Looking after children in the UK – convergence or divergence?

ABSTRACT

Comparative child welfare administrative data from each of the four jurisdictions of the UK (Scotland, England, Northern Ireland and Wales) was analysed over a ten-year period to examine rates and patterns of public care. Scotland followed by Wales has the highest rates of children in out-of-home care followed by England and NI with similar lower proportions. Despite strong links between deprivation and higher chances of becoming looked after this national variation appears more a reflection of differing legal and operational practice than higher levels of need for public care. Notwithstanding differing devolution settlements, a convergence in the direction of policy across the UK towards early intervention, extensive use of kinship care and adoption as an exit route from public care is apparent. This convergence is most apparent in the increased entry of very young children to public care in Scotland, NI and Wales. The lack of any systematic collection of data by governments on the social and economic conditions of children reflects a missed opportunity to examine separately their influence on rates of children in public care.
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INTRODUCTION

Children in public care and the capacity of public institutions to safeguard and promote their security, stability and opportunity remain a central focus for global and regional policy development. Contemporary Western States provide for the separation of children from their family of origin when this is in the child’s interests through both voluntary and compulsory mechanisms. Children in out-of-home care therefore represent a relatively well-defined population generally captured effectively within child welfare administrative data. Cross-national comparison employing such data can provide insight into the potential impact of policy on rates, demographic characteristics and outcomes for these children, although caution in interpretation and comparison is a necessity to guard against inapt or mistaken conclusions on policy success (Thoburn, 2007).

This paper draws on comparative child welfare administrative data from each of the four jurisdictions of the UK (Scotland, England, Northern Ireland and Wales) over a ten-year period to inform an interpretive enquiry into patterns of public care involvement. It is a companion piece to a comparable analysis of official child protection data (Bunting et al. under review). Identifying the ‘appropriate’ number of children in public care remains troublesome for policy makers. Examining comparative rates of looked after children illuminates the impact of policy and legal contexts as one element in a complex network of socio-economic, institutional and individual influences.

The UK provides an ideal case study to examine the potential impact of diversity in child welfare policy for three main reasons. First, broadly similar social and economic conditions prevail setting a relatively uniform context to policy
development. Second, differing approaches to welfare policy, already present for thirty years, have increased following devolution; with more universalist approaches, at least in Scotland and Wales that prioritise social citizenship over consumerism (Keating, 2012). Third, it represents an opportunity to address limited cross—UK policy learning (Keating et al., 2012) and to contribute towards greater understanding of how child welfare systems produce differential rates and responses to children’s need for public care. Trends are examined in relation to the legislative and policy context pertaining to looked after children in each of the four UK jurisdictions.

Many Western States provide for degrees of multi-level governance (for example federalism in Germany or devolved administrations as in the UK). These locate responsibility for areas of public policy making (Hallett and Hazel 1998) at different levels and are in themselves influenced by supranational institutional organisations including the United Nations (UN) and the European Union. In the UK devolution settlements in 1999 created three separate parliaments/assemblies (Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales) with differential jurisdictional powers but all capable (from 2006 in Wales) of delivering primary and secondary legislation and deriving independent policy agendas in health, social services and education; key strategic areas for child welfare. In these areas, legislative powers for England reside with the UK Parliament and policy development within separate government departments.

National Legislative and Policy Context
In England the Children Act 1989, albeit amended, remains the underpinning legislative foundation of the child welfare system and in Northern Ireland, equivalent legislation is found in the Children (NI) Order 1995. In April 2016 the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014 replaced many of the child welfare provisions previously found in the 1989 Children Act. Within the Children Act 1989 and the Children (NI) Order the term ‘looked after’ refers to children and young people under the age of 18 years who live away from their parents or family and are supervised by a local authority social worker. A ‘looked-after’ child may either be accommodated by the local authority (at parental request, with parental consent or in the absence of parents) or be subject to an order made by family courts in order to protect the child from significant harm. This definition is retained in the 2014 Welsh Act (section 74).

