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ABSTRACT
We have investigated xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts for the selective synthesis of γ-valerolactone from levulinic acid (LA). A series of xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts with a consistent metal loading of 50% but varying Ni and Cu composition were prepared by an oxalate gel precipitation method and tested for LA hydrogenation. Ni-rich catalysts showed higher catalytic activity compared with Cu-rich formulations with a 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ composition yielding 76% γ-valerolactone after a reaction time of 30 min at 200 °C. Characterisation of the materials by XRD, surface area measurements and TPR allow us to attribute the differences in performance seen for different compositions to particle size and nanoparticle dispersion effects. DFT calculations also showed that a shift of d-band centre to higher energies with the mole fraction of Ni in Cu–Ni alloys would be expected to lead to improved hydrogen dissociation in Ni-rich catalysts and so aid hydrogenation activity.

Introduction
Utilisation of biomass for the production of fuel and chemicals has become an important research topic because of growing concerns about the finite nature of fossil carbon reserves and the environmental impact of our continued dependence on these resources. Recently, levulinic acid (LA) was included in a list of the “Top 10” building blocks for future biorefineries as proposed by the US department of energy [1]. LA is considered one of the most important platform molecules for the production of fine chemicals and fuels [2] based on its compatibility with existing processes, market economics, industrial viability and ability to serve as a platform for the synthesis of important derivatives. Hydrogenation of LA to produce γ-valerolactone (GVL) is an active area of research due to the potential of GVL to be used as a biofuel in its own right and for its subsequent transformation into hydrocarbon fuels [3].

The hydrogenation of LA to GVL has been reported using both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts [4,5]. Re, Ru, Pd, and Pt have been the generally explored precious metal heterogeneous catalysts for the synthesis of GVL from LA with a particular focus on Ru due to its excellent performance for the liquid phase hydrogenation of this substrate [6–9]. High turnover numbers can also be achieved using well dispersed Pd nanoparticles deposited on SiO₂ supports [10]. Ir on polymer supports [11], Sn/SBA-15 [12] and Pt–Pd/SBA-15 [13] have also been identified as active catalysts for this hydrogenation reaction.

However, for a process to become truly sustainable, not only do the substrates need to be renewable but...
also the catalysts used for their transformation should be inexpensive and abundant in nature. Cu is one of the most abundant and relatively cheap metals that has been reported to be active for LA hydrogenation making it a desirable replacement for precious metal based catalysts [5,14,15]. We have recently shown that the oxalate gel co-precipitation method coupled with a pre-reduction of the catalyst prior to use can significantly enhance the activity of Cu–ZrO₂ catalysts compared to alternative preparation methods. Zirconia is a particularly well suited material for this catalyst system as Cu can be incorporated into the ZrO₂ lattice through co-precipitation. This provides effective anchor points for the Cu nanoparticles which prove to be the active component of the resulting hydrogenation catalyst [5,16]. Our conclusions on the role of each component of these materials in the structure of the catalysts are in line with earlier work using similar catalysts for the steam reforming of methanol [17]. However, the scope of application in the area of hydrogenation chemistry for aqueous phase substrates such as LA has been less well investigated. In general hydrogenation catalysis studies have either relied on the traditional precious metals or applied the same impregnation/deposition methods used to form metal nanoparticles for those catalysts to the synthesis of materials with copper as the active component. For example, Cu–Ni/Al₂O₃, with Ni the minor component, prepared by incipient impregnation has been reported [18] and showed 50% GVL yield after 2 h of reaction at 150 °C for the catalytic transfer hydrogenation of ethyl levulinate.

Whilst we have shown how Cu–ZrO₂ catalysts produced via the oxalate gel route can give high activity and selectivity for the hydrogenation of LA to GVL, the reaction conditions required are more forcing than used with supported Ru or Pd catalysts. In this work, we have considered the possibility of alloying Cu with Ni to enhance the catalytic performance further. Once again, the catalyst preparation is key and we consider the effects of alloy composition and post-synthesis reduction temperature on catalyst performance. These are shown to have a significant effect on catalyst performance with some alloy compositions giving higher activity that either single metal. The source of the synergy is discussed in the light of extensive materials characterisation and DFT calculations.

