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Abstract: The compounds EtOC(=O)CHNN(PR3) (R = Ph 1, Cy 2, tBu 3) were prepared via the reactions of the diazomethane and a phosphine. In subsequent reactions with B(C6F5)3, the compounds 2 and 3 are shown to exhibit Lewis basicity at the carbonyl oxygen affording EtOC(=O(B(C6F5)3))CHNNPR3 (R = Cy 5, tBu 6). Reactions of 5 and 6 with water or phenol, illustrated the Brønsted basicity at the nitrogen atom adjacent phosphorus, affording the compounds, [EtOC(=O)CHNNHPR3][HOB(C6F5)3] (R = Cy 7, tBu 8) and [EtOC(=O)CHNNHPR3][PhOB(C6F5)3] (R = Cy 9, tBu 10), respectively. The formulation of these products is confirmed via spectroscopic and crystallographic studies, and insight is garnered from computations.

Introduction

The reactions of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) with small molecules has emerged as a strategy for reactivity.1-8 While this work was initiated by the finding that combinations of Lewis acids and bases could activate H2,9 this has expanded to encompass a wide range of small molecules including olefins,10 alkynes,11-12 disulfides,13 N2O,14-15 cyclopropanes,16 CO2,17-18 CO,19-20 NO21, SO222-23 and RNSO.24 Such broad reactivity with substrates typically activated by transition-metal systems prompted the question: can FLP reactivity be extended to dinitrogen? A major challenge in such efforts is the paucity of main group systems known to capture N2. While the adduct (N2)BF3 was reportedly generated via supersonic expansion at 600 torr and 170 K25 in 1978, it has only been recently shown that a CAAC-stabilised borylene was used to effect the first metal-free capture of N2 by Braunschweig and coworkers.26-27 A computational study by Frenking et al. described the compound Ph3PNNPPh3 as a N2 unit stabilised by two phosphine donors.28 While this discussion is thought provoking, it is important to note that this species only liberates N2 under thermal duress. In our own efforts towards FLP-N2 chemistry, we began an examination of the chemistry diazomethanes with boranes. Diazomethanes are isolable yet liberate N2, and the Frenking logic allows us to view these species as carbene-stabilized-N2 complexes.30

In considering the reactions of diazomethanes with electrophilic boranes, we noted that Brown et al.,31 described the polymerisation of diazomethane by BF3. Brown also suggested that reactions of dialkylchloroboranes with diazoacetates results in chloride or alkyl group migration to the diazomethane carbon.32 In a related sense, Soderquist et al. exploited the reactions of Me3SiCH(N2) with 9-borbicyclo- nonanes to give 10-Me3Si-9-borbicyclodecane (Scheme 1)33 while Shea and Bai described the synthesis of (Me3SiCH2)3B from (Me3S·BH3) and Me3SiCHN2.34 In 2012 and 2013, we built on these precedents to react diazomethanes with a variety of electrophilic boranes, effecting insertion of carbene fragments
into B-C bonds with liberation of N\textsubscript{2} (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{35} In a related reaction, the bis(amo\textsubscript{n})silylene inserts into a B-C bond of B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} affording (H\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{N}Bu\textsubscript{3})Si(B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}) (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{36-37} More recently, we employed the sterically-encumbered diazomethane Ph\textsubscript{2}CN\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{3} in reactions with HB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} and B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{38}

In the former case, 1,1-hydroboration afforded Ph\textsubscript{2}CNNH(B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}) while reaction with B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} provided the thermally unstable diazomethane adduct Ph\textsubscript{2}CNNB(B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}) (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{39-40}

In this paper, we explore the reactions of phosphine-diazomethane adducts with B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}. Herein, we show that the phosphine-diazomethane adducts do not effect insertion of carbene into B-C bonds. Rather phosphine-diazomethane adducts are shown to bind B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} reversibly, and to react subsequently with proton sources. These reactions demonstrate differing sites for Lewis and Brønsted reactivity.

