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Abstract

Amplitude variation with angle (AVA) is a technique widely used in the characterisation of hydrocarbon reservoirs and assumes the Earth’s crust to be an isotropic medium. Yet, seismic anisotropy is known to have first-order effects on seismic AVA responses when investigating subsurface prospects. This work analyses the effects of anisotropic strata on AVA responses using the Lower Goru Formation, middle Indus basin (Pakistan) as a case-study. In the study area, shale intervals are interbedded with reservoir sands of the Sawan gas field. Shales in this gas field form laminae or are dispersed within reservoir sands, making the Lower Goru Formation an example of a vertically transversely isotropic (VTI) medium. In this work, we calculate the effective (saturated) mechanical properties of the Lower Goru Formation based on rock physics templates; the Backus (1962) average typically designed for layered media, combined with the empirical relations of Brown and Korringa (1975) and Wood (1955). The input data used in our rock physics modelling is based on a detailed petrophysical analysis of well data. Using the saturated effective mechanical properties of the Lower Goru Formation, we
generate angle-dependent reflection coefficient curves (and seismic AVA responses) based on
effect exact and approximate solutions, for both isotropic and anisotropic reservoir scenarios. Our
results suggest that the effects of lithological anisotropy are more pronounced in places with
thick shale beds within reservoir sands. Conversely, angle-dependent reflection curves, and
seismic AVA responses based on isotropic or anisotropic cases, give similar solutions in the
presence of thin shale beds. As a corollary of this work, we present a Bayesian inversion method
for the estimation of porosity in VTI media.

Keywords: South Asia; VTI medium; Rüger’s approximation; PP reflection coefficients;
Anisotropic AVA Modelling; Bayesian inversion.

1. Introduction

Modern techniques based on the systematic analysis of amplitude variations of seismic
waves with changing distance between source and receiver (AVO), or with angle of incidence
(AVA), are widely used in the petroleum industry to: a) detect subsurface gas, b) identify
lithological variations, and c) analyse subsurface fluid volumes and compositions (Floridia and
Teles, 1998; Grechka, 1998; Margesson and Sondergeld, 1999; Feng and Bancroft, 2006;
Almutlaq and Margrave, 2010; Chao et al., 2012). Seismic AVA is of particular interest as its
use is based on the realisation that variations in amplitude, at varying incident angles, result from
contrasts in lithology and fluid content in rocks above and below a layer boundary (Zhang and
Brown, 2001). Seismic AVA modelling is systematically carried out in reservoir studies by
considering the Earth as an isotropic medium. However, all sedimentary rocks exhibit anisotropic
behaviour at different scales (Xu et al., 2005). It has been known for a long time that anisotropy
resulting from shaley beds and lenses within sandy successions can affect seismic AVA
responses when investigating sand-shale reservoirs (Banik, 1987; Wright, 1987; Kim et al., 1993;
Thomsen, 1995; Blangy, 1994; Ball, 1995; Grechka, 1998; Besheli et al., 2005; Brajanovski et al., 2009; Chao et al., 2012; Nourollah et al., 2015). By ignoring the effects of anisotropy during AVA modelling, interpreters may complete erroneous characterisations of productive reservoir intervals (Besheli et al., 2005). Importantly, erroneous quantification of volumes and reservoir distribution(s) should arise when analysing the petroleum potential of sedimentary basins in South Asia, and other parts of the world, where stratified reservoir sequences of shale and silt contain significant amounts of ‘tight oil’ and ‘tight gas’ (McGlade et al., 2012; Katz and Lin, 2014; English et al., 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2016).

In recent decades, stratigraphic sequences of thin-layered sands and shales have become key exploration targets for which seismic anisotropy methods (also referred to as long-wavelength anisotropy) are useful and broadly successful in their characterisation (Crampin et al., 1984; Sayers, 2013; Sone and Zoback, 2013; Das and Zoback, 2013). Shale layers within a horizontally-bedded sand matrix behave elastically as transversely isotropic (TI) media, i.e. they have similar wave properties in two perpendicular directions, but are significantly different in a third orthogonal direction (Sone and Zoback, 2013). In these same sequences, vertical axes of symmetry are often described as vertically transversely isotropic (VTI) media (da Silva et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016).

The anisotropic behaviour of VTI media is usually quantified by using Thomsen's anisotropic parameters $\varepsilon$, $\delta$, $\gamma$ (Thomsen, 1986), in which $\varepsilon$ denotes the fractional difference between the horizontal and vertical P-wave velocities, $\delta$ describes the variation in P-wave velocity with phase angle for near-vertical propagation, and $\gamma$ denotes the fractional difference between the horizontal and vertical SH-wave velocity (Rüger, 2002). The empirical forms of $\varepsilon$, $\delta$, $\gamma$ are provided by Rüger (2002) as:

$$\gamma = \frac{C_{66} - C_{55}}{2C_{55}},$$

(1)
Here, \( C \) represents the stiffness and its indices denote standard constants for VTI media. In essence, elastic anisotropy in VTI media can be characterised by five independent elastic constants, which can be expressed in two notations: a) in terms of stiffness constants \((C_{11}, C_{13}, C_{33}, C_{55} \& C_{66})\), or b) in terms of vertical velocities \((V_p \text{ and } V_s)\) and Thomsen’s anisotropic parameters \((\epsilon, \delta, \gamma)\) (Tsvankin, 1997a, 1997b). These five independent elastic constants are very difficult to apply to AVA analyses, and most of these latter are developed by assuming a non-VTI medium.

