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ABSTRACT: Although prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing can identify early-stage prostate cancers, additional biomarkers are needed for risk stratification. In one study, high levels of the actin-bundling protein, fascin-1, were correlated with lethal-phase, hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Analyses of independent samples are needed to establish the value of fascin-1 as a possible biomarker. We examined fascin-1 by immunohistochemistry in tumour specimens from the Wales Cancer Bank in comparison with nuclear-located ETS-related gene (ERG), an emerging marker for aggressive prostate cancer. Fascin-1 was elevated in focal areas of a minority of tumours, yet fascin-1-positivity did not differentiate tumours of low-, intermediate-, or high-risk Gleason scores and did not correlate with PSA status or biochemical relapse after surgery. Stromal fascin-1 correlated with high Gleason score. Nuclear ERG was upregulated in tumours but not in stroma. The complexities of fascin-1 status indicate that fascin-1 is unlikely to provide a suitable biomarker for prediction of aggressive prostate cancers.
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Background

The incidence of prostate cancer is increasing and accounts for 25% of cancer diagnosis in men in the United Kingdom1 and 8% of all cancer cases worldwide as of 2012.2 The rising incidence is partly due to an ageing population and is also secondarily related to routine testing for serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA). Although PSA testing can detect prostate cancers at an early stage, some of which will be clinically relevant, the test has the drawback that it cannot accurately differentiate between indolent tumours (the majority of cases) and aggressive disease. Consequently, there is a risk of overdiagnosis and overuse of radical treatments for indolent tumours3 and underuse of strategies to avoid or delay radical treatments, such as active monitoring. There is thus a major clinical need for novel prognostic prostate cancer biomarkers that can discriminate better between early-stage indolent prostate tumours and those that are likely to progress and metastasise.4,5

In general, the ability of carcinomas to spread locally and later establish metastases is linked to an increased functional capacity of the tumour cells to migrate and invade the nearby tissue, lymph nodes, or local blood vessels. These properties relate in large part to altered expression of cell adhesion molecules and cytoskeletal proteins.6 Fascin-1 is an actin-bundling protein which is not expressed in most adult human epithelia but which becomes upregulated in many carcinomas.7–11 To date, fascin-1 has been studied most extensively in carcinomas of the breast, colon, lung, oesophagus, and stomach. In a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis, fascin-1 was found to correlate with increased risk of mortality in primary carcinomas of the colon, breast, and oesophagus; with risk of disease progression in breast and colorectal cancers; and with risk of local or distant metastasis in colorectal carcinoma.12 Since the publication of this meta-analysis, fascin-1 has been correlated with pancreatic cancer progression, although no meta-analysis has yet been performed.13,14

The importance of fascin-1 in carcinoma progression has been demonstrated experimentally in several mouse models.
Knock-down of the fascin-1 transcript in human colon or prostate carcinoma cell lines led to decreased xenograft tumour growth and metastasis in mice, whereas specific overexpression of fascin-1 in the intestine promoted colorectal tumour growth. Fascin-1 also promoted tumour progression in a mouse genetic model of pancreatic cancer. More recently, a small molecule that inhibits actin bundling by fascin-1 was reported to decrease lung metastasis of syngeneic fascin-1–positive breast tumours in mice. Therefore, fascin-1 has emerged as a new prospective therapeutic target.

Information on the relevance of fascin-1 to prostate cancer is limited. The first study of human prostate cancer specimens correlated increased fascin-1 protein with localised and hormone refractory prostate cancer compared with uninvolved tissue. Studies of prostate cancer cell lines also demonstrated that FSCN1 messenger RNA (mRNA) is a target of miR-145, a microRNA that is downregulated in prostate cancer, thus leading to elevation of FSCN1 mRNA. Silencing of the FSCN1 transcript in these cell lines resulted in decreased cell migration, invasion, and proliferation. Similarly, a nanobody that, when introduced into cells, perturbs the binding of fascin-1 to F-actin, inhibited assembly of invadopodia and cell invasion in PC3 prostate cancer cells. Although these studies implicate similar functional roles of fascin-1 in prostate carcinoma cells as in colon or breast carcinoma cells, further studies of independent sets of human prostate tumour specimens are crucially needed to evaluate whether fascin-1 might be suitable as a biomarker to distinguish aggressive from indolent prostate carcinomas at an early stage.