In Scotland, the Children (Scotland) Act 1995 and the Children’s Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011 underpin the child welfare system. England, Wales and Northern Ireland have court-based systems for child welfare and address the needs of children who offend in separate youth justice courts (Bottoms and Dignan, 2004). In Scotland, a unitary jurisdiction integrates child welfare and youth justice decision-making within a system of lay tribunals (Children’s Hearings System); children’s underlying needs and circumstances are considered similar regardless of legal classification. Children’s hearings are intended to encourage a non-adversarial approach to facilitate discussion of child welfare issues between parents, children and panel members - the citizen volunteers who are the decision makers (McGhee, 2011). Courts remain the primary decision making forum when adoption and permanence decisions are required and where there is immediate risk of significant
harm albeit these latter cases are promptly transferred to the children’s hearings for ongoing consideration. Children’s hearings decide if a child is in need of compulsory measures of supervision (CSO) a legal order that is not available in other UK jurisdictions. The legal basis for a CSO provides for a broader range of concerns than child protection and additionally includes the need for guidance, treatment or control. CSOs may permit a child either to remain at home with his/her family (discouraged in recent policy, Scottish Government, 2015) or it may place the child in out-of-home care (McGhee, 2011). Children in out-of-home care and those who remain at home with their families receiving support by virtue of a compulsory supervision order are defined as ‘looked after’ in Scotland.

Policy and law - summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Key legislation</th>
<th>Decision making fora</th>
<th>Looked after children - definitions</th>
<th>Adoption – interim measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>Children Act 1989</td>
<td>Family and Youth Courts</td>
<td>Children in out-of-home care supervised by local authority</td>
<td>Placement orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>Social Services and Well-Being Act 2014</td>
<td>Family and Youth Courts</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Placement orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td>Children (NI) Order 1989</td>
<td>Family and Youth</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Freeing orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay tribunal – children’s hearings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primarily for permanency measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As above and includes children on compulsory supervision orders living at home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanence orders with authority to adopt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scotland</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children (Scotland) Act 1995 / Children’s Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Permanency and kinship care

In all four jurisdictions there is separate adoption legislation and varied public and private law measures to secure children in long-term alternate care, primarily through adoption, foster or kinship care arrangements. Although Scotland has enshrined the concept of permanency within legislation, it remains a core theme of policy and legislative development across the UK (BAAF, 2010, DfE, 2010, Scottish Government, 2011, 2015b). In England and Wales for example, the Children and Young Persons Act 2008 and Care Planning Guidance (DfE, 2010) requires that there should be an agreed permanence plan for all children who are accommodated or in care. Early intervention, another common theme of child welfare policy across the UK (Davidson et al., 2012) is central to policy development for looked after children in all four jurisdictions (Scottish Government, 2015b, DHSSPS, 2007, DfE 2011) albeit in Wales this is couched in a general duty on local authorities to provide or arrange preventive services (Welsh Government, 2015).
Kinship care is prioritised as the preferred placement choice in government policy across the UK (Selwyn and Nandy, 2013) however different terminology and assessment processes are applied in each of the four jurisdictions (Murphy, 2014). In England, the term “family and friends care” is used instead of kinship care and statutory guidance (DfE, 2011) differentiates informal family and friends care, where there is either no involvement from social services or the child is considered a child in need, from more formal arrangements where the child is looked after by the local authority. Although Welsh guidance refers to kinship care it makes the same informal/formal differentiation and in both England and Wales non-relative foster carers and relative/friend foster carers are formally assessed against the same standards. NI and Scotland also use the term kinship carers but assess and approve this group against specific standards for kinship care (DHSSPS 2014, The Looked After Children (Scotland) Regulations 2009, SSI/210). Financial regimes for kinship carers vary depending on whether the child is formally looked after by a local authority and variation in payment of allowances is not uncommon (see for example Wade et al., 2014, Kidner, 2012).