Several researchers have attempted to estimate seismic anisotropy and incorporate it into AVA-based methods using seismic, VSP, well logs and core data (Blangy, 1992, Leaney, 1993; Blangy, 1994, Margesson and Sondergeld, 1999; Besheli et al., 2005, Luo et al., 2005, Wang et al., 2006; Brajanovski et al., 2009; Goodway et al., 2010; Wang, 2011), but seldom for hydrocarbon-rich basins in South Asia. However, in the Indian subcontinent (including Pakistan and bordering countries), important tight oil and gas reservoirs have been found in the hinterland basins that surround the Himalayan and Baluchistan mountain ranges. The Indus basin is currently the main focus of oil and gas exploration and production in Pakistan (Alam et al., 2014; Asim et al., 2015) (Figure 1a). In this basin, as in other hydrocarbon-productive regions of South and Southeast Asia, the successful use of AVA techniques in reservoir characterisation is critically dependent upon the careful estimation of the anisotropic parameters of sedimentary rocks (Zaigham and Mallick, 2000; Chengzao et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2012; Jinhu et al., 2014).

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{C_{11} - C_{33}}{2C_{33}}, \quad (2)
\]

and

\[
\delta = \frac{(C_{13} + C_{55})^2 - (C_{33} - C_{55})^2}{2C_{33}(C_{33} - C_{55})}. \quad (3)
\]
In this work, we use well log data from the Sawan gas field (Figure 1b), middle Indus basin (Pakistan), to focus on the Cretaceous Lower Goru C-sand reservoir, which is interbedded with shales. We use reservoir properties estimated from borehole data as key data inputs to our rock physics models, and to later carry out anisotropic and isotropic AVA analyses. Rock physics models are often used to link seismic data to reservoir properties (Avseth et al., 2005). Seismic-based estimates of reservoir properties can be uncertain, but the inclusion of rock physics models in exploration workflows is, nevertheless, capable of reducing the level of uncertainty by decreasing the number of unknown parameters in AVA analyses. In other words, rock physics modelling represents a type of regularization within the context of seismic inversion (Ali and Jakobsen, 2011a, 2011b).

The aim of this work is to analyse the effects of anisotropy generated by interbedded shales within the reservoir sands, and its implications to AVA-based reservoir studies. In parallel, we investigate how accurate are seismic AVA methods for both exact (Zoeppritz, 1919; Daley and Horn, 1977) and approximate (Rüger, 1998; 2002) solutions for PP-reflection coefficients within the context of isotropic and anisotropic cases.

2. Geological setting

The Sawan gas field lies in the middle Indus basin, in the eastern border of Pakistan (Zaigham and Mallick, 2000). The study area is bounded by the Sargodha High to the north, and by the Jacobabad and Mari-Kandkot Highs to the south (Figure 1a). The Indian Shield bounds the eastern side of the study area, whereas the Kirthar and Suleiman fold-and-thrust belts mark its western boundary (Kadri, 1995; Afzal et al., 2009). Regional geological data indicate that the structural evolution of the Sawan gas field was closely controlled by three post-rift tectonic events: a) Late Cretaceous uplift and erosion, b) NW–trending thick-skinned wrench faulting and c) Late Tertiary to present-day tectonic uplift of the Jacobabad and Khairpur Highs (Ahmad et
al., 2004; Afzal et al., 2009; Azeem et al., 2016). These latter structural highs played an important role in the formation of structural and stratigraphic traps, not only in the Sawan area, but also in multiple oil and gas fields in the region (Ahmad et al., 2004; Fink et al., 2004; Berger et al., 2009).

Cretaceous black shales in the Sembar Formation are the proven source rock in the middle and lower Indus basins, which were mainly filled with shale and minor amounts of black siltstone, sandstone and nodular argillaceous limestone (Quadri and Shuaib, 1986; Kadri, 1995). The thickness of the Sembar Formation ranges from 0 m to more than 260 m (Iqbal and Shah, 1980). The Sembar Formation is deeply buried and matures thermally towards the western edge of the Indus basin. It becomes shallower and less mature towards its eastern edge (Wandrey et al., 2004). In the study area, the tectonic uplift recorded by the Khairpur High controlled the depositional patterns of the main reservoir unit (Goru Formation); reservoir sands in proximal depositional systems are positioned in structurally deep areas, whereas non-reservoir distal shales are positioned up-dip to form major structural traps (Azeem et al., 2016, Berger et al., 2009). The depositional environment of the Lower Goru Member, which comprises the main reservoir interval above the Sembar Formation, was marine and reflects deposition at the western (passive) margin of the Indian plate.

The Sembar Formation is, therefore, overlain by the Lower Goru Member of the Goru Formation, i.e. the proven reservoir interval in the Sawan gas field (Fig. 2). The upper part of the Lower Goru Member is chiefly composed of shales, whereas its lower part comprises medium to coarse-grained sandstones with thin layers of shale and limestone. The lower portion of the reservoir interval can be subdivided into distinct sand intervals: A, B, C and D (Krois et al., 1998) (Figure 2). The deposition of these sand intervals took place in deltaic, shallow-marine environments during sea-level lowstands, when medium to coarse-grained sediment was deposited on top of the distal (shale and siltstone) strata of previous highstand systems tracts (HSTs) (Berger et al., 2009, Azeem et al., 2016).
Petrographically, intervals A and B can be classified as quartz-rich arenites (Berger et al., 2009). The C interval includes significant amounts of partially altered volcanic rock fragments and pore lining iron chlorite cement. Thus, the sands of interval C can be classified as sublithic to lithic arenites (McPhee and Enzendorfer, 2004; Berger et al., 2009; Azeem et al., 2016). Sands in the B and C intervals comprise the main gas reservoirs in the study area (Munir et al., 2011), showing high porosity (i.e. around 16 %) and permeability at depths between 3000–3500 m (Azeem et al., 2016). These two reservoir intervals of the Lower Goru Member are draped by transgressive shales and siltstones of the Upper Goru Member, which acts as a regional seal in the middle and lower Indus basins (Berger et al., 2009).

