Piezoelectric modulation of nonlinear optical response in BaTiO$_3$ thin film

Kristy J. Kormondy, Yujin Cho, Agham B. Posadas, Lu Zheng, Keji Lai, Qingxiao Wang, Moon J. Kim, Qian He, Albina Y. Borisevich, Michael C. Downer, and Alexander A. Demkov

$^1$Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA
$^2$Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080, USA
$^3$The Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

(Received 20 June 2018; accepted 11 August 2018; published online 24 September 2018)

We study the nonlinear optical response in a strained thin film ferroelectric oxide BaTiO$_3$ using piezoelectric Pb(Mg$_{1/3}$Nb$_{2/3}$)O$_3$-PbTiO$_3$ (001) as a variable strain substrate and La-doped SrTiO$_3$ as a conductive buffer layer. The rotation-anisotropic second harmonic intensity profile shows hysteretic modulation corresponding to the strain variation from the inverse piezoelectric response of the substrate. An enhancement of 15% is observed at 1.2 kV/cm, while a control sample shows negligible change as a function of piezovoltage. Reflection high-energy electron diffraction, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and high-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy reveal the epitaxial interface. X-ray diffraction and piezoresponse force microscopy confirm tetragonal distortion and ferroelectricity of the BaTiO$_3$ overlayer. Our results suggest a promising route to enhance the performance of nonlinear optical oxides for the development of future nano-opto-mechanical devices. Published by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5045460

Due to large lattice and thermal mismatches, one of the major problems in the fabrication of highly efficient hybrid nanophotonic modulators is the diminished electro-optic coefficients of thin films. When a thin oxide film is deposited heteroeptaxially, lattice mismatch and thermal mismatch can influence the film microstructure. Since the linear electro-optic response stems from the loss of inversion symmetry, microstructural defects which affect phonons can diminish the electro-optic coefficients. Epitaxial strain can also impact the magnitude and direction of ferroelectric polarization, which in turn can impact the electro-optic response.

Owing to its large bulk electro-optic coefficients and unique epitaxial integration success on Si, BaTiO$_3$ (BTO) is considered a particularly promising candidate for the development of a hybrid silicon nanophotonic platform. Recent studies by our group and others have shown that, compared to other thin films grown on Si, BTO shows higher electro-optic coefficients and lower propagation losses. It is speculated that the orientation of ferroelectric domains in particular can strongly influence the active device performance. Although the electro-optic performance of BTO thin films on Si has been studied in great detail, very little has been reported on the issue of epitaxial strain. In particular, there is no information about the influence of strain on the nonlinear optical properties of BTO. Such an understanding can be obtained by studying nonlinear optical properties under varying epitaxial strains and is of key importance in approaching the overarching goal of efficient hybrid oxide nanophotonics.

In this paper, we performed such a study on the nonlinear optical response in BTO using piezoelectric single crystal Pb(Mg$_{1/3}$Nb$_{2/3}$)O$_3$-PbTiO$_3$ (PMN-PT) (001) as a variable strain substrate and La-doped SrTiO$_3$ (LSTO) as a conductive buffer layer. The rotation-anisotropic second harmonic intensity profile shows hysteretic modulation corresponding to the strain variation from the inverse piezoelectric response of the substrate. An enhancement of 15% is observed at an applied electric field of 1.2 kV/cm, while a control sample with no BTO shows a negligible change as a function of piezovoltage. Reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and high-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) reveal the excellent crystalline quality of the different layers and their interfaces. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM) in the spectroscopy mode confirm tetragonal distortion and ferroelectricity of the BTO overlayer. Our results suggest a promising route to enhance the performance of nonlinear optical oxides for the development of future nano-opto-mechanical devices.