Adoption, including non-consensual adoption is available in all four jurisdictions. In England and Wales this is primarily through placement orders, in Northern Ireland through freeing orders, with or without parental consent. In NI, the Adoption (Northern Ireland) Order 1987 still remains the legal basis for adoption processes, although consultation to update this legislation is on-going. England has witnessed legislative reform to increase the number of children adopted and to speed up the process through the Children and Families Act 2014. This Act amended the Children Act 1989 to give greater priority, to “fostering for adoption” placement in
cases where adoption is being considered for a child (similar arrangements are in place in Wales under section 81 of the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014). This reflects a longstanding priority given to adoption for looked after children in English policy circles (Narey, 2011). Concurrent planning processes (DfE, 2011) increasingly underpin adoption processes. This involves placing children, typically infants and younger children, with carers who are approved as both foster carers and adopters, whilst at the same time providing the birth family, usually those with the most complex and entrenched needs, with intensive, time-limited, rehabilitative support services. If rehabilitation is unsuccessful then the foster carer can go on to adopt the child. In Wales a National Adoption Services has been launched to reduce delay in adoption processes (http://gov.wales/?view=Search+results&lang=en).

Scottish legislation has undergone reform following a lengthy review process that culminated in the Adoption and Children (Scotland) Act 2007. This Act created a new legal order, a Permanence Order (intended to provide security of placement without resort to adoption). Permanence Orders with authority to Adopt (PO(A)) effectively replaced freeing orders; direct adoption petition remains. Both orders are permitted with and without parental consent.

Variation also exists between UK jurisdictions regarding the use of special guardianship orders (SGO) introduced through the Adoption and Children Act 2002. This order provides a legal status for non-parents who wish to care for a child in a long term secure placement and was implemented as an intermediate legal status offering greater security than long-term fostering without the absolute legal severance from the birth family associated with adoption. Although it was anticipated that SGOs would be primarily used where a child had developed a
strong relationship with a foster carer, since implementation the majority of applicants have been family carers (Wade et al., 2014). Available only in England and Wales, once a SGO has been granted the child is no longer defined as looked after in these countries. The Scottish permanence order differs from SGO’s in that they not only allow the restriction of parental responsibility but its removal where carers are granted the authority to adopt and unlike SGOs, a child subject to a permanence order remains looked after unless they are adopted or the order revoked.

*Residential settings*

In England, Wales and Northern Ireland child offenders in secure residential settings are considered and counted in official statistics as looked after by the relevant local authority; in Scotland young people aged 16/17 years who receive offence-related custodial sentences are not counted in looked after children statistics unless they remain on compulsory measures of supervision; children aged 8-15 years referred to a children’s hearing on the offence ground and subsequently placed on supervision are counted as looked after children. In Scotland and Wales diversion of young people who offend from formal systems is a key strategy (Scottish Government 2008a, Jones 2016). Pitts (2015) argues in England a “pragmatic rediscovery of ‘diversion’” (p.37) through revision of a key performance indicator has seen a significant reduction in young people entering the youth justice system. All jurisdictions have developed policy to support care leavers in the transition from care to adulthood including provision to remain in the same (or similar) care placements for longer periods (see for example Scottish Government 2013; Department for Education 2015).
METHOD

The data presented in this paper are derived from published administrative data. Where available, trend data for the years 2009/10-2014 is taken from the most recent publications (2013/14) while earlier data for the years 2005-2008/9 is taken from 2008/09 publications. Where trend data is not available, statistics are sourced from individual annual publications and in once case direct aggregate data from a statistics authority. Likewise, where available, rates per 10,000 children published in official reports are used. Where these were not available they are calculated using the 2005-2014 mid-year population estimates for each of the nations (ONS, 2014). Findings are structured to examine rates of children in out-of-home care, the balance between voluntary and compulsory placements, entries and exit destinations.

Previous work examining the comparability of official child welfare statistics (Munro *et al.* 2011) has shown that, despite the breadth and detail of statistical data collections in each jurisdiction, there are some limits on comparability. There is close alignment between data collections in England and Wales and greater divergence in data items and classifications used in Scotland and to a lesser degree Northern Ireland. Building on the work of Munro *et al.* (2011) and further detailed review of each jurisdiction’s data collection documentation the data presented are either identical or broadly comparable across the four parts of the UK. Any differing legal, statistical or data categorisations that might impact on comparability are
highlighted and considered throughout the paper.

FINDINGS

Comparing rates of looked after children

All UK nations collect data on the number of children looked after (LAC) at the census date. In Scotland, the annual census date coincides with the school year (31 July) whilst for the rest of the UK it is 31 March. Over the past decade Scotland has maintained a substantially and consistently higher rate of looked after children than all other UK nations (see Figure 1). As in Wales, the Scottish rates of looked have been steadily increasing over time, although this began to drop off from 2012. Rates in England and NI also show a slight upward trend over time.