3. Dataset and methods

Data from three exploration wells (Sawan-01, Sawan-3B and Sawan-06) are used in this study to analyse the acoustic effects of the lithologic anisotropy created by interbedded shales in the C-sand reservoir. The rationale behind selecting the Sawan gas field as a case study results from the fact that it is located in the tectonically stable part of the Thar Platform, in the middle Indus basin. It also shows marked alternations of sands and shales, being a typical example of a VTI medium.

The workflow adopted in this work is shown in Figure 3. In a first instance, we analysed sand-shale distribution patterns in the main reservoir intervals using the methodology proposed by Thomas and Stieber (1975). In a second stage, rock physics modelling was undertaken using the Backus (1962) approach for dry composite porous media (sand-shale), used together with the relationships of Brown and Korringa (1975) and Wood (1955). These latter relationships allowed us to incorporate fluid effects on the mechanical properties of strata. The aim of the second stage of our analysis was to obtain (saturated) effective elastic properties for the Lower Goru reservoir.
intervals (see also Jakobsen et al., 2003a, 2003b; Ali and Jakobsen, 2011a, 2011b; Ali et al., 2016).

In a third stage exact solutions of P-wave reflection coefficients, derived from Zoeppritz (1919) solutions for isotropic media and Daley and Horn’s (1977) solutions for VTI media, were used to generate angle dependent reflection coefficients and seismic AVA data from the top of the reservoir, for both isotropic and anisotropic cases. In parallel, the Rüger’s approximation (Rüger, 1998, 2002) was used for angle dependent reflection coefficients and seismic AVA data from the top of the reservoir, for both isotropic and anisotropic cases, over the Sawan reservoir intervals. Finally, a Bayesian inversion scheme was utilised to investigate the implications of isotropic and anisotropic AVA solutions on the estimation of porosity throughout the reservoir.

3.1 Sand-Shale distribution analysis

To understand shale distribution within reservoir sands is an integral part of forward modelling using rock physics templates (Ali et al., 2016). Prior to developing the appropriate rock physics model for AVA modelling, sand-shale distribution analyses are necessary to identify the type of shale(s) distributed within reservoir sands (Ali et al., 2016). This step improves confidence in the interpretation of sand-shale reservoirs because it helps interpreters to decide which geophysical approach is appropriate for a particular reservoir (Kurniawan, 2005). The distribution of shale within reservoir sands has a pronounced effect on reservoir production performance due to decreasing porosity values and variable saturations that derive from the presence of shales (Sames and Adrea, 2001; Ali et al., 2016). Hence, sand-shale distribution analyses require robust stratigraphic correlations based on gamma-ray log data (Figure 4). Gamma-ray curves are particularly helpful in the identification of shales within reservoir sand, and for detailed petrophysical analyses and correlations. The petrophysical interpretation of wells
(Sawan-01, Sawan-3B and Sawan-06) was carried out to determine key reservoir properties such as P-wave velocity ($V_p$), S-wave velocity ($V_s$), porosity ($\phi$), density ($\rho$), volume of shale ($V_{sh}$) and water saturation ($S_w$) for the C-sand of the Lower Goru Member (Figures 5-7). The details of estimated reservoir parameters are given in Tables 1-3.

The parameters commonly required in sand-shale distribution analyses are volume of shale, porosity and water saturation, with the volume of shale being a critical parameter that controls the two latter (Saxena et al., 2006). Once these parameters are estimated, the Thomas-Stieber (1975) method in Figure 8 can be used to estimate shale distribution patterns (Saxena et al., 2006). The Thomas-Stieber (1975) method estimates shale distribution utilizing a cross-plot with volume of shale along the X-axis and total porosity along the Y-axis. The position of data points on the cross-plot allows the identification of the type(s) of shale distribution within sands.

Usually, shales are distributed through four different ways within sands: a) as laminae, b) through structures (faults, joints), c) dispersed in sands, and d) as any combinations of the three latter ways (Clavaud et al., 2005; Sams and Andrea, 2001). The results from sand-shale analyses undertaken for the three studied wells suggest that, in our study area, laminar and dispersed shales are distributed within reservoir sands (Figures 9-11).

3.2. Forward Modelling

The nonlinear forward problem is defined as:

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{m}).$$

In Equation (4), $\mathbf{d}$ is a vector of observable quantities (angle-dependent reflection coefficients or seismic AVA data) and $\mathbf{m}$ is a vector of model parameters required to be estimated over the
model space \( \mathbf{M} \) i.e. \( \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \). The operator \( G \) is a forward modelling operator used for generating synthetic angle-dependent reflection coefficients, or seismic AVA data, via rock physics and seismic modelling. In the subsequent section we will discuss the rock physics modelling for a layered medium.