**Results and Discussion**

Since the original report by Staudinger and Meyer,\textsuperscript{41} literature studies\textsuperscript{42-48} have probed the reactions of diazomethanes with phosphine donors. In a similar fashion, we have probed the addition of Ph\textsubscript{3}P to a solution of EtOC(=O)CH(N\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} to afford a pale yellow solution from which crystals were isolated of the product \textbf{1} in 86% yield. The \textsuperscript{31}P(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 22.7 ppm. In addition, the \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR data were consistent with the literature description of EtOC(=O)CH(NNPH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}. These data are consistent with the previous report of compound \textbf{1}.\textsuperscript{44} The structure of \textbf{1} was also confirmed via X-ray methods. The structure reveals a pseudo-tetrahedral geometry at phosphorus with a P-N bond distance of 1.621(3) Å while the N-N and N-C bond distances are 1.364(3) Å and 1.293(4) Å, respectively. The corresponding P-N-\textsuperscript{1}H and N-N-\textsuperscript{1}H angles are 111.8(2)° and 114.2(2)°, respectively. The corresponding P-N-\textsuperscript{13}C\textsuperscript{1}H and N-N-\textsuperscript{13}C\textsuperscript{1}H angles are 112.4(1)° and 117.3(2)°, respectively.

DFT calculations using Gaussian 16 using the BP86 functional and the def2-TZVPP basis set were performed on the optimised structures of \textbf{1-3}. The HOMOs for these molecules were centred on the nitrogen atoms comprised primarily of the lone pairs on these atoms. The HOMO-1s which were 3.0, 1.9, and 3.9 Kcal/mol lower in energy than the HOMOs for \textbf{1}, \textbf{2}, and \textbf{3}, respectively and have components on the P-N and N-C fragments. The HOMO-2s, which are 19.2, 21.0, and 22.5 Kcal/mol lower in energy than the HOMOs for \textbf{1}, \textbf{2}, and \textbf{3}, respectively, are centred on the ester-carbonyl oxygen atoms and thus ascribed to a lone pair of electrons on oxygen (Figure 3). It is also interesting to note that these molecules exhibit stronger N-N and weaker P-N bonds than that seen in Ph\textsubscript{3}PNNPH\textsubscript{3}\textsubscript{3} (see SI).

\textbf{Figure 1} POV-ray depiction of \textbf{1}, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. C: black, P: orange, N: blue, O: red.

Addition of B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} to solutions of \textbf{1-3} were performed in CDCl\textsubscript{3} at -45 °C. After 30 minutes of stirring the solutions were warmed to room temperature. In the case of \textbf{1}, the mixture appears to be an equilibrium as evidenced by the broadened resonances in the \textsuperscript{11}B(\textsuperscript{1}H) and \textsuperscript{31}P(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR spectra. This suggests the formation of a weak adduct \textbf{4} between \textbf{1} and B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}. Efforts to isolate \textbf{4} for further characterisation were unsuccessful as on warming to room temperature the product of para-attack, Ph\textsubscript{3}PC\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{6}BF(B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{49} precipitates from solution. In contrast, reaction of \textbf{2} with B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} prompted a downfield shift of the \textsuperscript{31}P(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR resonance to 45.4 ppm. The central carbon of the diazomethane fragment exhibits a doublet at 125.4 ppm with a coupling constant of 49 Hz. The corresponding CH shows a singlet resonance in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum at 7.10 ppm. The corresponding \textsuperscript{11}B(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR resonance at -1.36 ppm together with the \textsuperscript{19}F(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR signals at -134.2, -159.1 and -165.0 ppm are consistent with a four coordinate boron centre and suggesting the formulation of the Lewis acid-base adduct, EtOC(=O)(B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3})CH(NNPH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (Scheme 2). In a similar fashion addition of B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} to \textbf{3} afforded \textbf{5} (Scheme 2).
the analogous adduct EtOC(=O(B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$))CH(NNP)$_3$) 6 (Scheme 2) which exhibited spectroscopic parameters that were similar to those seen for 5 (see SI). In this latter case, crystals of 6 were obtained and the crystallographic study confirmed the formation of a borane adduct of 3 at the carbonyl oxygen affording 6 (Figure 4). The B-O distance was determined to be 1.555(5) Å while the P-N, N-N and N-C distances were found to be 1.668(3) Å, 1.329(4) Å and 1.325(5) Å, respectively. The slightly longer P-N distance in 6 compared to 1 and 2 is attributed to the steric bulk of tBu$_3$P.

It is also interesting that the adducts 5 and 6 are formed and that the initial addition of phosphine precludes the direct reaction of the diazomethane with B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as previously reported. In more recent work we have shown that adducts are accessible for sterically hindered diazomethanes, but for less encumbered reagents, loss of N$_2$ is facile and insertion of the carbene fragment into the B-C bond proceeds rapidly. The present result suggests that P-N binding is favoured over B-N bonding, inferring that the N$_2$ fragment of the diazomethane is a better electron acceptor than donor.