The difference in looked after rates between Scotland and other UK nations can be partially explained by the operation of the Children’s Hearings System which is unique to Scotland and which classifies children living with parents on a compulsory supervision order as looked after. This grouping represents a significant proportion of looked after children, just over a quarter (27%) in 2014 (Scottish Government 2014). In order to make LAC rates more comparable, statistical publications commonly calculate the rate of children looked after in out-of-home care through exclusion of Scottish data relating to children looked after at home. Using this method, although LAC rates for Scotland reduce significantly, they remain substantially higher than other UK nations (Figure 1 – the dotted line represents Scottish rates of children looked after in out-of-home care). However, additional variation in available legal orders and use of different placement options may also contribute to differential rates between nations, as explored below.
Placement type at the census date

All nations collect data on the placement type of looked after children at the census date (see Table 1). While there are considerable variations in the degree of detail provided, six comparable groupings are available:

- Non-Relative Foster Placement
- Relative/friend foster care/kinship care placement
- Adoption
- Placement with parents
- Residential care (regulated children’s homes)
- Other placement type - includes other residential settings, secure units, hostels, community placements, residential schools, non-regulated homes/hostels etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Foster Placement/Kinship care</th>
<th>Adoption</th>
<th>Placed with parents</th>
<th>Residential care (regulated children’s homes)</th>
<th>Other placement *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Non-Relative Foster Care</td>
<td>Relative/friend foster care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Placement type at census date (2005-2014) (percentages)
Adoption is used in only a small minority of cases across the UK but there are national differences. This placement option accounts for 0-1% of placements at the
census date in Scotland and NI, compared to 5-6% in England and Wales. The majority of placements in England and Wales and NI are in foster care, although 3 in 10 of these involve kinship placements in NI compared to 11-15% in England and Wales. Given the different thresholds and mechanisms for assessing and approving non-relative foster care/kinship care across nations, arguably this is not a comparison of like with like. One way to take account of varying operational practice on overall looked after rates is to exclude both those placed in kinship/relative foster care and those looked after at home, focusing instead on children for whom the local authority provide accommodation. As Figure 2 demonstrates, this reduces the rate of LAC at the census date across all countries, it has a much more substantial impact on Scottish statistics giving an ‘accommodated’ rate which is similar to that of Wales (Figure 2). It also shows that NI has a much lower ‘accommodated’ rate, almost half that of either Wales or Scotland

Insert Figure 2 – Rates of looked after children per 10,000 accommodated by local authorities 2015-2014

Voluntary and compulsory measures

Each UK jurisdiction collects data on the legal status of looked after children at the census date, although the range and level of detail provided varies considerably
and several orders are specific to certain jurisdictions e.g. CSOs in Scotland. However, the legislation in each nation provides for children to become accommodated by local authorities on a ‘voluntary basis’ as a form of family support, allowing for comparison of the use of voluntary measures versus compulsory measures across the UK. The data show that both England and Northern Ireland have the same relatively high proportions, approximately 3 in 10 looked after children in ‘voluntary’ placements, although this has decreased in recent years. Whilst Welsh figures for 2005 were higher than any other nation during the ten-year time period, these have decreased ever since and now account for 1 in 5 of placements. Scottish figures have been consistently lower, roughly 1 in 10, although changes in data collection practices mean than reliable data is not available 2009-2012 (see Figure 3). Lower Scottish rates, highlighted above, will also be affected by the wider use of placements with parents and kinship care in this jurisdiction.

Insert Figure 3 – Proportion of looked after children ‘voluntarily’ accommodated across the UK 2005-2014

Admissions to care during the year

All UK nations collect data on the number of admissions to care during the year, which can provide a more current overview of practice. As Figure 4 shows, admissions to public care have remained fairly stable in Wales and NI but have
been steadily increasing in England, particularly since 2008 while Scotland has seen a slight reduction since 2010.

