsilon = 60 - 70,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), with molecule (Φ)-3C being the stron-gest absorber ($\varepsilon = 115,800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Obviously, the stronger absorption intensity is attributed to the presence of a second biperylenediol unit. As expected, the emission spectra slightly varied as a function of the dihedral angle between two adjacent perylenes. Exceptionally, molecules (Φ)-2HTips, 22Tips, and (Φ)-3Cyc exhibit emissions between 487 and 509 nm with unitary fluorescence quantum yields (Φ ~1). Moreover, all bridged compounds ((Φ)-1Fur, (Φ)-3Cyc) present relatively small Stokes shifts (13 -24 nm) when compared to non-bridged derivatives ((Φ)-22Me, (Φ)-2HTips), further supporting the idea that these molecules are conformationally rigid with the exception of (Φ)-22Tips.

Conclusions

A novel class of substituted 1,1'-biperylene-2,2'-diols bearing either cyclo-ethers or bulky substituents at the OH termini have been prepared exploiting a facile synthetic route and characterized by means of X-ray analysis, UV-Vis absorption, and emission spectroscopy as well as theoretical calculations. Locking the dihedral angle between the two perylenyl fragments lead to the fine-tuning of the molecular optoelectronic prop-erties resulting in highly emissive bi-PAHs with unitary quantum yields. Moreover, capitalizing on a facile tetra-alkylation reaction, we synthesized a two-folded tetrakis(methylene)benzene derivative (Φ)-3C, which converts between an unfolded (U) and folded (F) conformation. The dynamic equilibrium between the two conformers (U and F), with the dioxocene rings undergoing flipping motions has been confirmed by VT 1H-NMR experiments. We believe that this work is of significant importance in the discovery of novel highly emissive luminescent compounds for a vast range of applications. For instance, by accessing enantiopure biperylenol frameworks one could engi-neer polarized emitters that could be used for sensing chiral species or inducing supramolecular chirality at the soft matter level.

Experimental Section

General

Instruments, materials, and general methods are detailed in the Supporting Information.

Experimental

5,5',8,8',11,11'-Hexa-tert-butyl-2'-[(tri(propan-2-yl) silyl)oxy][3,3'-biperylen]-2-ol (Φ)-2HTips and (5,5',8,8',11,11'-Hexa-tert-butyl[3,3'-biperylen]-2,2'-diyl)bis(oxy)bis[(tripropan-2-yl)silane] (Φ)-22Tips. Compounds (Φ)-2HTips and (Φ)-22Tips were afforded following a slightly modified synthetic protocol reported for binaphthol derivatives. In a flame-dried two-neck round bottom flask, biperylenol (Φ)-2H (20.0 mg, 0.02 mmol), imidazole (3.5 mg, 0.05 mmol), and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 46%) as bright yellow solid and di-triisopropylsilyl derivative (Φ)-2HTips were dissolved in anhydrous DMF (1 mL). The mixture was degassed by freeze-pump-thaw procedure and triisopropylsilyl chloride (TIPSCl, 0.05 mmol) added to the solution. The mixture was thus stirred at r.t. for 24 h under N2 atmosphere. Brine (10 mL) was added, and the aqueous phase extracted with CH2Cl2 (5 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were additionally washed with H2O (2 × 20 mL) and dried over Na2SO4. The crude product was purified by preparative TLC (SiO2, eluents: petroleum ether/toluene, 8:2) to afford mono-triisopropylsilyl derivative (Φ)-2HTips (11.0 mg, 46%) as bright yellow solid and di-triisopropylsilyl derivative (Φ)-22Tips (5.0 mg, 18%) as yellow solid.

Characterization of (Φ)-2HTips. M.p.: >160 –163 °C. UV-Vis (toluene): 465 nm (ε = 64900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). FT-IR (ATR): 2953, 2864, 1601, 1460, 1431, 1362, 1254, 1211, 1183, 1082, 922, 872, 814, 681, 637. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CD32CO): 8.52 (d, J(H,H) = 1.8, 1 H); 8.48 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 1 H); 8.45 (d, J(H,H) = 1.8, 1 H); 8.41 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 1 H); 8.33 (d, J(H,H) = 1.8, 1 H); 8.30 (d, J(H,H) = 1.8, 1 H); 8.17 (s, 1 H); 8.06 (s, 1 H); 7.84 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 1 H); 7.82 (d, J(H,H) = 1.6, 1 H); 7.80 (d, J(H,H) = 1.6, 1 H); 7.78 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 1 H); 7.72 (s, 1 H); 1.54 (s, 9 H); 1.53 – 1.50 (m, 27 H); 1.21 (s, 10 H); 1.19 (s, 9 H); 1.00 (d, J(H,H) = 7.4, 9 H); 0.95 (d, J(H,H) = 7.4, 9 H); 0.91 – 0.84 (m, 3 H). 13C-NMR (150 MHz, CD32CO): 154.7; 154.5; 153.8; 150.2; 149.9; 149.8; 149.4; 137.2; 136.6; 136.1; 136.0; 133.2; 133.0; 131.9; 131.7; 131.6; 131.3; 124.2; 123.6; 122.4; 122.2; 121.0; 119.4; 119.1; 119.0; 118.6; 117.5; 117.4; 116.8; 114.2; 112.0; 111.9; 35.6; 35.6; 35.5; 35.5; 31.6; 31.4; 30.3; 29.8; 18.3; 18.3; 13.7 (some peaks are...
missing due to overlap). HR-ESI-MS: 1027.6788 ([M + H]^+), C73H91O2Si^4+; calc. 1027.6788).