The oxide heterostructures were grown using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). PMN-PT substrates with dimensions $5 \times 5 \times 0.5$ mm$^3$ were ultrasonically cleaned for 5 min each with acetone, isopropanol, and deionized water, followed by 15 min of exposure to UV/ozone. After a 10 min outgassing in vacuum at 600 °C, the oxide films were deposited on the substrate using a customized DCA Instruments oxide MBE system with a base pressure of $8.0 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr. La, Sr, Ba, and Ti metal sources were heated in individual effusion cells for shuttered deposition at a total growth rate equivalent to $\sim 2$ Å/min calibrated using a quartz crystal microbalance. Molecular oxygen was provided at a partial pressure of $5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr during film deposition for both BTO and LSTO. In situ reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) oscillations served as a second check of the thin-film deposition rate [see supplementary material, Fig. S1(a)].
The film composition is checked using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) after the growth of each layer [see supplementary material, Fig. S1(b)].

A schematic diagram of the heterostructure is shown in Fig. 1(a) including the bulk PMN-PT substrate (red), buffer layer of conductive LSTO with 15% La replacing Sr (green), BTO film (blue), and silver paste as a bottom electrode (yellow). Depending on the bias voltage applied across the PMN-PT, the inverse piezoresponse can change the epitaxial strain imposed on the BTO layer, as demonstrated in Refs. 13–15. The details of the LSTO and BTO thin film deposition can be found in our recent publications.16,17

High resolution high angle annular dark field (HAADF)-STEM imaging is conducted using an aberration-corrected JEM-ARM200F (JEOL USA, Inc.) operated at 200 kV in order to study the interface in more detail. The STEM specimens were prepared using a focused ion beam (FEI Nano 200 Dual Beam).18 The convergence semi-angle is set to 24 mrad, and the collection semi-angle for the annular dark-field (ADF) detector is 90–370 mrad. Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping is carried out using an X-MaxN 100TLE detector (Oxford Instruments). Figure 1(b) shows a typical high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy image of the interface projected along the [110] BTO zone axis. A corresponding false-color EDS mapping has been overlaid on the STEM image, showing the distribution of Ba (blue), Sr (green), and Pb (red), respectively. The measured thicknesses of 11 nm LSTO and 21 nm BTO are in very good agreement with the calibrated evaporation rates.

Figure 1(c) shows a zoomed-in image of the BTO/LSTO interface inside the boxed region in Fig. 1(b). The lattice of BTO perfectly matches with the LSTO buffer layer, indicating excellent epitaxy between BTO and LSTO. Although there is a 3% lattice mismatch with dislocations in some regions of LSTO from the low magnification image (not shown), TEM imaging suggests that the LSTO layer is nearly fully relaxed by the misfit dislocations, in good agreement with the XRD results. The out-of-plane and in-plane XRD results are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), which indicate the fully relaxed 0.39 nm LSTO lattice parameter. Figure 2(c) shows a typical tip-induced hysteresis loop for the 20 nm BTO film.
Optical second-harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy was used to measure the voltage-induced changes in SHG on the control (LSTO/PMN-PT) and the heterostructure (BTO/LSTO/PMN-PT) samples. Light from a Ti:Sapphire laser operated in the pulsed mode with a wavelength of 780 nm, a repetition rate of 76 MHz, and a duration of 150 fs was impinged on the sample at an angle of 45° with s-polarization, focused to a spot size of ~15 μm FWHM on the sample surface. A photomultiplier tube detected the ppolarized SHG signal in reflection through bandpass filters to suppress reflected fundamental light. To avoid electron charging or damage, we kept the laser power below 10 mW. All measurements were performed at room temperature. Figure 3 shows the SHG microscopy schematic setup.

We checked the uniformity of the samples by scanning over 100 × 100 μm². SHG intensities varied within 20% across the area. On the same spot, the uncertainty from the laser fluctuation was less than 2%. SHG was measured while the sample was rotating (rotational anisotropy SHG; RASHG) to see the crystallographic orientation dependence of SHG at the applied voltage. During the azimuthal angle rotation, instead of the laser fundamental wavelength or having a thicker film, but below the critical thickness, could help to isolate the SHG signal on BTO layers from the PMN-PT substrate.