Insert Figure 4 – Admissions to care during the year (2005-2014)

All nations disaggregate admission to care data by age, and despite variation in the age grouping used, two trends are apparent. First, in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland there has been a substantial increase in the proportion of young children under 5 years entering public care (see Figure 5; primarily driven at least in Scotland and Wales by a proportionate increase in children less than one year). NI has seen a proportionate increase in both age groups. Scottish data will include children looked after at home reflecting the use of compulsory supervision as an alternate to registration as a child protection measure. England in contrast has a relatively stable proportion of new entrants under 5 years and an increase in young people aged 16 years or older: from 7% in 2005 to 16% in 2015.

Insert Figure 5 – Percentage of children aged 0-4 years on entry to care across the UK 2005-2014.

The proportion of primary aged children has remained relatively stable in all 4 jurisdictions (see Figure 6).
The second similar trend in all four jurisdictions has been the substantial reduction in the proportion of early adolescent children. Notwithstanding data are not gathered for the exactly similar age ranges across the UK, this broad pattern is evident. In Scotland and NI the proportion of 12-15 years reduced from 38% to 29% and 36% to 22% respectively. In England and Wales similar reductions can be seen for the 10-15 year age group (see Figure 7).

Data on destinations following discharges from care during the year is collected across all four UK jurisdictions. While there are variations in the categorisations used, five comparable groupings are available:

- Adoption
- Returned home to live with parents, relatives or friends or someone with parental responsibility (including residence orders)
- Special guardianship order
- Independent living including both supported and unsupported
- Other - including those who died, were sentenced to custody, were
  transferred to adult social care, taken into care by another LA or whose
  destination was unknown

All nations publish data on the number of children adopted. As Table 2 Highlights,
Scotland has a consistently lowest proportion of children adopted from care,
followed by Northern Ireland, while England Wales have higher proportions (11-
17%). Numbers of children returned to the care of parents/friends or relatives
account for the largest proportion of discharges across all nations although these
have been decreasing over time in England and Wales whilst in Scotland they have
been increasing. The data also show that Special Guardianship Orders, available
only in England and Wales increasingly account for a significant minority of
discharges from care, 11% and 14% respectively in 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adopted</th>
<th>Returned home to live with parents/relatives/friends or on residence order</th>
<th>Special guardianship</th>
<th>Former foster parents</th>
<th>Independent living</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>England</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wales</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is possible to consider the impact of different permanency arrangements – through Adoption or Special Guardianship on rates of out-of-home care for England and Wales (see Figure 8). Adding children discharged from care through these arrangements back into figures for looked after children at the census date, whilst a rudimentary calculation, highlights how these differences can make fairly significant increases to rates in both jurisdictions.
Insert Figure 8 – recalculated rates of looked after children in England and Wales including those adopted and discharged from care under and SGO during the year (2005-2014).

Discussion

Removing children from the care of their parents to alternative living arrangements, whether with kin or non-familial caretakers, is a highly charged public intervention usually undertaken in an environment where a child's well-being is at stake. Analysis of routine data collected on children in public care offers one comparative measure of the operation of child welfare systems illuminating as Nelken (2009: 291), in another context suggests, ‘what they (other jurisdictions) are actually trying to do’ in responding to children’s needs. Comparative analysis of such data requires appreciation of the distinct norms and culture within a country’s specific child welfare regime (Thoburn 2007). This paper suggests that three similar policy drivers - early intervention, adoption/permanency and the position of kinship care are operating in each particular policy and legal regime in ways that partially shape rates of children in public care across the UK.

Scotland followed by Wales has the highest rates of children in out-of-home care followed by England and NI with similar lower proportions. Given the strong link between deprivation and higher chances of becoming looked after (Bywaters et al., 2014) we might expect this to have a significant influence on national rates. However, Wales and NI have the highest levels of deprivation but very different looked after rates whilst the same is true of England and Scotland, which both have lower average levels of deprivation. A study underway to compare equally deprived
neighbourhoods across the four countries will provide more useful detail on this broad conclusion. Thus national variation appears, in the case of the UK countries, less a reflection of differential levels of need for public care and more a reflection of differing legal and operational practice. This is especially true within the Scottish context where integration of youth justice and child protection within the Children’s Hearings System and the use of Compulsory Supervision Orders clearly contribute to substantially higher rates. Attempting to account for some of these differences by focusing on rates of children ‘accommodated’ by local authorities, the Scottish rate reduces to the equivalent of Wales. However, it is still higher than England and it is not possible to disaggregate how youth justice applications might specifically impact these figures. In relation to England, Wales and NI, a range of factors including differing national practices regarding the use of adoption and other permanence options influences variation in rates.