### 3.2.1 Rock Physics modelling

Rock physics models are important to correlate variations in reservoir properties (lithology, porosity, permeability, pore fluid, etc.) with changes in the velocities \( (V_p, V_s) \) and density \( (\rho) \) observed on well data (Uden et al., 2004). Rock physics models act as a bridge between geological properties (reservoir parameters) and geophysical data by upscaling the reservoir variables related to lithology, shale content and fluid parameters (Bachrach, 2006; Avseth et al., 2005). Rock physics also provide a realistic and systematic basis for seismic-attribute generation and interpretation (Avseth et al., 2005). In clastic reservoirs, shales are often found to behave elastically as transversely isotropic media with a vertical axis of symmetry (Jakobsen and Johansen, 1999, 2000). In forward models, rock physics models are used to calculate effective elastic properties from petrophysical properties estimated from wireline-log data.

Results of shale distribution analyses within the Sawan gas field reservoir sands show that laminar and dispersed shale types are distributed in the host medium. Such a medium can be modelled using anisotropic rock physics (Ali et al., 2016; Ali et al., 2015; Jakobsen et al., 2003; Sayers and Rickett 1997; Sayers, 1998; Backus, 1962). In this study, we follow the approach given by Backus (1962), which was typically designed for a layered medium (see Appendix-A). The fluid effects are incorporated via the Gassmann model for isotropic cases and via the Brown and Korringa (1975) model for anisotropic cases, in conjunction with the Wood (1955) model for homogenous saturations (Appendix-B).
3.2.2 Seismic amplitude variation with angle (AVA) modelling

Seismic AVA modelling is considered to be the most effective technique for reservoir characterisation. In practice, AVA studies are the method most widely used for gas detection, lithology identification and fluid parameter analyses (Feng and Bancroft, 2006). Seismic response of variations in effective elastic properties obtained through rock physics tools for sand-shale layers can be modelled using synthetic seismic AVA data. From the effective elastic properties as calculated above, angle-dependent reflection coefficients and seismic AVA data can be generated using the exact Zoeppritz (1919) formulation for isotropic media, and the Daley and Horn’s (1977) exact methods for anisotropic (VTI) media. We also tested the Rüger (2002) approximation for the generation of reflection coefficients for both isotropic and VTI media. The rationale behind only selecting Rüger’s approximation for isotropic and VTI media is that the Rüger’s technique is only linearised in terms of small contrasts in medium parameters, without having any additional assumption on Poisson’s or $V_p/V_s$ ratios (Rüger, 2002).

3.2.2.1 P-wave reflection coefficient for isotropic media

The exact Zoeppritz (1919) empirical relation (see Equation 5) allows us to calculate the PP-wave reflection coefficients ($R_{pp}$) of a rock as a function of the incidence angle from the top of an isotropic medium, which can be represented as a system of linear equations given as (Pujol, 2003):

\[ AX = B, \]  

(5)

Where:
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
-S\sin \alpha & \cos f & \sin \alpha' & \cos f' \\
-Cose & \sin f & \cos \alpha' & -\sin f' \\
\sin 2e & -\frac{\alpha}{B} \cos 2f & \frac{\rho' \alpha^2}{\rho \alpha} \sin 2e' & \frac{\rho' \alpha^2}{\rho \alpha} \cos 2f' \\
-Cos 2f & -\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sin 2f & \frac{\rho' \alpha^2}{\rho \alpha} \cos 2f' & -\frac{\rho' \beta^2}{\rho \alpha} \sin 2f'
\end{bmatrix}, 
\tag{6}
\]

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix}
R_{pp} \\
T_{pp} \\
R_{ps} \\
T_{ps}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{7}
\]

\[
and \quad B = \begin{bmatrix}
S\sin \alpha \\
Cose \\
\sin 2e \\
\cos 2f
\end{bmatrix}.
\tag{8}
\]

The unknown vector \(X\) can be obtained by:

\[
X = A^{-1} B.
\tag{9}
\]

In these equations, \(\alpha, \beta, \rho, \epsilon, \) and \(f\) are the P-wave velocity, S-wave velocity, density, P-wave transmission angle, and SV–wave transmission angle of the upper half space. Conversely, \(\alpha', \beta', \rho', \epsilon'\) and \(f'\) are the P-wave velocity, S-wave velocity, density and P-wave reflection angle, and SV–wave reflection angle of the lower half space.

### 3.2.2.2 Formulation of PP-wave reflection coefficients for VTI media

The exact algebraic solution for reflection/transmission coefficients \((R,T)\) of plane incident P-waves in a VTI medium, developed by Daley and Horn (1977), is used in this work. The concise form of the exact solution of P-wave reflection coefficients for a VTI medium \(R_{PP}^{VTI}\), in matrix form, is given below (Graebner, 1992; Rüger, 2002):

\[
MR = b,
\tag{10}
\]
where \( \mathbf{b} = [−m_{11}, −m_{21}, m_{31}, m_{41}]^T \), \( \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{V_{TT}} & R_{V_{PS}} \\ R_{P_{TI}} & T_{V_{TI}} \\ T_{P_{TI}} & T_{P_{SI}} \end{bmatrix} \).

By using Cramer’s rule, the solution for unknown vector \( \mathbf{R} \) can be expressed in its analytic form as:

\[
\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{\text{det} \mathbf{M}} \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & M_{14} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & M_{24} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} & M_{34} \\ M_{41} & M_{42} & M_{43} & M_{44} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{b}. \]

The values of matrices \( \mathbf{M} \) and \( \mathbf{b} \) are given by Rüger (2002), Graebner (1992) and Daley and Horn (1977).