Ni has been selected as a second low cost and abundant metal component for these catalysts. Supported nickel alone has already shown catalytic potential for various hydrogenation processes. Ni supported on γ-Al₂O₃ has been used by Hengst et al. to produce GVL with 100% selectivity with water as solvent [19]. The same work also showed high yields of GVL under solvent free conditions. Rao and co-workers reported 30 wt.% Ni/SiO₂ prepared by a wet impregnation method; as an active catalyst which showed full conversion of LA with 85–90% selectivity to GVL [20]. Further they compared SiO₂ with other oxide supports including Al₂O₃, ZrO₂, TiO₂, ZnO, and MgO. Ni/Al₂O₃ showed comparable conversions of LA, whereas Ni/TiO₂, Ni/ZnO, and Ni/MgO performed poorly due to lower Ni dispersion on the surface and low support acidity. The catalyst synthesised as Ni supported on zirconia, Ni/ZrO₂, also performed poorly because catalytic activity was hindered by large Ni particle sizes and low Ni dispersion. Mohan et al. demonstrated that a 30 wt.% Ni/H-ZSM-5 catalyst was able to produce high yields of GVL (90%) and that the Ni dispersion is an important feature for catalytic activity [21]. Stability was also an issue in this case, with GVL yield decreasing to 65% after just 5 h, possibly as a result of water generated during the reaction. Varkolu et al. reported Ni supported on Al₂O₃, MgO, and hydrotalcite which also exhibited the same deactivation because of water generated during the reaction [22]. MgO and hydrotalcite supports showed a drastic decline in activity due to phase changes caused by interaction with water. Al₂O₃ was also affected by water, though to a lesser extent. Shimizu et al. showed that Ni can be modified with MoO₃ to give an improvement in hydrogenation activity [23]. They report that the turn over number of the Ni–MoO₃/C catalyst is comparable to the performance of the commonly used Ru based catalyst, however a high temperature of 250 °C is required and catalyst stability is not considered.

Catalysts containing a mix of Cu and Ni have also been reported to improve activity for hydrogenation reactions. Upare et al. [24] have reported that Ni added to a Cu/SiO₂ system can alleviate the stability problems suffered by Cu/SiO₂ alone. They report a 96% yield of GVL at 265 °C and 25 bar of H₂. This catalyst was also used by the same research group to hydrogenate LA using formic acid as a H₂ source, and was stable up to 100 h on stream [25]. Arias and co-workers investigated the effect of Ni–Cu catalysts supported on Al₂O₃ for LA hydrogenation to 2-MTHF, which occurs via GVL as an intermediate [26]. Ni/Al₂O₃ was found to be the most active catalyst, and Cu/Al₂O₃ the most selective to 2-MTHF. An optimum combination of the two metals resulted in a yield of 56% 2-MTHF in 5 h at 250 °C which is the highest yield achieved by a non-noble metal catalyst so far. The authors concluded that there was a synergistic effect between Ni and Cu in the mixed Ni–Cu particles leading to an improved yield of 2-MTHF. A recent study by Palkovits and co-workers [27] discussed the mechanism of the hydrogenation of LA to GVL and 2-MTHF using Cu–Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst under hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation conditions. They have reported that Cu–Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts are more active than Ru/C using a solvent that is a poor hydrogen donor, and that a 93% yield of GVL can be obtained.

The above literature shows that attempts have been made to show positive effects of bimetallic (Cu–Ni) catalysts for LA hydrogenation using supported metal catalysts. Co-precipitation of metal and oxide support is less
widely employed but offers a route to high levels of metal dispersion. We have recently shown the effect of catalyst preparation parameters on Cu–ZrO₂ for GVL synthesis from LA [5]. Now, we have investigated the effect of Ni addition into the Cu–ZrO₂ catalyst and have identified a profound effect on catalytic activity when bimetallic Cu–Ni catalysts are used. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report the effect of alloy composition and preparation variables on the performance of xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts prepared by the oxalate gel method for the LA hydrogenation reaction.

**Experimental**

**Catalyst preparation**

**Oxalate gel method**

The catalysts were prepared by the oxalate gel (OG) method. In a typical synthesis Cu(NO₃)₂.3H₂O (0.01 mol, Sigma Aldrich), Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (0.01 mol, Sigma Aldrich) and ZrO(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (0.01 mol, Archos Organics, UK) were dissolved in ethanol (200 mL). The composition of the material was determined by the molar ratio of the metal content of the catalyst. So that a material referred to as xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ contains x molar % Ni and y molar % Cu referred to the total moles of Ni, Cu and Zr present. All materials compared in this work have x + y = 50 mol%. Oxalic acid (0.024 mol, Sigma Aldrich) was added and the resulting precipitates were aged in the mother liquor at room temperature for 2 h. The precipitate was then filtered without a washing step. The material was dried (110 °C, 16 h), calcined (550 °C (heating rate 10 °C min⁻¹) in static air, 2 h), followed by reduction at the desired temperature in a flow of 5% H₂/Ar (heating rate 10 °C min⁻¹) for 2 h.