Here, we present the results of an analysis of fascin-1 by immunohistochemistry in prostate carcinoma specimens from the Wales Cancer Bank (WCB). Immunohistochemistry was performed on conventional sections of prostate tumours and on a new tissue microarray (TMA) that comprised 211 tumours that included specimens of low, intermediate, or high Gleason risk scores. We also investigated the relationship between fascin-1 and nuclear-located ETS-related gene (ERG) protein. The TMPRSS2–ERG gene fusion is detected in around 50% of prostate cancers and correlates with aggressive disease progression. Overexpression of truncated ERG protein in nuclei is detectable by immunohistochemistry and correlates well with occurrence of the gene fusion. Functional consequences of TMPRSS2–ERG expression include increased cell migration. Thus, it was of interest to assess fascin-1 by immunohistochemistry against ERG because the latter is an emerging marker of aggressive prostate cancer progression and because of the possibility that stimulation of cell migration by TMPRSS2–ERG might be a fascin-1–dependent process.

Methods

Patients and surgical specimens

All specimens were obtained as anonymised samples from the WCB (www.walescancerbank.com) as sections prepared from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded prostate tumour biopsies. For the conventional sections, 20 specimens were selected at random from the eligible specimens in WCB as examples of low/intermediate (Gleason score 6 [n = 4] or 7 [n = 6]) or high (Gleason score 8 [n = 8], score 9 [n = 1], or score 10 [n = 1]) risk tumours and examined as 4µm thick sections. Two samples of uninvolved tissues were analysed as controls.

A TMA of prostate tumour samples was constructed by the WCB using a semi-automated TMA Master (3DHISTECH; http://www.3dhistech.com/tma_master) and 0.6 mm diameter core biopsies. The TMA contained 211 prostate samples of low, intermediate, and high Gleason risk scores from 158 patients; for 53 patients, including those with multi-focal disease, multiple samples from different locations had been taken (Supplementary Datafile). In all, 145 samples were from prostatectomy specimens and 66 were from trans-rectal, ultrasound-guided needle core biopsies. The median age of the patients was 65 years (range: 40-86 years) with a 5-year median follow-up. The pathological grading of the samples according to Gleason risk score and available patient data are summarised in Table 1. Sample IDs were subsequently linked to a database of demographic and clinicopathological data. The Gleason score of each core was quality assured by a consultant uropathologist in accordance with the 2005 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Consensus Conference on Gleason Grading of prostate carcinoma. These studies were approved under the Human Tissue Act (HTA) (WCB project number 13/014 for the conventional sections and 12/007 for the TMA).

Immunohistochemistry

For specimens examined as conventional sections, slides were de-waxed in Histoclear (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, USA) followed by re-hydration by sequential washes in 100% and 70% ethanol and then water. Antigen retrieval was carried out in hot 10 mM sodium citrate buffer at pH 6.0 for 20 minutes. Immunohistochemistry was performed with a mouse monoclonal antibody to fascin-1 (clone 55k2; Dako, Denmark) at 1:50 dilution for 30 minutes, followed by Vectastain Universal Elite ABC immunohistochemistry kit (with 1:100 dilution of secondary antibody) and ImmPACT DAB peroxidase substrate detection reagent (all from Vector Labs, Peterborough, UK). Slides were then washed in cold running water for 5 minutes and counter-stained in Gill’s haematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). A secondary antibody–only control was included in each set of slides stained to assess any
Analysis and scoring of immunohistochemical staining