Compounds 5 and 6 were found to thermally stable but did react with water. Indeed, slow stoichiometric addition of H$_2$O to 5 afforded a new species 7. The $^1$H NMR spectrum revealed a broad resonance at 11.88 ppm in addition to the expected signals. The $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum showed a signal at 57.2 ppm, while the $^{19}$F($^1$H) NMR resonances at -135.60, -160.88 and -165.15 ppm in addition to the $^{11}$B($^1$H) signal at -3.84 ppm, were consistent with the formulation of 7 as [EtOC(=O)CHNNHPCy$_3$][HOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$] (Scheme 2). The corresponding reaction with 6 gave rise to a new species 8 that
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**Figure 3** Surface contour plots (isovalue 0.03) of the (a) HOMO, (b) HOMO-1 (c) HOMO-2 computed for 3 computed at the BP86/def2-TZVPP level of theory.

The binding of borane to the carbonyl oxygen atom in 5 and 6 is perhaps surprising given the HOMO of 3 is centred on the nitrogen atoms (see above). On the other hand, while the HOMO-2 located on the carbonyl oxygen atom is 22.5 Kcal/mol lower than the HOMO in energy, it is also in a significantly less hindered site. Thus, it appears binding of the phosphines tBu$_3$P and Cy$_3$P sterically precludes binding of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ to either nitrogen, favouring binding to the less sterically encumbered carbonyl oxygen atom.

![Figure 4](attachment:image4.png)  
**Figure 4** POV-ray depiction of 6, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. C: black, P: orange, N: blue, O: red; B: yellow-green; F: pink.
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**Scheme 2** Synthetic pathways to 1-10.
showed the analogous $^1$H NMR doublet resonance at 11.20 ppm with a P-H coupling constant of 25.4 Hz. The $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR signal at 72.90 ppm, the $^{19}$F($^1$H) signals at -135.55, -160.99 and -165.27, together with the $^{11}$B($^1$H) NMR resonance at -3.84 ppm led to the formulation of 8 as [EtOC($=O$)CHNNHPhBu$_3$][HOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$] (Scheme 2). This was confirmed unambiguously by X-ray crystallography (Figure 5). The anion of 8 exhibited the expected pseudo-tetrahedral geometry about boron and the resulting B-OH distance in the anion was found to be 1.484(3) Å. The oxygen atom is oriented 2.153 Å from the proton on the N alpha to the phosphorus atom in the solid-state, indicative of hydrogen-bonding. Protonation of the N-atom has little impact on the P-N and N-N distances, as they were determined to be 1.661(2) Å and 1.381(3) Å, respectively, although the resulting N-C distance in 8 is 1.271(3) Å, which is significantly shorter than that in 6.

In a similar fashion, compound 5 was seen to react with phenol at -45 °C in 30 minutes. The solution became colourless and the $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum exhibited a broad singlet at 60.4 ppm, attributable to a new species 9. The corresponding $^{11}$B($^1$H) NMR signal was observed at -2.6 ppm while the $^{19}$F($^1$H) NMR spectrum showed signals at -133.9, 160.9, and -165.8 ppm. These latter data were consistent with the presence of the four-coordinate boron anion [PhOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$]. The $^1$H NMR spectrum revealed a doublet at 8.86 ppm with a P-H coupling constant of 24.2 Hz attributable to an NH proton. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR data revealed a doublet at 141.6 ppm with a coupling constant of 14.1 Hz. Collectively these data infer a formulation of 9 as [EtOC($=O$)CHNNHPhBu$_3$][PhOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$] (Scheme 2). Similar reaction of 6 with phenol in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at -45 °C afforded the corresponding product 10 as evidenced by the $^{13}$P($^1$H) signal at 74.0 ppm, and the $^{11}$B($^1$H) and $^{19}$F($^1$H) NMR signals indicative of the formation of the four-coordinate boron anion [PhOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$]. The $^1$H NMR doublet at 8.26 ppm with a P-H coupling constant of 24.2 Hz attributable to an NH proton together with the $^{13}$C($^1$H) signal at 141.1 ppm were consistent with the formation of 10 as [EtOC($=O$)CHNNHPhBu$_3$][PhOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$] (Scheme 2). The formulation of compound 9 were confirmed by a crystallographic study of 9 (Figure 6). The anion [PhOB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$]$_{-}$ was unexceptional with a B-O distance of 1.504(2) Å while the cation in 9 was analogous to that seen in 8.