**Characterization**

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) was performed using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro fitted with an X'Celerator detector and a Cu Ka X-ray source operated at 40 kV and 40 mA, 2θ = 10–80°. Each sample was scanned from 2θ = 10° to 80° for 30 min. The catalysts were ground into fine powder before the analysis. The results obtained were compared with the information in SPDF library for each catalyst.

Microwave plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES) was performed using an Agilent 4100 MP-AES machine. The samples were introduced to the nitrogen plasma using a single pass spray chamber at a pressure of 120 kPa without air injection. The instrument was calibrated with 1, 2, 4, 7, 10 ppm standard solutions in 10% HCl. The samples were tested in triplicate and the average result was used. Cu content was analysed with two emission lines at 324.754 and 327.395 nm and Ni content was analysed with two lines at 341.476 and 352.454 nm.

Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) was carried out using a Thermo 1100 series TPDRO under 75 ml min⁻¹ 5% H₂/Ar, 10 °C min⁻¹ ramp rate. Samples (0.1 g) sandwiched between quartz wool were pre-treated at 110 °C (heating rate = 20 °C min⁻¹) under Ar for 1 h prior to reduction in order to clean the surface. After cooling to ambient temperature, analysis was performed under 10% H₂/Ar (25 ml min⁻¹) between 30 and 800 °C (heating rate 10 °C min⁻¹).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Kratos Axis Ultra-DLD photoelectron spectrometer, using monochromatic Al Kα radiation, operating at 144 W power. High resolution and survey scans were performed at pass energies of 40 and 160 eV respectively. Spectra were calibrated to the C (1s) signal at 284.8 eV and quantified using Casa XPS v2.3.17, using modified Wagner sensitivity factors supplied by the manufacturer.

Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas were determined by multi-point N₂ adsorption at 77 K on a Micromeretrics Gemini 2360. Prior to the analysis, samples were degassed at 120 °C for 1 h under N₂ flow.

N₂O titration was performed on a Quantachrome ChemBet equipped with a zeolite trap for the prepared catalysts. Prior to the analysis, the catalysts were reduced in situ under 30 ml min⁻¹ of 10% H₂/Ar flow at 180 °C. Then the temperature was decreased to 65 °C with He purging in order to remove H₂ adsorbed on the catalyst surface. N₂O was pulsed until no signals due to N₂ were detected using a TCD detector. After titration, a known amount of N₂ was fed for calibration.

**LA hydrogenation**

Reactions were carried out using a 50 mL, Parr Instruments, Model 5500HP stainless steel stirred autoclave equipped with a Teflon liner. Typically, the liner was charged with the desired amounts of catalyst and substrate (LA) and sealed inside the autoclave. The sealed autoclave was purged three times with H₂ in order to remove residual air, and three times with H₂ to remove residual N₂ before being pressurised to the required reaction pressure with H₂. The autoclave was heated to the required temperature. The stirring rate was increased to 2000 rpm when the reaction temperature was reached, which was considered to be the start of the reaction (t = 0). When the reaction was completed, the autoclave was rapidly cooled in an ice bath in order to quench the reaction. The gases were vented when the temperature was <10 °C and a sample of the vented gases captured and analysed using GC. For all reactions the gas phase products were detected at only very low levels (<0.1% by mass of converted substrate). The catalyst was filtered using filter paper and the reaction solution was analysed using an offline Varian 450 GC equipped with CP-Sil 5CB (50 m, 0.32 mm, 5 μm) column and detected.
Catalytic activity of xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts prepared with different compositions and reduction temperatures. Reaction conditions: 200 °C, 35 bar H₂, 30 min, 5 wt.% LA/H₂O, catalyst (0.05 g).