Fascin-1 positivity of tumour cells was defined as cytoplasmic staining. Conventional sections were viewed independently, blinded to Gleason grade, by 2 observers and scored according to the extent of the area of fascin-1 staining within the tumour and also the staining intensity relative to uninvolved tissue. Fascin-1 positivity of the tumour stroma was assessed in relation to the stroma of uninvolved tissues. For the TMA, scoring for fascin-1 was blinded to Gleason grade and ERG status and was based on the proportion of positively stained cells within the tumour on a scale from 0 to 3: 0 = no staining; 1 = staining of 1% to 25% of cells; 2 = staining of 25% to 50% of cells; 3 = staining of >50% of cells. Staining of stromal cells was assessed visually. Blinded scoring of TMA samples for ERG was based on a combined evaluation of intensity (scale 0-3) and proportion of tumour cells with nuclear ERG (scale 0-6), giving a total scoring range of 0 to 18. Statistical analysis was carried out with GraphPad Prism Version 5.0b. The distribution of the data was checked visually using frequency distribution graphs (histograms). Both fascin-1 and ERG datasets were not normally distributed, so median and interquartile ranges (IQR) were computed and are presented as box and whisker plots. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare data between each test group (eg, fascin-1 score between normal tissue and cancer samples).

Results and Discussion

Fascin-1 is elevated in prostate carcinomas and their adjacent stroma and has focal and variable localisation patterns

Immunohistochemistry of conventional sections for fascin-1 demonstrated limited staining of stromal cells or microvascular endothelium in samples of uninvolved tissue; these served as a positive control for the specificity of the antibody (Figure 1A). In low/intermediate-grade (Gleason score 6 or 7) tumour specimens, weak staining for fascin-1 was apparent in stromal cells in 8 of the 10 specimens examined (Figure 1B, C). Only a minority of the low/intermediate-grade tumours examined (2 of 10 cases) contained fascin-1–positive tumour cells, and these corresponded to <10% of the tumour cells (Figure 1C).

### Table 1. Pathological classification by Gleason risk score and patient cohort information for the prostate tumour specimens on the TMA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>SPECIMENS, NO. (%)</th>
<th>AGE IN YEARS, MEDIAN (RANGE)</th>
<th>PSA IN NG/ML, MEDIAN (RANGE)</th>
<th>BIOCHEMICAL RECURRENCE FOLLOWING SURGERY, NO. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>47 (22.2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk (GG &lt; 6)</td>
<td>74 (35.1)</td>
<td>69 (40–86)</td>
<td>9.1 (2.2–165.0)</td>
<td>7/38 (18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate risk (GG = 7)</td>
<td>55 (26.1)</td>
<td>65 (43–79)</td>
<td>12.3 (2.2–64)</td>
<td>3/33 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk (GG ≥ 8)</td>
<td>35 (16.6)</td>
<td>67 (47–82)</td>
<td>9.5 (2.2–47)</td>
<td>9/24 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>211 (100)</td>
<td>65 (40–86)</td>
<td>9.7 (2.2–165)</td>
<td>19/95 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: GG, Gleason grade; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; TMA, tissue microarray. The data on PSA and biochemical relapse are figures for the patients. The Gleason scores refer to each core on the TMA.*
fascin-1–positive tumour cells are marked with asterisks). No staining was detected in the absence of the primary antibody (Figure 1F).

Within the TMA specimen set, fascin-1 staining patterns were in agreement with those observed on the conventional sections and were for the most part patchy and focal. On the TMA, 62% of the samples (n = 131) had fascin-1 staining in stromal cells as well as in the epithelial cells, and stromal staining was frequently more intense than that in the epithelial cells (Figure 2B and Supplementary Datafile). As observed in the conventional sections, areas of fascin-1–positive glands in tumours were often sharply limited, such that a fascin-negative gland was surrounded by fascin-positive glands (Figure 2B). We conclude that fascin-1 staining of prostate tumours is very heterogeneous and includes elevation of fascin-1 in stromal cells more commonly than in tumour cells.