**Adoption and kinship care**

Adoption legislation including non-consensual adoption (the latter permitted across the UK since 1975) is contained within separate legislation in each UK jurisdiction. England and Wales have taken the strongest lead in the promotion of adoption as a primary route to permanence for children looked after in out-of-home care, including time targets, concurrent planning and fostering to adopt (DfE 2012). This is reflected in the higher proportion of children in England and Wales exiting care through adoption. Adoption rates in Scotland have historically been the lowest of all UK nations but have seen a small but significant increase in recent years, no doubt influenced by the implementation of Permanence Orders with authority to adopt and a more robust policy emphasis on early permanence (Scottish Government 2011).
Equally, rates in NI have increased over time accounting for one in ten discharges from care in 2013.

Government guidance in all four jurisdictions prioritises kinship care as the first option where an alternate living arrangement for a child is required. However, the data show very different patterns between nations with 11-15% of looked after children placed in kinship care in England and Wales in 2013/2014 compared with 26% in Scotland and 32% in NI. In England and Wales this is likely linked to the increasing use of SGOs, primarily involving kinship placements, which operate as an exit and diversion from public care (Wade et al. 2014), removing a substantial number of children from future out-of-home care statistics. Both NI and Scotland have specific assessment processes for kinship carers and in Scotland the rate of kinship placements has steadily increased over time, accounting for almost half of the increase in numbers of looked after children in Scotland between 2001 and 2010 (Kidner, 2012). This is perceived to reflect a transfer from informal to formal kinship care (Scottish Government 2015). Access to financial and support resources for kin carers are most likely to underpin the preferred legal status of formal kinship care arrangements (Wade et al. 2014, Farrugia, 2015) across jurisdictions and while there are undoubted benefits of providing stable living arrangements for young children, kinship care and adoption may also be relatively financially attractive for cash-strapped local authorities.

Re-orienting towards younger children?

Entries and exits from care provide another comparative lens to examine policy influences, entry data speaking to the influence of current policy direction (Thoburn 2007). A variable picture is present: over the ten-year period Wales and Northern
Ireland rates of entry remained relatively stable, increased in England and are recently reducing in Scotland. In Scotland the preventive contribution of the *getting it right for every child* (Scottish Government 2008b) and youth justice (Scottish Government, 2008a) policies alongside a concerted effort through local pre-referral screening systems to reduce overwhelming numbers of referrals to the hearings system are likely contributors to a reduction in these historically high rates of looked after children. In England, a triple whammy of reduced access to primary and secondary preventive resources through local authority funding cuts, the impact of child fatalities on professional and institutional decision-making (Hood *et al.*, 2016, Cafcass, 2012) and direct impacts on families of austerity measures (Hastings *et al.*, 2015) arguably have served to increase rates of entry to public care.