Results and discussion

50Cu–ZrO₂, xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ of various compositions with x + y = 50, and 50Ni–ZrO₂ catalysts were prepared by the OG method. In our earlier work with Cu–ZrO₂ catalysts it was shown that hydrogenation activity can be greatly improved by reducing the catalyst with H₂ prior to use [16]. Accordingly, these catalysts were reduced at different temperatures using 5% H₂/Ar (after calcination at 550 °C). Each catalyst was then investigated for its activity for the conversion of LA into GVL, and the results are presented in Figure 1. Analysis of the GC data showed GVL and unconverted LA only, confirming that each catalyst gives 100% selectivity to GVL under the reaction conditions used and no by-products are formed. For the catalysts containing only Cu, the 50Cu–ZrO₂ catalyst reduced at 300 °C was the most active and produced a GVL yield of 50% after 30 min. Increasing the temperature of the pre-reduction step for this material lead to lower yields of GVL with 50Cu–ZrO₂ reduced at 500 °C producing a GVL yield of only 28% under the same experimental conditions. Catalysts prepared with only Ni, 50Ni–ZrO₂, gave consistently low GVL yields, regardless of the reduction temperature, with a maximum GVL yield of 40% produced after 30 min for the materials pre-reduced at 450 °C. For xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts, Ni-rich materials were generally more active than the Cu-rich catalysts. Amongst the set of mixed metal xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts the 35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂ catalyst pre-reduced at 350 °C and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ pre-reduced at 400 °C showed a comparable yield of GVL (72% and 76% respectively) after 30 min of reaction. The single metal catalyst 50Ni–ZrO₂ showed relatively poor activity for all reduction temperatures. So it appears that small amounts of Cu in xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ materials results in the most active catalysts.

The Ni–ZrO₂, and xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts prepared and reduced at 400 °C were investigated further because the most active catalyst (45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂) was contained within this group, and the group showed a clear difference in activity between the catalysts with a high Ni content (35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂) and low Ni contents (5Ni–45Cu–ZrO₂ and 15Ni–35Cu–ZrO₂). The data from this group was also compared with 50Cu–ZrO₂ reduced at 300 °C as this materials showed the highest activity of the Cu only catalysts. The reaction profiles of each of these catalysts for reaction times between 0 and 90 min are shown in Figure 2. 50Cu–ZrO₂, 35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂, and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ achieved 100% GVL yield after 90, 75, and 55 min respectively.

Catalyst reuse studies

In order to carry out the catalyst reuse studies an excess of catalyst was used for LA hydrogenation. After the completion of reaction with a large batch of catalyst (approx. 0.5 g), we took out the amount of catalyst used under our standard conditions (0.05 g) for the reaction and obtained data for LA conversion (GVL yield). This is the data we reported not the one from large batch. Then we used the rest of the catalyst (0.5–0.05 g) and after filtration, drying steps we again used a standard aliquot of catalyst (0.05 g) from this second reuse for the reaction and obtained data for LA conversion (GVL analysis).

Computational methods

Spin unrestricted periodic DFT calculations were performed using projector augmented wave method (PAW) [28,29] pseudopotentials within the VASP program [30–33]. Calculations were performed within a generalized gradient approximation using the exchange-correlation functional of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) [34,35]. All density of states (DOS) calculations were performed using a k-point sampling mesh of 11 × 11 × 11 along with a 500 eV cut-off for plane wave interactions. Structures of bulk Ru, Ni and Cu were prepared for all density of states (DOS) calculations were performed using projector augmented wave method (PAW) calculations. Structures of bulk Ru, Ni and Cu were prepared using a ±5% expansion of the unit cells in steps of 0.5% to locate energy minima by fitting of a quadratic function to the 5 points closest to the predicted minima.
and did not proceed to completion within a reaction time of 90 min.

Because the reaction profiles were linear for the initial 30 min, the initial rates and turnover numbers (TON) were calculated for each of these catalysts to give the results shown in Table 1. These values are comparable to the values reported previously with Cu–ZrO₂ catalysts (initial rate: 8.2 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³ s⁻¹) [16]. From these data the Ni rich catalyst, 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ shows the highest initial rate and TON factors around 60% greater than that for the monometallic 50Ni–ZrO₂ catalyst and more than twice that of 50Ni–ZrO₂.

Additional kinetic measurements were carried out using the most active catalyst – 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ reduced at 400 °C. For this purpose the yield of GVL was calculated from GC measurements and the rate of reaction was determined from the gradient of GVL concentration (mol dm⁻³) vs. time (s). Figure 3 shows the dependence of GVL concentration after 30 min reaction on the effective concentration of reactants. From these plots the order of reaction for GVL production from LA for the LA and H₂ reagents can be determined. The reaction is first order with respect to H₂, but tends to a zero order dependence for H₂ at pressures above 40 bar. Accordingly, at low H₂ pressure, the rate determining step is likely to be the dissociation of H₂ as reported previously [36]. At higher pressures the surface becomes saturated with H₂ and the rate is no longer affected by increasing the H₂ pressure. This behaviour with respect to H₂ concentration has also been observed in studies utilising high H₂ pressures and Ru catalysts for LA hydrogenation [37,38].

