

Liothyronine prescribing in the UK has changed dramatically with widespread variation by clinical commissioning group and is strongly influenced by economic deprivation.

Peter N Taylor, Salman Razvi, Ilaria Muller, John Wass, Colin M Dayan, Krishna Chatterjee and Kristien Boelaert

Levothyroxine is commonly prescribed for hypothyroidism and its use is steadily increasing¹. A subgroup of patients are dissatisfied on levothyroxine monotherapy² and in some clinical trials, patients demonstrated preference for a combination of thyroxine and liothyronine. With clinical trials not showing clear-cut superiority, combination thyroid hormone therapy remains controversial³. However, acknowledging limitations (small size, short duration, inconsistent dosage) of previous studies, specialist society guidance recognises that a trial of liothyronine may be appropriate in selected patients⁴ and studies of genetic polymorphisms (e.g. Thr92AlaD2) may indicate specific patients to target. In 2016, the 28-day NHS cost of liothyronine increased dramatically from ~£4·50 to £258·19, resulting in widespread patient concern and media coverage⁵. Over this time the cost of levothyroxine has broadly remained unchanged. Clinicians are under increasing pressure to justify prescriptions and for many patients treatment has been discontinued or requires private sourcing. A parliamentary enquiry is ongoing. Given these changes in costs occurred uniquely in the NHS, these trends in T3 prescribing likely only apply to the UK.

We analysed NHS England open prescribing data⁶, between 01/08/2013 and 01/07/2018, sequentially examining the monthly number and cost of NHS liothyronine prescriptions for each clinical commissioning group (CCG N=195). CCGs are responsible for commissioning healthcare services in their local area. In August 2013, the median number of monthly liothyronine prescriptions per CCG was 22 (IQR 12-38) falling to 17 (IQR 10-30) $p<0\cdot0001$ by July 2018. Most marked prescribing changes in early 2016 coincided with substantial rise in costs (**Figure 1**). The total monthly cost of liothyronine prescriptions in August 2013 was £758,975 and this rose almost ten-fold to £7,018,679 by July 2018, despite 122 CCGs prescribing less liothyronine.

Between August 2013 and July 2018, there was a median 37% reduction in the ratio of liothyronine prescriptions per 1,000 levothyroxine prescription nationwide, with a maximum 32-fold reduction in one CCG. Widespread variation by CCG is now apparent, with a 49-fold difference in liothyronine prescriptions per 1,000 levothyroxine prescriptions. Analysis of CCG demographics showed that for each quintile increase in economic deprivation there were 0·21SD (95%CI -0·31, -0·11) $p<0\cdot001$ lower liothyronine prescriptions. An overview of current liothyronine prescribing by CCG is shown in **Supplementary Figure 1** with changes from 2013-2018 shown in **Supplementary Figure 2**.

Over this time period no major study or guideline has advocated a change in liothyronine prescribing. Thus, this substantial reduction in prescribing appears to have been largely driven by cost. Given the concerns raised by patients, this near 50-fold disparity between CCGs in prescribing liothyronine linked to deprivation status, is difficult to justify. Moreover, patients in more affluent areas may source liothyronine by private prescription, further exacerbating the inequality.

Overall, current pricing of liothyronine has markedly reduced its prescription, engendered widespread variability in patients' access to it and significantly increased its overall cost to the NHS. Furthermore patients may have turned to alternate sources of T3 such as Armour thyroid which contains a supraphysiologic ratio of T3:T4 and may have more adverse effects. Furthermore the rising cost of T3 may adversely impact its availability for patients with thyroid cancer undergoing radioactive iodine treatment. Our findings support the need for urgent measures to reduce liothyronine's NHS cost. Alternatively, if future, adequately-powered, randomised controlled trials strengthen the evidence-base for liothyronine therapy, this may identify the cost-benefit equation for its use.

References

1. Taylor PN, Iqbal A, Minassian C, et al. Falling threshold for treatment of borderline elevated thyrotropin levels-balancing benefits and risks: evidence from a large community-based study. *JAMA Intern Med* 2014; **174**(1): 32-9.
2. Saravanan P, Chau WF, Roberts N, Vedhara K, Greenwood R, Dayan CM. Psychological well-being in patients on 'adequate' doses of l-thyroxine: results of a large, controlled community-based questionnaire study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2002; **57**(5): 577-85.
3. Saravanan P, Simmons DJ, Greenwood R, Peters TJ, Dayan CM. Partial substitution of thyroxine (T4) with tri-iodothyronine in patients on T4 replacement therapy: results of a large community-based randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005; **90**(2): 805-12.
4. Wiersinga WM, Duntas L, Fadeyev V, Nygaard B, Vanderpump MP. 2012 ETA Guidelines: The Use of L-T4 + L-T3 in the Treatment of Hypothyroidism. *Eur Thyroid J* 2012; **1**(2): 55-71.
5. BBC. 2017. <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-42063274>.
6. OpenPrescribing.net, EBM DataLab, University of Oxford, 2018. (accessed 01/10/2018).

Figure legends

Figure 1A

Total cost and number of liothyronine prescriptions per 1000 T4 prescriptions 2013-2018

Figure 1B

Median number of liothyronine prescriptions per 1000 levothyroxine prescriptions by Index of Multiple Deprivation Quintile

Author Affiliations

Thyroid Research Group, Systems Immunity Research Institute, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK.

Peter N Taylor, Ilaria Muller, Colin M Dayan

Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom;
Gateshead Health NHS Foundation Trust, Gateshead,
Salman Razvi

Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK
John Wass

Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Metabolic Research Laboratories, Level 4,
Institute of Metabolic Science, Box 289, Addenbrookes Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge, UK
Krishna Chatterjee

Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research School of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of
Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.
Kristien Boelaert

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.