### 3.2.2.3 Approximations for P-wave reflection coefficients

We also investigate the accuracy of Rüger’s (2002) approximation for both isotropic and VTI media with the exact solutions given by Zeoppritz’s (1919) and Daley and Horn’s (1977). Rüger’s approximation for isotropic media is given below (Rüger, 2002):

\[
R_{PP}^{iso}(i) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta Z}{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta V_{P0}}{V_{P0}} - \frac{2 \Delta G}{\mathcal{G}} \right) \sin^2 i + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta V_{P0}}{V_{P0}} \right) \sin i \tan^2 i. \]
Where $Z$ is the P-wave impedance, $G$ is the shear wave modulus, $V_{P0}$ is the vertical P-wave velocity, and $V_{S0}$ is the vertical shear-wave velocity. The character delta ($\Delta$) stands for contrasts across an interface ($\Delta Z = Z_2 - Z_1$), and the bar over a symbol represents its average ($\bar{Z} = \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{2}$).

Subscript 1 corresponds to the upper layer and subscript 2 denotes the lower layer. Rüger’s approximation for the generation of reflection coefficients as a function of incidence angle ($i$), in the case of VTI media (Rüger, 2002), is written as:

$$R^{VTI}_{PP}(i) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta Z}{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\Delta V_{P0}}{V_{P0}} - \left( \frac{2V_{S0}}{V_{P0}} \right)^2 \frac{\Delta G}{G} + \Delta \delta \right] \sin^2 i + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta V_{P0}}{V_{P0}} + \Delta \epsilon \right) \sin^2 i \tan^2 i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The additional terms $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ are Thomsen’s (1985, 1996) anisotropy parameters for VTI media.

### 3.3 Inverse modelling

In Bayesian settings, the solution of the inverse problem is given by the posterior probability distribution $q(m \mid d)$ applied over the model space $M$. In essence, $q(m \mid d)$ carries all the information about the model originating from the likelihood $L(m)$ and a priori probability density function $p(m)$. Bayes’ theorem allows to relate $q(m \mid d)$ with $L(m)$ and $p(m)$ given as (Aster et al., 2005):

$$q(m \mid d) \propto L(m)p(m).$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Here $\propto$ is the sign of proportionality. The solution of the posterior distribution can be written in a compact form as (Aster et al., 2005; Tarantola, 2005):
where \( N \) is the normalization constant. The functional form of the objective function \( J(m) \), required to be minimised in the case of Gaussian statistics, and an uninformative prior distribution, is given by Aster et al., (2005) as:

\[
J(m) = \min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(G(m)_i - d_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}.
\]  

(18)

Here, \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the measured seismic data. The rationale behind assuming an uninformative prior distribution is that we do not intend to constrain our inversion scheme by incorporating a priori information (obtained mostly from log/core/laboratory data) about the model parameters. There are different methods available for the evaluation of \( q(m|d) \), most of which are described in Ali et al. (2011a; 2011b and 2015).

### 4. Numerical results and discussion

In this work, we analyse the effects created by sand-shale anisotropy on AVA response with the help of rock physics modelling. In parallel, we present a comparison of exact and approximate AVA solutions for isotropic and anisotropic scenarios. Before applying rock physics modelling to layered media, it is very important to identify the type of shale distribution within reservoir sands, e.g. laminar, structural, dispersed, and so on. For this same purpose, we followed the approach presented in Section 3.1 for the C-sand reservoir unit (Lower Goru Member) drilled by
wells Sawan-01, Sawan-3B and Sawan-06. Reservoir properties are estimated through petrophysical analyses of well log data acquired in the Sawan field and results are presented in Figures 5-7 and in Tables 1-2.

The analysis of shale distribution suggests that the C-sand comprises laminated and dispersed shale types (Figures 8-11). Thus, the Lower Goru C-sand may be a potential candidate to be characterised as a VTI medium, rather than isotropic. Laminar shales in the C-sand reservoir comprise thin layers of allogenic clays and do not control effective porosity, water saturation, or the horizontal permeability of rock. However, they significantly change vertical permeability (Kurniawan, 2005). Each lamina differs in thickness, in a way that the amounts of sand, silt and clay in the layer are repeated as depositional sequences (or cycles) under dual flow regimes that denote contrasts in energy level.

The saturated effective elastic properties for isotropic and VTI media are obtained using the methodology discussed in section 3.2. The input to our rock physics modelling, in the form of elastic and reservoir properties of the Lower Goru C-sand, intra-reservoir shale layers and overburden strata, is extracted from the petrophysical analyses summarised in Table 3. The elastic properties of solid mineral (quartz) and fluid (water and gas), required to generate AVA data, are given in Table 4.

For the generation of isotropic angle-dependent reflection coefficient curves are used the exact solution of Zeoppritz (1919) for isotropic media, and Daley and Horn’s (1977) solution for VTI media. Rüger’s (2002) approximation is also used for both isotropic and VTI media to investigate the accuracy of exact and approximate solution of P-wave reflection coefficients as a function of incidence angle.