The formation of 7-10 illustrate that the species 5 and 6 establish equilibrium access to free B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_2$ and 2 and 3, respectively. This permits the Lewis acid to bind water or phenol, resulting in an increase in acidity and prompting protonation of the alpha nitrogen atom of 2 or 3, affording the resulting observed salts. Protonation at the alpha nitrogen is consistent with the computed HOMOs and illustrates the contrasting reactivity of 2 and 3 with Lewis and Brønsted acids.

**Conclusion**

The present results demonstrate that addition of phosphine to diazomethanes leads to the formation of the phosphine-diazomethane adducts 1-3. Upon addition of borane, these adducts preclude insertion into B-C bonds but rather can form Lewis acid-base adducts 5 and 6 at the carbonyl-oxygen. In contrast, in subsequent reactions with H$_2$O or phenol, these species exhibit Brønsted acidity at the nitrogen atom adjacent phosphorus, affording the salts 7-10. This contrasting Lewis and Brønsted reactivity provides an interesting example of the impact of steric demands. Further studies of the reactions of diazomethanes with FLPs continues in our laboratories, targeting applications in organic synthesis and in the modelling of main group N$_2$ chemistry.

**Experimental Section**

**General Considerations:** All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of dry, O$_2$-free N$_2$ conditions in a VAC glovebox. All glass devices used for the synthesis were oven-dried and cooled under vacuum before use. Oxygen-free and dry solvents were prepared using an Innovative Technologies solvent purification system. Deuterated chloroform (CDCl$_3$) purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc. was degassed and stored over molecular sieves (4 Å) for at least two days prior to use. Commercial reagents were used without further purification unless indicated otherwise. B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ was purchased from Boulder Scientific and sublimed under vacuum at 85 °C prior to use. NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature (298K) unless otherwise mentioned on a Bruker.
Avance III 400 MHz, an Agilent DD2 500, and an Agilent DD2 700 Spectrometers. Spectra were referenced to the residual solvent signals (CDCl₃: 1H = 7.26 ppm and 13C = 77.2 ppm). Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm and coupling constants (J) are listed as absolute values in Hz. Multiplicities are reported as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), multiplet (m), overlapping (ov), and broad (br). Medium-High-resolution mass spectra (MHR-MS) were obtained on a Agilent 6538 UHD mass spectrometer.

**Synthesis of ETOC(=O)CH(NNNPPrR) (R = Ph 1, Cy 2, tBu 3)** These products were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. In the case of 1, this is a minor modification of a literature procedure. A 20 mL vial was charged with PhP=O (0.100g, 0.392 mmol) in pentane (5 mL) and a solution of ETOC(=O)(CH(NN PR)= 44.4 Hz, N-CH), 59.2 (s, CH) and broad (br). Medium-High-resolution mass spectra (MHR-MS) were obtained on a Agilent 6538 UHD mass spectrometer.

**Synthesis of ETOC(=O)CH(NNNPPrR) (R = Ph 1, Cy 2, tBu 3)** These products were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. In the case of 1, this is a minor modification of a literature procedure. A 20 mL vial was charged with PhP=O (0.100g, 0.392 mmol) in pentane (5 mL) and a solution of ETOC(=O)(CH(NN PR)= 44.4 Hz, N-CH), 59.2 (s, CH) and broad (br). Medium-High-resolution mass spectra (MHR-MS) were obtained on a Agilent 6538 UHD mass spectrometer.

**Synthesis of ETOC(=O)CH(NNNPPrR) (R = Ph 1, Cy 2, tBu 3)** These products were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. In the case of 1, this is a minor modification of a literature procedure. A 20 mL vial was charged with PhP=O (0.100g, 0.392 mmol) in pentane (5 mL) and a solution of ETOC(=O)(CH(NN PR)= 44.4 Hz, N-CH), 59.2 (s, CH) and broad (br). Medium-High-resolution mass spectra (MHR-MS) were obtained on a Agilent 6538 UHD mass spectrometer.

**Synthesis of ETOC(=O)CH(NNNPPrR) (R = Ph 1, Cy 2, tBu 3)** These products were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. In the case of 1, this is a minor modification of a literature procedure. A 20 mL vial was charged with PhP=O (0.100g, 0.392 mmol) in pentane (5 mL) and a solution of ETOC(=O)(CH(NN PR)= 44.4 Hz, N-CH), 59.2 (s, CH) and broad (br). Medium-High-resolution mass spectra (MHR-MS) were obtained on a Agilent 6538 UHD mass spectrometer.