XRD patterns were obtained for all of the catalysts prepared at various Cu: Ni ratios and using a reduction temperature of 400 °C, these are compared to the most active Cu only material (reduced at 300 °C) in Figure 4. The most prominent reflections can be assigned to t-ZrO₂ (ICDD: 01-080-2155) at 2θ = 30.6°, 51.4°, 61.0°, and 74.5°, and these are observed in all catalysts although with varying intensity. Reflections at 2θ = 35°, 37° and 63° corresponding to NiO (ICDD: 01-089-7101) can be seen for the catalysts with higher amounts of Ni (35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂, 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ and 50Ni–ZrO₂). In 50Ni–ZrO₂ there were two reflections at 2θ = 43.6° and 44.2° the former corresponding to NiO and the latter corresponding to Ni metal (ICDD: 03-065-0360). Figure 4(b) shows a 4° section of the diffraction patterns shown in Figure 4(a) to highlight an interesting change in the XRD patterns between 2θ = 43°–46° in the bimetallic catalysts. A reflection corresponding to Cu metal was observed at 43.3° in the 50Cu–ZrO₂ catalyst but this is shifted to a higher diffraction angles for the xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ materials. This shift gradually increased from 43.5° to 44.2° from 5Ni–45Cu–ZrO₂ to 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ respectively. There were no other peak shifts observed in the bimetallic catalyst relating to any other phases. This shift implies that an alloy of Cu and Ni (ICDD: 01-071-7847) has formed in all bimetallic catalysts [39,40], with the presence of Ni shifting the reflection in all bimetallic catalysts to values between 2θ = 43.3° (Cu metal: 50Cu–ZrO₂) to 2θ = 44.2° (Ni metal: 50Ni–ZrO₂). With an increase in Ni-content, the intensity of the alloy reflection decreases to the point where it can hardly be observed in the XRD pattern of 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂.

The Scherrer equation was used to calculate the particle size from the diffraction peaks in the 42–46° range (Table 2). The data shows that there was a decrease in alloy particle size with an increase in Ni-content. 35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ were the more active xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts and both had small alloy particle sizes as implied by the XRD data. This suggests that a small particle size leads to a high catalytic activity, and that the synthesis of small metal particle sizes can be achieved by increasing the Ni-content in the mixed metal catalysts.

BET and N₂O titration for the determination of total surface area and metal surface area respectively were carried out for each of the materials reduced at 400 °C.
higher Ni-content (35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂) showed BET surface areas of 90–110 m² g⁻¹. N₂O adsorption experiments revealed more significant differences in total metal surface area between materials. Single metal catalysts, 50Ni–ZrO₂ and 50Cu–ZrO₂, have relatively low metal surface areas, consuming only 380 and 580 μL g⁻¹ of N₂O, respectively. Mixed Cu/Ni materials have higher measured metal surface areas and this increases with the amount of Ni incorporated. The maximum metal surface area (3160 μL g⁻¹), was obtained (except for 50Cu–ZrO₂, which was reduced at 300 °C). Since both Cu and Ni can undergo oxidation by N₂O, the surface area cannot be assigned to a specific element, therefore total N₂O adsorption is reported (Table 2). These data show that both the BET surface area and specific adsorption of N₂O were affected by varying the Cu–Ni composition of the catalyst. The 50Cu–ZrO₂ catalyst and those with lower Ni-content (5Ni–45Cu–ZrO₂ and 15Ni–35Cu–ZrO₂) had comparable BET surface areas of between 50 and 65 m² g⁻¹. The catalysts with a higher Ni-content (35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂) showed BET surface areas of 90–110 m² g⁻¹. N₂O adsorption experiments revealed more significant differences in total metal surface area between materials. Single metal catalysts, 50Ni–ZrO₂ and 50Cu–ZrO₂, have relatively low metal surfaces areas, consuming only 380 and 580 μL g⁻¹ of N₂O, respectively. Mixed Cu/Ni materials have higher measured metal surface areas and this increases with the amount of Ni incorporated. The maximum metal surface area (3160 μL g⁻¹), was obtained.
Only Cu and Ni species were reduced under the TPR conditions employed; there was no reduction signal observed for ZrO$_2$. From the 50Cu–ZrO$_2$ profile it can be seen that Cu oxide showed a reduction signal between 140 and 210 °C. 50Ni–ZrO$_2$ showed a reduction signal between 325 and 500 °C with a reduction temperature of approximately 450 °C. This indicates that a proportion of Ni oxide present was not fully reduced under the post-synthesis reduction conditions employed for the materials used for the catalytic data reported in Figure 1. This was confirmed by the presence of NiO peaks observed in the XRD patterns (Figure 4). The presence of NiO in the catalyst could also explain why low N$_2$O adsorption was observed for 50Ni–ZrO$_2$ (Table 3). With an increase in Ni content and a corresponding decrease in Cu content, there was a decrease in the Cu reduction signal intensity. There was no observable signal corresponding to Ni reduction in 5Ni–45Cu–ZrO$_2$, presumably due to the small amount of Ni present.