The angle dependent reflection coefficient (RC) curves are key to explain the main results in this work. We demonstrate in Figs. 12-14 that the intercept (normal incidence i.e. zero offset, P-wave reflectivity) and slope of the curve (gradient) indicate how the amplitude of RC changes with angle/offset. The gradient of each RC curve is almost the same, but there are small
differences in the magnitude of angle-dependent PP-reflection coefficients when comparing the isotropic and anisotropic cases (Figures 12-14). If we examine variations in RC based upon isotropy and anisotropy it is obvious that, in the Sawan-01 well, only small differences can be observed between isotropic and anisotropic RC curves (Figure 12). This clearly demonstrates that strata drilled in Sawan-01 have a weak anisotropy ($\varepsilon = 0.0214$, $\delta = 0.0306$, $\gamma = 0.0186$). In Sawan-3B, there are insignificant variations between isotropic and anisotropic RC curves (Figure 13). Finally, for Sawan-06 there is a significant variation in RC curves when comparing the isotropic and anisotropic cases (Figure 14). More importantly, if we relate these variations in RC curves with varying shale content, we can conclude that the wells with comparatively thick shale layers show substantial variations between their isotropic and anisotropic RC curves. The best example is, naturally, the RC response documented in well Sawan-06 (Figure 14; see also thick shale content marked in yellow in Figure 4).

We observe that AVA data is moderately sensitive to the anisotropy of the medium. In essence, our results confirm that little or no effects created by anisotropy upon reflection curves are diagnostic of very weakly anisotropic media. Significantly, as shales are strongly anisotropic and heterogeneous (Kumar et al., 2012), exploration well(s) that encountered thick shale layers in the study area show clear variations between the behaviour of isotropic and anisotropic angle dependent PP-reflection coefficients (Figure 14). Based on data from the three interpreted wells (Sawan-01, Sawan-3B and Sawan-06), shale anisotropy increases proportionally to shale content, and the effect of this same shale anisotropy upon reflection curves becomes more pronounced. As such, it is advisable to predict anisotropy in a medium during AVA studies, especially when thick allogenic shale layers are present in reservoir successions.

The investigation of Rüger (2002) approximation’s accuracy for both isotropic and VTI media reveal that the predicted magnitude of reflection coefficients is significantly different in all the three studied wells, at large offsets. Also, the gradient predicted by the Rüger (2002) approximation is high when compared to the exact solutions.
For seismic AVA response in isotropic and VTI media, angle-dependent reflection coefficients are convolved with the source (Ricker) wavelet (Figures 15-17). It can be observed from our approach that the amplitude of synthetic AVA gathers show a decreasing trend with increasing angle of incidence. The difference between exact and approximate solutions is more pronounced in the magnitude of predicted seismic AVA amplitude values (Figures 15-17).

The AVA response of VTI media is sensitive to contrasts in Thomsen’s anisotropic parameters, ε and δ, across the interface (Blangy 1994; Margesson and Sondergeld, 1999). As also identified by Daley and Horn (1977), generally P-P reflections indicate that the smaller the contrast in isotropic properties (\(V_P, V_s, \rho\)), and the larger the contrast in δ (variation in P-wave velocity with phase angle for near vertical propagation) across a reflection interface, the greater are the effects of anisotropy on AVA signatures. Contrasts in δ are most important under small-to-medium angles of incidence, as previously reported in Banik (1987), whereas contrasts in ε (fractional difference between the horizontal and vertical P-wave velocities) can have a strong influence on amplitudes for the larger angles of incidence (21°) commonly used in exploration seismic data. The increasing trend in gradients is more pronounced at far offsets (21° to 40°), revealing an increasing sensitivity in terms of anisotropy and isotropy with increasing offset angles.

The AVA modelling also proves a strong relationship between porosity and reflection coefficient for all offset ranges. Therefore, in order to check the accuracy of exact and approximate solutions for P-wave reflection coefficients in AVA inversion, we tried to recover true reservoir porosity distribution (with 20% uncertainty) under the Bayesian settings discussed in Section 6. For this purpose, a correlated Gaussian field was generated representing the true porosity (Buland and Omre, 2003) distribution throughout the reservoir within 100×100 grid blocks (Figure 18). Later, a AVA modelling approach (exact/approximate VTI or exact/approximate isotropic) was performed using a maximum-a-posteriori (MAP) solution. The
results suggest that the exact VTI solution recovers the porosity trends with much more accuracy when compared to all other solutions, under significant noise conditions (Figure 18).

5. Conclusions

In industry, seismic AVA/AVO techniques are being increasingly used for amplitude-based reservoir characterisation, but frequently assume that subsurface media are isotropic. Seismic anisotropy is known to have a first order effect on AVA modelling but this effect is often ignored during AVA studies, providing significant errors when describing reservoir intervals. In this work we analyse the effects of anisotropy on AVA modelling and inversion for a sand-shale reservoir in the Sawan gas field, Pakistan. The main conclusions of this work are as follows:

a) AVA modelling shows that anisotropy effects are more pronounced in stratigraphic intervals where interbedded shales are relatively thick within reservoir sand (Sawan-06 well). The exact/approximate isotropic or VTI solutions show smaller variations in the presence of thin interbedded shale layers within reservoir sands.

b) The exact solution for VTI media provided by Daley and Horn’s (1977) is one with the highest potential for performing AVA inversion in sand-shale media with weak to strong anisotropy. We have demonstrated this fact by completing a numerical synthetic experiment for recovering porosity distributions through the Lower Goru reservoir.