Characterization of (Φ)-2^2TIPS. M.p.: 189 – 192 °C. UV-Vis (toluene): 466 nm (ε = 59 000 m^-1 cm^-1). FT-IR (ATR): 2951, 2924, 2864, 1601, 1508, 1462, 1393, 1368, 1348, 1337, 1254, 1178, 1082, 1040, 1013, 997, 959, 924, 860, 816, 789, 739, 681, 636. 1^3H-NMR (300 MHz, (CD3)2CO): 8.51 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 2 H); 8.37 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 2 H); 8.30 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 2 H); 8.07 (s, 1 H); 7.82 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 2 H); 7.60 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 2 H); 7.19 (d, J(H,H) = 1.7, 2 H); 1.52 (s, 18 H); 1.51 (s, 18 H); 1.18 (s, 18 H); 1.01 (d, J(H,H) = 7.4, 18 H); 0.94 – 0.83 (m, 24 H). 13C-NMR (150 MHz, (CD3)2CO): 153.4; 150.1; 149.7; 149.6; 136.8; 136.1; 132.6; 131.8; 131.7; 131.2; 126.3; 124.8; 124.3; 124.0; 122.9; 122.6; 119.3; 118.4; 117.4; 114.1; 35.6; 35.5; 35.5; 31.6; 31.3; 29.8; 18.5; 18.4; 13.7 (some peaks are missing due to overlap). HR-ASAP-MS: 1183.8131 ([M + H]^+), C82H111O2Si2^4+; calc. 1183.8123.

2,5,13,16,19,22-Hexa-tert-butyl-9H-diperylo[2,3-d :3’,2’-f][1,3]dioxepine (Φ)-3^3. To a stirred mixture of compound (Φ)-2^2H (40.0 mg, 46.0 μmol) and K2CO3 (14.0 mg, 102.2 μmol) in anhydrous DMF (2.0 mL), (CH2)2Br2 (7.0 μL, 55.2 μmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture degassed following freeze-pump-thaw protocol. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h under Ar atmosphere and then cooled to r.t. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue dissolved in CH2Cl2 (10 mL), and then washed with H2O (3 × 15 mL) and brine (15 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, eluents: cyclohexane/toluene, 8:2) to afford compound (Φ)-3^3B (10.0 mg, 24%) as yellow solid. M.p.: > 300 °C. UV-Vis (toluene): 468 nm (ε = 72600 m^-1 cm^-1). FT-IR (ATR): 2951, 2907, 2866, 2905, 1585, 1477, 1460, 1431, 1393, 1362, 1339, 1256, 1233, 1207, 1179, 1146, 1103, 1082, 982, 957, 928, 897, 876, 824, 808, 754, 721, 640, 619. 1^3H-NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2): 8.35 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 2 H); 8.34 (d, J(H,H) = 1.0, 2 H); 8.28 (d, J(H,H) = 1.0, 2 H); 8.18 (s, 2 H); 7.74 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 2 H); 7.72 (d, J(H,H) = 1.0, 2 H); 7.20 (d, J(H,H) = 1.0, 2 H); 4.56 (d, J(H,H) = 9.0, 2 H); 4.33 (d, J(H,H) = 9.0, 2 H); 1.53 (s, 18 H); 1.52 (s, 18 H); 1.14 (s, 18 H). 13C-NMR (125 MHz, CD2Cl2): 158.1; 149.7; 149.7; 149.6; 135.0; 134.4; 131.5; 130.4; 125.7; 125.4; 124.6; 124.6; 124.1; 123.6; 119.3; 118.8; 118.1; 116.0; 74.1; 35.5; 35.4; 35.3; 31.6; 31.3 (some peaks are missing due to overlap). HR-MALDI-MS: 896.5521 (M^+, C66H72O2^4+; calc. 896.5532). Despite several crystallization trials, only poorly diffracting crystals could be obtained by slow diffusion from a CH2Br2/MeOH solution and were characterized by synchrotron X-ray diffraction (see Supporting Information, Section S6).