In addition to signals corresponding to CuO reduction at 150 and 200 °C, 15Ni–35Cu–ZrO$_2$ showed a low intensity reduction signal corresponding to NiO at a temperature of 480 °C. The catalysts with higher Ni-content (35Ni–15Cu–ZrO$_2$ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$) showed a very different reduction profile compared to the lower Ni-content catalysts (5Ni–45Cu–ZrO$_2$ and 15Ni–35Cu–ZrO$_2$). Extra reduction signals between 250 and 400 °C were observed in both 35Ni–15Cu–ZrO$_2$ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$ which likely corresponds to the lower temperature reduction of NiO facilitated by the presence of Cu. The facile reduction of NiO due to interaction of Cu has been well documented by Arias and co-workers [26]. It is also possible that the reduction signal between 250 and 400 °C was due to the Cu–Ni alloy suggested by the XRD data (Figure 4).

XPS analysis was carried out on all catalysts and the analysis data are presented in Table 3. The binding energies of 50Cu–ZrO$_2$ and 50Ni–ZrO$_2$ were 932.9 eV and 854.9 eV respectively. The Cu(2p$_{3/2}$) binding energy decreased as the amount of Cu in the catalyst decreased. This phenomenon was also observed in a study of Cu-doped Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts by Naghash et al. [42] where they reported a gradual decline in binding energy of Cu with a decrease in amount of Cu doped onto the catalyst. This shift in Cu(2p$_{3/2}$) binding energy can be attributed to the formation of less “bulk-like” Cu [43]. The Ni(2p$_{3/2}$) binding energy increased to 855 eV when Cu was present in the catalyst, but was relatively unaffected by the amount of Cu present. The higher Ni(2p$_{3/2}$) binding energy in the presence of Cu is indicative of a charge transfer interaction from Cu to Ni as previously reported by Naghash et al. [42]. Charge transfer to Ni from a secondary metal has been known to facilitate the reduction of NiO at lower temperatures [44,45], as observed in our own TPR profiles of 35Ni–15Cu–ZrO$_2$ and 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$ (Figure 5). XPS therefore seems to support the idea that an alloy or solid solution was formed for the 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$ material and is around six times that of the pure metal samples. The results can be related to the catalytic activity shown in Figure 1. The most active catalyst (45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$) had the highest BET surface area and the highest specific adsorption of N$_2$O, whereas the least active catalyst of the series (5Ni–45Cu–ZrO$_2$) showed the lowest BET surface area and lowest specific adsorption of N$_2$O.

The above results indicate that surface area is an important parameter in the activity of catalysts for LA hydrogenation as reported previously for Cu–ZrO$_2$ [5]. The most active catalyst (45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$) has both the highest BET surface area and a high specific adsorption of N$_2$O with small metal particle sizes, indicating high metal dispersion in this material. The high dispersion coupled with low Cu content may also lead to more regions of exposed Ni metal available to catalyse hydrogenation [26,41].

TPR analysis was carried out on all catalysts after calcination at 550 °C before reduction in 5% H$_2$/Ar at 400 °C and the resulting profiles are shown in Figure 5.

![TPR profiles of xNi–yCu–ZrO$_2$ catalysts, compositions as indicated for each trace.](image)

Table 2. Physical properties of materials xCu–yNi–ZrO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Alloy particle size/nm</th>
<th>BET surface area/m$^2$ g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Specific adsorption of N$_2$O/μL g$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50Cu–ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Ni–45Cu–ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15Ni–35Cu–ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35Ni–15Cu–ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Ni–5Cu–ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50Ni–ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aAverage particle sizes of the Ni–Cu alloy species calculated using the Scherrer equation at 2θ = 42–46°. 