c) The choice of approximate solution(s) for AVA modelling is crucial in any workflow since, in most cases, there is a significant difference in the predictions of magnitude of reflection coefficients, and gradient of the reflection curves, resulting from distinct approximate solutions. As suggested by our own AVA modelling results, the Rüger’s approximation is significantly different to other techniques when considering the magnitude and gradient of reflection curves. This fact can create additional uncertainty to the use of AVA inversion techniques in the characterisation of shale-sand reservoirs.
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Figure 1a: Regional map showing the regional structural setting of Pakistan and the location of multiple sedimentary basins in this country. The location of Sawan gas field is highlighted by the black circle.
Figure-1b: Local map showing the boundaries of the Sawan gas field and the relative location of exploration wells in the study area.
Figure 2: Generalised stratigraphy of the Sawan gas field highlighting the presence of multiple lithological units, including the Lower Goru C-sand interval and shaley intervals within and adjacent to these reservoir sands. This C-sand interval comprises the principal gas-producing reservoir of the Sawan field (Azeem et al., 2015).
Figure 3: Work Flow diagram showing the methodology adopted to compare isotropic and anisotropic AVA modelling.
Figure 4 Well-log correlations for the Lower Goru C-sand and shale intervals in the three exploration wells used in AVA modelling.
Figure 5: Results of petrophysical analyses for the estimation of reservoir properties of sand-shale intervals in the Sawan-01 well.
Figure 6: Results of petrophysical analyses for the estimation of reservoir properties of sand-shale intervals in the Sawan-3B well.
Figure 7. Results of petrophysical analyses for the estimation of reservoir properties of sand-shale intervals in the Sawan-06 well.
Figure 8: Shale distribution model proposed by Thomas and Strieber (1975) (figure modified from Tyagi et al., 2009). In this diagram, $V_{shale}$ is the volume of shale, $\phi_{total}$ is the total porosity, $\phi_{max}$ is the maximum porosity, and $\phi_{sh}$ is the porosity in shales.
Figure 9: Cross-plots between volume of shale (X-axis) and total porosity (Y-axis). Based upon the position of data points, shale distribution was characterised within the C-sand reservoir in the Sawan-01 well.
Figure 10: Cross-plots between volume of shale (X-axis) and total porosity (Y-axis). Based upon the position of data points, shale distribution was characterised within the C-sand reservoir in the Sawan-3B well.
Figure 11: Cross-plots between volume of shale (X-axis) and total porosity (Y-axis). Based upon the position of data points, shale distribution was characterised within the C-sand reservoir in the Sawan-06 well.
Figure 12: Angle-dependent reflection coefficient data generated through the exact and approximate solutions of PP-wave for isotropic and anisotropic (VTI) media at the top of C-sand reservoir (Sawan-01 well).
Figure 13: Angle-dependent reflection coefficient data generated through the exact and approximate solutions of PP-wave for isotropic and anisotropic (VTI) media at the top of C-sand reservoir (Sawan-3B well).
Figure 14: Angle-dependent reflection coefficient data generated through the exact and approximate solutions of PP-wave for isotropic and anisotropic (VTI) media at the top of C-sand reservoir (Sawan-06 well).
Figure 15: Seismic AVA response for the Lower Goru C-sand, Sawan-01 well.
Figure 16: Seismic AVA response for the Lower Goru C-sand, Sawan-3B well.
Figure 17: Seismic AVA response for the Lower Goru C-sand, Sawan-06 well.
Figure 18: Maps highlighting the inversion with 20% uncertainty for true porosity distribution (top) generated via a correlated Gaussian field distribution throughout the reservoir interval at 100×100 grid blocks. These porosity plots were compiled via maximum a posteriori solution under Bayesian settings utilising the rock physical properties of C-sand reservoir interval (3268-3432 m) with exact and approximate solutions of PP-reflection coefficients for the isotropic and anisotropic (VTI) cases. Inversion results show that Daley & Horn’s (1977) exact solution for VTI (left in 2nd row) returns porosity trends that are accurately aligned with the true porosity distribution of the reservoir.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reservoir Properties</th>
<th>Sawan-01</th>
<th>Sawan-3B</th>
<th>Sawan-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth Range (m)</td>
<td>3268-3432</td>
<td>3398-3592</td>
<td>3227-3312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Thickness (m)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Reservoir Thickness (m)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pay Thickness (m)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Porosity (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Volume of Shale (%)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Water Saturation (%)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Reservoir properties of the Sawan wells used in this study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut-off</th>
<th>GR≤75 API</th>
<th>0.1≤NPHI≤0.45</th>
<th>Sw≤50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rock Thickness (m)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Reservoir Thickness</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pay Thickness (m)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Details of cut-off values used in the calculation of reservoir properties in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>$V_p(\alpha)$</th>
<th>$V_s(\beta)$</th>
<th>Density($\rho$)</th>
<th>Porosity($\phi$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sawan-01</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>4165</td>
<td>4112</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shale</td>
<td>2668</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overburden</td>
<td>2874.5</td>
<td>2367.5</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawan-3B</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>4251</td>
<td>2562</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shale</td>
<td>4487</td>
<td>2628</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overburden</td>
<td>4258</td>
<td>2547</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawan-06</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>4148.628</td>
<td>2579.0</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shale</td>
<td>4462.847</td>
<td>1741.0</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.085%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overburden</td>
<td>4322.960</td>
<td>2520.0</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Mechanical properties of reservoir sand, intra-reservoir shale layers and overburden used in the calculation of reflection coefficients.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Shear modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Bulk modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid Mineral (Quartz)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid (water/brine)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Values of elastic moduli and densities for solid mineral and fluid used in our rock physics modelling.
Appendix-A