2,5,15,18,21,24,27,30,40,43,46,49-Dodeca-tert-butyl-11,36-dihydro-9H,34H-benz[o][1,2-c :4,5-c]tetraperlylo[2,3-g :2,3-g :3,2-j :3,2-j]bis[1,6]dioxecine (Φ)-3^3C and meso-3^6. To a degassed solution of compound (Φ)-2^2H (40.0 mg, 45.0 μmol) and K2CO3 (22.0 mg, 160.0 μmol) in anhydrous DMF (1 mL) under N2 at 80 °C, a solution of 1,2,4,5-tetakis(bromomethyl) benzene (9.0 mg, 20.0 μmol) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL) was added dropwise over 2 h using a syringe pump. The mixture was stirred for additional 16 h at 80 °C under N2 atmosphere. After cooling to r.t., the mixture was filtered over Celite®, washed with CH2Cl2, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by preparative TLC (SiO2, eluents: petroleum ether/CH2Cl2, 2:1) to afford two distinguishable diastereoisomers, (Φ)-3^3C (10.0 mg) as yellow solid, and meso-3^6C (13.0 mg) as dark yellow solid evaporated from MeOH (total yield = 62%).
Characterization of (Φ)-3C. M.p.: > 300 °C. UV-Vis (toluene): 474 nm (ε = 115 800 m⁻¹ cm⁻¹). FT-IR (ATR): 2953, 2870, 1601, 1477, 1462, 1433, 1393, 1364, 1341, 1323, 1256, 1207, 1177, 1140, 1072, 1026, 966, 895, 872, 824, 787, 727, 636. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): 8.54 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 8.49 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 8.67 (s, 4 H); 8.80 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 7.81 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 7.79 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 7.72 (s, 2 H); 7.25 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 5.56 (d, J(H,H) = 12.0, 4 H); 5.49 (d, J(H,H) = 12.0, 4 H); 1.62 (s, 36 H); 1.50 (s, 36 H); 1.18 (s, 36 H). ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): 150.0; 150.0; 149.8; 138.3; 136.0; 135.7; 132.0; 131.5; 131.0; 126.2; 124.7; 124.6; 122.7; 119.4; 119.3; 117.8; 35.7; 35.6; 35.5; 31.8; 31.6; 31.3; 30.3 (some peaks are missing due to overlap). HR-MALDI-MS: 1867.1173 ([M+Na]⁺; C₇₉H₇₇O₂⁺; calc: 1867.1216). Crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by slow evaporation of an acetone solution (see Supporting Information, Section S6).

Characterization of meso-3F. M.p.: > 300 °C. FT-IR (ATR): 2953, 2918, 2868, 2851, 1599, 1585, 1475, 1462, 1431, 1393, 1364, 1341, 1323, 1256, 1209, 1157, 1138, 1074, 1028, 966, 893, 824, 793, 748, 727, 638. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 45 °C): 8.32 – 8.26 (m, 8 H); 8.20 – 8.11 (m, 8 H); 7.71 (d, J(H,H) = 1.5, 4 H); 7.67 (d, J(H,H) = 1.3, 4 H); 7.63 (s, 2 H); 7.19 (s, 4 H); 5.43 (d, J(H,H) = 8.6, 8 H); 1.51 (s, 72 H); 1.14 (s, 36 H). The poor solubility of meso-3F in most of the commonly used organic solvents limited its characterization by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy. HR-MALDI-MS: 1867.1141 ([M⁺; C₁₃₈H₁₄₆O₄⁺; calc. 1867.1216). Crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by slow diffusion of MeOH in a CH₂Br₂ solution (see Supporting Information, Section S6).

2,5,18,21,24,27-Hexa-tert-butyl-9,14-dihydrobenzo[θ]diperylo[2,3-b:3',2'-d][1,6]dioxecine (Φ)-3G. To a stirred mixture of compound (Φ)-2H (30.0 mg, 34.0 μmol) and K₂CO₃ (10.4 mg, 75.0 μmol) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL), 1.2-bis(bromomethyl) benzene (10.0 mg, 34.0 μmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture degassed following the freeze-pump-thaw protocol. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h under Ar atmosphere. After cooling down to r.t., the mixture was poured into H₂O (10 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 mL). The organic layer was subsequently washed with H₂O (2 × 20 mL) and brine (20 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, eluents: cyclohexane/toluene, 9: 1) to afford compound (Φ)-3G (29.0 mg, 88%) as yellow solid. M.p.: > 300 °C. UV-Vis (toluene): 474 nm (ε = 61500 m⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR (KBr): 2951,
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