*BET surface area.

*N$_2$O adsorption data of catalysts prepared with different Cu–Ni content.
formed on the catalyst surface when Cu and Ni are both used in the catalyst synthesis.

To consider the electronic effect of alloying Cu and Ni DFT calculations were employed to look at the band structure as a function of composition. The adsorption and dissociation of H₂ on transition metal surfaces has been related to the availability of d-electrons in the band structure of the metals through the d-band centre model [46,47]. It is possible to bring about shifts in the d-band centre through strain effects [48]. However, the close match between the atomic radii of Cu and Ni means that strain effects due to alloying are likely to be minimal and the position of the d-band centre will be largely determined by electronic effects in Cu/Ni alloys. Calculated density of states (DOS), marked with the corresponding d-band centres, for bulk Ru, Ni and Cu are presented in Figure 6. This data clearly indicates bulk Cu has the lowest d-band centre followed by Ru and then Ni. As a magnetic metal, Ni shows a shift between the up and down spin DOS so that the d-band centres are of different energies. According to the d-band centre concept a high lying d-band centre would be expected to indicate a propensity for chemisorption of H₂ to produce surface absorbed H atoms.

Figure 6 shows that the highest d-band centre for the three metals is for Ni with the minority spin population having a d-band centre at -1.44 eV. The Ru d-band has a different shape to that of the other two metals covering a broader energy range and consequently has a generally lower amplitude. This places the d-band centre at -1.99 eV although there are clearly d-states at the Fermi level. In contrast the d-band of Cu is practically filled and so this metal has the lowest lying d-band centre at -2.75 eV consistent with its expected lower activity for H₂ adsorption. The differing d-band centre values correlate well with the different adsorption behaviours between the metals reported in the literature for H₂. For Cu (110) Campbell et al. [49] report an experimentally determined activation energy for dissociative adsorption of hydrogen of 59.83 ± 5.85 kJ mol⁻¹, whilst theoretical calculations by Yang et al. [50] determined the lowest barrier to dissociation of H₂ on various sites on Ni (111) to be 6.69 kJ mol⁻¹. As the dissociation of hydrogen on active metal particles is vital in the conversion of LA to GVL the d-band centre analysis would suggest that Ni rich catalysts should be more effective than Cu based materials.

In order to investigate the effect of alloying Cu and Ni on the d-band centre a 2 × 1 supercell of Cu was taken and doped sequentially via the thermodynamically most stable composition to form bulk Ni. A plot of the calculated d-band centre against the mole fraction Ni in bulk Cu is presented in Figure 7. Up to 0.2 mol fraction of Ni in Cu the up and down spin state DOS give an identical d-band centre but they become increasingly differentiated at higher Ni loadings. Increasing the percentage of Ni in bulk Cu also increases the d-band centre of both up and down DOS up to a mole fraction of 0.6, the corresponding experimental catalyst would be denoted 30Ni–20Cu–ZrO₂. After this point as the Ni proportion increases, the higher lying d-band centre (labelled as spin down in Figure 7) varies only slowly and the lower lying band d-centre actually decreases. This change with Ni content suggests that the electronic structure of the resulting alloys should be more active for LA hydrogenation since the higher lying d-band centre

### Table 3. XPS analysis of xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Binding energies/eV</th>
<th>Ni (2p₃/₂)</th>
<th>Cu (2p₃/₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>932.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Ni–45Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>855.5</td>
<td>932.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15Ni–35Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>855.8</td>
<td>932.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>855.6</td>
<td>932.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>855.3</td>
<td>932.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50Ni–ZrO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>854.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. DOS plots for bulk Ni (solid line), Ru (dashed line), and Cu (dot-dash line). Calculated d-band centres (in eV) are shown as dotted vertical lines labelled by metal type. Spin up states are shown as positive and spin down negative DOS values.
identified with metal nano-particles (see discussion with Figure 4). After reuse this peak appears sharper and is centred on \( \theta = 44.5^\circ \) corresponding to metallic Ni. From the Scherrer equation, the metal particle size was estimated to increase from 6 nm prior to the reaction to 17 nm after the reaction, suggesting significant particle sintering has taken place. The BET surface area was also found to decrease after reaction, from 110 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) for the fresh catalyst to 80 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) for the used. It has been established that high surface area, both of the material and metal, are important for high activity in \( x\text{Ni}\text{–}y\text{Cu}\text{–}ZrO_2 \) catalysts and the observed changes in these factors could explain the lower GVL yield obtained when the catalyst is reused.