Backus Averaging Approach

The effective stiffness is anisotropic for a stratified medium composed of transversely isotropic layers in the limit of long-wavelength, and represented by the Backus (1962) matrix below (Mavko et al., 2009):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & B & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
B & A & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
F & F & C & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & D & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M
\end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \frac{1}{2} (A - B), \quad (A-I)
\]

where \(A, B, C, D\) and \(F\) are the five independent elastic constants [i.e., \(C_{11}, C_{13}, C_{33}, C_{55}\&C_{66}\)]. In terms of P- and S-wave velocities \(V_p\) and \(V_s\) and densities \(\rho\), the five independent elastic constants can be written as:

\[
A = 4 \rho V_s^2 \left[1 - \frac{V_s^2}{V_p^2}\right] + \left[1 - 2 \frac{V_s^2}{V_p^2}\right]^2 \left(\rho V_p^2\right)^{-1}, \quad (A-II)
\]

\[
B = 2 \rho V_s^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{2V_s^2}{V_p^2}\right)\right] + \left[1 - 2 \frac{V_s^2}{V_p^2}\right]^2 \left(\rho V_p^2\right)^{-1}, \quad (A-III)
\]

\[
C = \left(\rho V_p^2\right)^{-1}, \quad (A-IV)
\]

\[
D = \left(\rho V_s^2\right)^{-1}, \quad (A-V)
\]

\[
F = \left[1 - 2 \frac{V_s^2}{V_p^2}\right]^2 \left(\rho V_p^2\right)^{-1}, \quad (A-VI)
\]
The brackets \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) indicate averages of the enclosed properties weighted by their volumetric proportions. Once the five independent constants are obtained, the Thomsen anisotropy parameters for VTI can be obtained using the relationships given by Thomsen (1986, 1995):

\[
\gamma = \frac{M - D}{2D}, \quad (A-VII)
\]

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{A - C}{2C}, \quad (A-VIII)
\]

\[
\delta = \frac{(F + D)^2 - (C - D)^2}{2C(C - D)}, \quad (A-IX)
\]

In order to calculate the effect of fluid saturation on the effective properties of a sand-shale layered medium, we have used the Gassmann (1951) equation for isotropic media, and the relationships of Brown and Korringa (1975) for anisotropic (VTI) media (Ali et al., 2011; Shahraini et al., 2011).
1. Gassmann (1951) fluid substitution model for isotropic media

As the dry composite sand-shale medium was assumed as isotropic in this work, we used the low frequency Gassmann (1951) model (Equation B-I) applicable to well-connected porous media under isobaric conditions. We used this latter model in order to incorporate fluid effects into the effective mechanical properties calculated in our own models. Equation (B-I) defines a relationship between saturated bulk modulus, bulk modulus of the skeleton of the rock, bulk modulus of mineral comprising rock matrix, fluid bulk modulus and porosity. A typical form of the Gassmann (1951) equation is as follows:

\[
K_{\text{sat}} = K_{\text{dry}} + \left\{ \frac{\left(1 - \frac{K_{\text{dry}}}{K_{\text{grian}}\phi}ight)}{\frac{K_{\text{fluid}}}{K_{\text{grian}}} + \frac{1}{K_{\text{grian}}} - \frac{K_{\text{dry}}}{K_{\text{grian}}} - \phi} \right\}. \quad (\text{B-I})
\]

Here ‘\(K_{\text{sat}}\)’ is the saturated rock bulk modulus, ‘\(K_{\text{dry}}\)’ is the frame or dry bulk modulus, ‘\(K_{\text{grian}}\)’ is the grain bulk modulus, ‘\(K_{\text{fluid}}\)’ corresponds to the fluid bulk moduli, and ‘\(\phi\)’ is the porosity.

2. Brown and Korringa (1975) relations for fluid effects of an anisotropic medium

In order to calculate the effect of fluid saturation on the effective properties of a sand-shale interval, assuming it as VTI medium, we have used the anisotropic relations of Brown and Korringa (1975), which can be written in the symbolic or matrix notation as (Ali et al., 2011):
\[ S^* = S_d^* + \frac{(S_d^* - S_m): (I_2 \otimes I_2): (S_d^* - S_m)}{\phi^0 (I_2 : S_m : I_2 - \frac{1}{k_f}) - I_2 : (S_d^* - S_m) : I_2}. \]  

Here, \( \otimes \) denotes the dyadic product, \( S_m \) is the compliance tensor of the solid mineral component (properties of mineral quartz were used in the case of sand-shale model), \( S_d^* \) is the effective compliance tensor for the dry sand-shale medium, and \( S^* \) is the effective compliance tensor for the saturated sand-shale medium. In Equation (B-II), \( \phi^0 \) is the total porosity and \( I_2 \) is the (symmetric) identity matrix for second-rank tensors.

In the case of a composite porous medium that is partially saturated with oil, gas and water, \( K_f \) may be regarded as the bulk modulus of an effective fluid given by Wood - also known as the Reuss average (Mavko et al., 2009):

\[ \frac{1}{K_f} = \frac{S_w}{K_w} + \frac{S_o}{K_o} + \frac{S_g}{K_g}, \]  

(B-III)

\[ S_w + S_o + S_g = 1. \]  

(B-IV)

Here, \( S_w, S_o \) and \( S_g \) represent the saturation for water, oil and gas, and \( K_w, K_o \) and \( K_g \) represent the bulk modulus for water, oil and gas.