Figure 4(a) shows RASHG on the control sample (LSTO/PMN-PT) and the heterostructure (BTO/LSTO/PMN-PT) sample without the external electric field. The heterostructure sample showed ~20% higher SHG intensity than the control sample. PMN-PT (001) has a pseudo-cubic crystal structure, and the unit cell is distorted by 0.1° along the polarization direction. RASHG of PMN-PT has four peaks due to the cubic structure, which could be described as sin 2ϕ. The BTO layer belongs to the C4v symmetry group whose azimuthal angle dependence is isotropic in the s-in/p-out polarization configuration. In Fig. 4, RASHG on the control sample (LSTO/PMN-PT) shows variation in peak intensities. SHG intensities of the first and the third peaks are stronger than those of the second and the fourth peaks. This could arise from interfering second harmonic fields between an anisotropic bulk PMN-PT of the form $B \sin 2\phi$ and an isotropic surface or interface second harmonic field A. If the phase difference between two sources is $\pi$, the collective SHG intensity has the form

$$I_{SHG} = |A + B \exp(i\pi) \sin 2\phi|^2,$$

where $B$ is a Fresnel factor and $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle. This fits the experimental data well, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Asymmetry of the peak intensities increases in the heterostructure sample (BTO/LSTO/PMN-PT).

To see voltage-induced changes to SHG from the BTO film, we applied silver paint to the back of the substrate to serve as a bottom electrode. Two additional top contacts secured by silver paint ensured the Ohmic contact to the LSTO layer. The applied voltage was limited to the range ±60 V (~1.2 kV/cm). At higher voltages, there were voltage-induced changes to the PMN-PT substrate that also affected SHG because its probe depth exceeded the film thickness. For example, at ±80 V, SHG intensity in some areas increased due to the leakage current. This could be overcome by carefully controlling the oxygen vacancies or defects in the film. Around ±100 V, ferroelectric domains on the PMN-PT substrate formed. At >75 V, corresponding to fields exceeding 1.5 kV/cm at room temperature, single-crystal PMN-PT substrates can be poled. Lowering the fundamental wavelength or having a thicker film, but below the critical thickness, could help to isolate the SHG signal on BTO layers from the PMN-PT substrate.
As shown in Fig. 4(b), the control sample showed very little change over the voltage range, which fell within the uncertainty of SHG intensity from the laser fluctuation. The RASHG pattern [Fig. 4(c)] at ±60 V further confirmed that there is no significant change due to the external electric field. The heterostructure sample, in contrast, showed a clear SHG intensity change [Fig. 4(d)] with the applied voltage. At ±60 V, the peaks of RASHG increased/decreased by ~15%. When the positive voltage was applied to the PMN-PT substrate, SHG intensity increased, while it decreased with the negative voltage. In addition to this, SHG intensities at 0 V maintained the same SHG intensity level as the previously applied voltage. It suggests that there was a remaining effect on the BTO overlayer. Similar hysteresis has been observed in the electrical resistance of VO2 films on PMN-PT as the electric field cycled below the coercive field of the PMN-PT substrate. XRD measurements confirmed that the hysteresis was induced by the hysteresis of the strain, related to the structural rearrangement of the ferroelectric domain on the PMN-PT substrate. We therefore infer that the hysteresis loops in Figs. 4(b) and 4(d) were from the changing ferroelectric polarization of the BTO film, as modulated by the changing strain induced by the PMN-PT substrate. This variation from area to area reflects the inhomogeneous distribution of defects or impurities.

In conclusion, we explored the nonlinear optical response in BTO using piezoelectric PMN-PT (001) as a variable strain substrate and La-doped SrTiO3 (LSTO) as a conductive buffer layer. Reflection high-energy electron diffraction, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy reveal the high quality epitaxial nature of the heterostructure. X-ray diffraction and piezoresponse force microscopy confirm tetragonal distortion and ferroelectricity of the BTO overlayer. The rotation-anisotropic second harmonic intensity profile demonstrates hysteretic modulation corresponding to the strain variation from the inverse piezoelectric response of the substrate. An enhancement of the SHG signal of 15% is observed at an applied external electric field of 1.2 kV/cm, while a control sample shows negligible change as a function of piezovoltage. Our results suggest a promising route to control the performance of nonlinear optical oxides for the development of future nano-opto-mechanical devices.

See supplementary material for the details of RHEED and XPS analyses of the films.
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