What is particularly stark is the increase in children under five years entering public care systems in Scotland, Wales and NI, to an extent reflecting what has been the case in England during this period. In recent years close to one-fifth of children entering public care across the UK are less than one year. Two factors are likely prominent. First, pre-birth child protective processes across the UK have become more common practice in the wake of inquiries into the fatal non-accidental injury of children. England saw a significant increase in care orders following the Baby P inquiry (Macleod, 2010) and Broadhurst *et al.* (2016) have observed over the period 2007-2014 an increase in the use of compulsory measures at birth. In Scotland emergency child protection measures, rapidly increased from 2003. In 2013-14 almost half (48%) of child protection orders concerned children under one year. Although data is not routinely collected on outcomes, in 2011-12 almost four-fifths (79%) of children subject to child protection orders subsequently were looked after away from home (Henderson and Hanson, 2015).
Second, all four jurisdictions have well-developed policy and practice emphases on early and preventive intervention and the provision of early help via multi-agency support to families. This includes policy aimed at reducing the impact of social disadvantage on children, often oriented towards very young children (Flying Start, Welsh Government 2016 for example). Neuro-scientific research on infant brain development has become a potent policy influence (Allen 2011) despite a misreading of the policy readiness of the research base (Wastell and White 2012). Early years intervention sits alongside policy where stage of intervention is relevant (Walker, 2005) i.e. to prevent significant harm, reduce the disruption of public care and consider diverse ways of securing permanence for children. In England, at least, these policy objectives have been contradicted since 2010 by radical reductions to the funding of early years services (Action for Children et al. 2016). Rates of children in public care are not detached from earlier upstream child welfare policy and intervention and all four jurisdictions have seen increasing rates of child protection referral, increasing registration of children aged 0-4 years and an increased orientation towards neglect and emotional abuse as the defining child protection concerns (Bunting et al. a companion article, under review, analysing child protection referrals across the UK). Balancing child protection and family support and the emergence of a more child-focused orientation (Gilbert et al., 2011) are not without consequence. Featherstone et al. (2014) have argued that the ‘marriage’ of the early intervention agenda and child protection has driven coercive state intervention in the lives of an increasing number of families, emphasising a form of practice that is legally based and privileges adoption. This data raises questions as to the extent to which early intervention for very young children may well have morphed into early removal, particularly in the context of an increased
focus on permanence and implementation of processes such as concurrent planning.

Equally stark has been the reduction in the proportion of adolescent children entering public care (not including 16 plus years) across all four jurisdictions of the UK. Data are not completely comparable due to differing age categories however; the overarching trend is clear. Diversion of youth justice cases from child welfare systems may be a factor. Scotland has seen greater diversion of young people who offend from formal systems (Scottish Government 2008a); in 2014 only 18% of children referred to the hearings system were so on the offence ground (SCRA 2015). In England, there has been a significant process of decarceration of children partially attributable to cost reduction aims following the financial crisis of 2008; illuminating the influence of economic (alongside social and political) conditions on rates of child imprisonment (Goldson and Muncie, 2015).

Future developments

Despite the availability of a range of data indicators on children looked after by local authorities, especially those in out-of-home care, this data is frequently shorn of contextual and temporal information, especially regarding the social and economic conditions of the children and their families. There is extensive evidence of social and economic deprivation present in the lives of looked after children (Bebbington and Miles, 1989, Pelton, 2015, Bywaters, 2015) and an association between poverty and maltreatment (Thoburn 2007, Bywaters et al., 2016) yet this contextual information remains undocumented. Given political ideologies affect poverty alleviation, housing, general health and child welfare services that indirectly impact on children’s needs, there is a strong argument for including some measures of
socio-economic circumstances (for example area level deprivation measures), long routinely collected in health administrative data, to child welfare data collections. Child welfare inequalities require as much attention and analysis as health inequalities (Bywaters, 2015). In addition, more consistent and better quality data collection on sub-groups of children within child welfare systems would enable more effective cross-country comparison permitting, for example, complex analysis of interactions between, ethnicity and socio-economic circumstances (Putnam-Hornstein et al., 2013, Bywaters et al., 2016). This would facilitate a more nuanced understanding of ethnicity and child welfare involvement required for policy and practice development (Barn 2007; Barn and Kirton, 2012). Whilst, recognising routine collection of socio-economic data will not overcome issues of difference versus magnitude or the uncertainty and contingency of individual decisions on entry to care (Alastalo and Pösö, 2014); it will provide a further dimension to policy development in all four jurisdictions of the UK.

CONCLUSION

This analysis of administrative data on looked after children gathered in the four jurisdictions of the UK considers the impact of differing legal and child welfare policy contexts on rates and patterns of placement. Despite differing devolution settlements, it is suggested that convergence characterises the broad direction of policy across the UK towards early intervention, extensive use of kinship care and adoption as an exit route from care. The legal and operational context of the implementation of these concurrent policy trends influences categories counted in national administrative data. This can serve to occlude similarities present across the UK; such as the trends towards increased entry of young children to public care. The failure systematically to gather data on the socio-economic conditions of looked
after children represents a missed opportunity to examine the influence of social and economic conditions on rates of children in public care. Greater comparability of data across jurisdictions would be one contributory element, in building the potential to begin to explore the big question: in which country are children’s developmental needs best served?
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