MP-AES analysis was carried out on the post-reaction solutions in order to determine whether loss of metal was also partially responsible for the deactivation of the catalyst. The extent of metal leaching from all \( x\text{Ni}\text{–}y\text{Cu}\text{–}ZrO_2 \) catalysts is displayed in Table 4. There was minimal leaching of Cu from all catalysts observed during the reaction. However, there was a significant amount of Ni leaching observed.

To determine whether the leached Ni contributed to catalytic activity, the catalyst was filtered after regular reaction of 30 min, and the reaction resumed for a further 30 min without a solid catalyst present. 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO\(_2\) was chosen because it was the most active catalyst and showed the largest amount of leached Ni. The result of this experiment is shown in Figure 9. The yield of GVL after 30 min in the presence of 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO\(_2\) was around 75%. The yield of GVL remained unchanged after removal of the catalyst and further reaction for 30 min. This indicates that the reaction was entirely heterogeneous and the leached metal did not play any role in the reaction.
A 400 °C reduction step after synthesis. XRD analysis and XPS measurements showed the formation of Ni–Cu alloy particles in the mixed metal catalysts. It was generally found that Ni-rich catalysts were more active than Cu-rich catalysts. These materials showed the small particle sizes of the alloy species as measured by XRD, large BET surface areas and large number of exposed metallic sites as shown by adsorption of N₂O.

DFT calculations show that the d-band centre moves to higher energies as a function of Ni loading in Ni–Cu alloys, suggesting that there is also an electronic advantage to having alloy particles containing a large proportion of Ni. The high lying d-band centre is known to be a good predictor of the ability of a metal to dissociated H₂, which is likely to be the key step in LA hydrogenation.

TPR analysis indicated that the reduction temperature of NiO was decreased by the presence of Cu, which would suggest that a greater proportion of reduced metal is present under reaction conditions.

MP-AES analysis, also showed that a significant leaching of Ni occurred during the reaction while only minimal leaching of Cu was observed. Reusability tests were conducted for the 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ catalyst. It was found out that the catalyst activity was reduced after one use from a GVL yield of 76 to 50%. Deactivation was attributed to particle sintering and Ni leaching promoted by the carboxylic acid group of LA.

This study provides a new direction for the design of the bimetallic catalyst based on novel, cheap and abundant metals. Catalyst deactivation with re-use is still a significant hurdle to the practical application of these catalysts. We are currently exploring the use of a flow reactor, as by shortening the LA contact time with the catalyst we expect to be able to reduce the loss of Ni metal through leaching.
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**Table 4. Metal leaching from the xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalyst in the post-reaction solution obtained by MP-AES.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cu leaching/ppm</th>
<th>Ni leaching/ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Ni–45Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15Ni–35Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35Ni–15Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50Ni–ZrO₂</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5. Metal leaching from 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ after reaction with various substrates.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Cu leaching/ppm</th>
<th>Ni leaching/ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levulinic acid</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVL</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanoic acid</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Pentanone</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine if leaching is caused by interaction of the metal with reactant, reaction intermediates or the product, tests were carried out using each of LA, GVL and the model compounds 2-pentanone and pentanoic acid. Each was used for a reaction using 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ as a catalyst under normal reaction conditions. 2-Pentanone and pentanoic acid were selected because they represent the ketone and carboxylic acid functionalities present in LA. The resulting reaction mixtures underwent MP-AES analysis to determine the metal content (Table 5). In all cases minimal Cu leaching was observed. However, there was a variation in the amount of Ni leached from the catalyst into solution. LA caused more Ni leaching than GVL indicating that the reagent is mainly responsible for Ni leaching. Comparison of the data for the model compounds pentanoic acid and 2-pentanone shows that the carboxylic acid functionality of LA is the likely cause of the observed metal leaching.

**Conclusions**

A series of xNi–yCu–ZrO₂ catalysts with x + y = 50 mol % but varying Ni–Cu composition were prepared by an OG method and were found to be active for LA hydrogenation, with 45Ni–5Cu–ZrO₂ producing a GVL yield of 76% in 30 min when the catalyst was prepared with a 400 °C reduction step after synthesis.
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