**Synthesis of ETOC(=O)CH(NNNPPrR) (R = Ph 1, Cy 2, tBu 3)** These products were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. In the case of 1, this is a minor modification of a literature procedure. A 20 mL vial was charged with PhP=O (0.100g, 0.392 mmol) in pentane (5 mL) and a solution of ETOC(=O)(CH(NN PR)= 44.4 Hz, N-CH), 59.2 (s, CH) and broad (br). Medium-High-resolution mass spectra (MHR-MS) were obtained on a Agilent 6538 UHD mass spectrometer.
Synthesis of [EtOC(=O)CHNNHPR}\[EtOC(=O)CHNNHPR] (R = Cy 9, tBu 10) These products were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. To the 20 mL vial with the reaction product of EtOC(O)(Cy)(F3C)CHNCPy3 (0.071 g, 0.078 mmol) a solution of phenol (0.007 g, 0.078 mmol), diluted in CDC13 (0.3 mL), was added, in a dropwise fashion. The yellow solution was stirred at -45 °C for a period of 30 minutes and turned to a colourless solution over time. 9: Yield (NMR): 98%; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDC13, 298 K): δ 8.86 (bs, 1H, NH), 7.83 (s, 1H, CH), 6.94 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 2H, Cy), 6.49 – 6.59 (m, 3H, Cy), 4.21 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H, Cy), 2.47 (q, J=12.5, 2.8 Hz, 3H, P-CH), 1.92 – 1.69 (m, 15H, CH2), 1.52-1.39 (m, 6H, CH2, CyH11).

10: Yield (NMR): 99%; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDC13, 298 K): δ 8.26 (d, J=24.2 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.80 (s, 1H, CH), 6.97 – 6.92 (m, 2H, Cy), 6.68 – 6.57 (m, 3H, CH3), 1.56 (d, J=14.9 Hz, 27H, tBu), 1.27 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H, CH3). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDC13, 298 K): δ 161.9 (s, C=O), 159.7 (s, q-C=O), 149.2 (bs, CyF), 146.8 (bs, CyF), 141.6 (d, J=14.1 Hz, N-CH), 140.1 (bs, CyF), 138.0 (m, CyF), 135.9 (s, CyF), 129.8 (s, CyF), 128.8 (s, CyF), 119.9 (s, CyF), 119.7 (s, CyF), 115.4 (s, CyF), 61.6 (s, CH2), 32.1 (d, J=47.7 Hz, P-CH), 26.7 (d, J=12.8 Hz, CH2, CyH11), 26.0 (d, J=3.3 Hz, CyH11), 25.4 (bs, CyH11), 13.9 (s, CH3) ppm. 31P{1H} NMR (162 MHz, CDC13, 298 K): δ 60.4 (s) ppm. 31P{1H} NMR (376 MHz, CDC13, 298 K): δ -133.4 (d, J=21.5 Hz, o-CyF), -161.2 (t, J=20.4 Hz, p-CyF), -165.9 (bt, J=20.3 Hz, m-CyF) ppm. 11B{1H} NMR (128 MHz, CDC13, 298 K): δ -2.8 (s) ppm. MHR-MS (ESI+), CDC13 calculated for C138H223N13O10P3: 395.28; found for C138H223N13O10P3: 395.28.

Computational Details Electronic structure calculations, including geometry optimisation, frequency calculations, and energy calculations, were performed using Gaussian 16b60 using the BP86 functional and the def2-TZVPP basis set.50–53 Natural bond orbital and natural population analyses were performed on optimised structures using NBO 6.0.54 X-ray coordinates were used as the starting geometries. The Cartesian coordinates of the optimised structures are collected in tables 1-4. The absence of any imaginary frequency with an absolute magnitude greater than 10 cm⁻¹ confirmed that each optimised structure was indeed located at a minimum on its potential energy hypersurface.

X-ray Diffraction Studies: Single crystals were coated with paratone oil, mounted on a cryoloop and frozen under a stream of cold nitrogen. Data were collected on a Bruker Apex2 X-ray diffractometer at 150(2) K for all crystals using graphite monochromated Mo-Ka radiation (0.71073 Å). Data were collected using Bruker APEX-2 software and processed using SHEXL and an absorption correction applied using multi-scan within the APEX-2 program. All structures were solved and refined by direct methods within the SHELXTL package. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
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