**Relationship of fascin-1–positive tumour cells or stroma to clinico-pathological characteristics of the TMA study set**

The scoring data for fascin-1 (see ‘Methods’ section) from the TMA were analysed in relation to the anonymous clinico-pathological characteristics of the patients. The fascin-1 score for tumour cells tended to be elevated in prostate tumour samples relative to the normal prostate tissue, but this did not reach statistical significance (median score = 0, IQR 0–1, range, 0–3 in the tumour samples, vs median score = 0, IQR 0–0, range 0–1 in normal prostate tissue, P = .12; Figure 3A). A notable limiting factor in the analysis was that relatively few tumours (15 of 211 samples on the TMA) included more than 10% of tumour cells that were positive for fascin-1. When tumour samples were analysed according to Gleason risk score (Table 1), elevation of fascin-1 in comparison with the normal tissue was not apparent (normal, fascin median score = 0, IQR 0–0, range 0–1; low risk: fascin median score = 0, IQR 0–1, range 0–3; intermediate risk: fascin median score = 0, IQR 0–1, range 0–2; high risk: fascin median score = 0, IQR 0–1, range 0–3; Figure 3A). Furthermore, there was no trend of fascin-1 score with tumour stage (Figure 3B) or with patient PSA status at the time of biopsy (Figure 3C). Fascin-1 score was also examined in relation to biochemical relapse after surgery (18 of 145 patients); no relationship was apparent (Figure 3D). Fascin-1 staining was seen more commonly in the tumour-associated stroma, with a trend towards a higher incidence of positivity seen in high-risk tumours. Thus, 53.2% (n = 25) of normal samples, 50.0% (n = 37) of low-risk tumours, 70.9% (n = 39) of intermediate-risk tumours, and 80.0% (n = 28) of high-risk tumours had elevated stromal fascin-1 staining (Supplementary Datafile). There were a greater number of samples with positive stromal staining when comparing low Gleason risk versus intermediate-risk tumours (P = .036), low-risk versus high-risk tumours (P = .009), or normal tissue versus high-risk tumours (P = .035) (Figure 3E). When accounting for Bonferroni correction for these 3 sub-analysis (the statistically significant P-value using Bonferroni correction = 0.05/3 = 0.017), only the stromal fascin-1 staining in high-risk tumours compared with low-risk tumours reached statistical significance (P = .009). In the colon, elevated fascin-1 has been associated with inflammatory states25; it is unknown whether elevated stromal fascin-1 in prostate tumours might result from inflammatory cues.
Relationship of fascin-1 positivity to nuclear-located ERG

We next compared the data obtained for fascin-1 in tumour cells with an emerging predictive immunohistochemical marker of prostate cancer aggressiveness, nuclear ERG. TMPRSS2-ERG expression has been related to prostate cancer progression and, in mouse models, to increased tumour growth and metastasis to bone. In view that ERG activity in prostate cancer cell lines results in increased migratory capacity, it was also of interest to consider whether fascin-1 might be upregulated in a TMPRSS2-ERG-dependent pathway. From staining of the TMA for ERG, 183 of the 211 specimen cores were informative for ERG staining. Nuclear ERG reports on the truncated ERG protein expressed as a result of the TMPRSS2–ERG gene fusion that is present in approximately 50% of prostate cancers. In uninvolved tissue, nuclear ERG was detected in only a small number of cells and appeared random with regard to cell type and tissue organisation (Figure 2C). In contrast, nuclear ERG was clearly elevated in the tumour cells of 44% (n = 81) of tumour specimens (Supplementary Datafile). As expected, nuclear ERG was restricted to the carcinoma cells and was not detected in stromal cells (Figure 2D).

Quantified scoring of nuclear ERG positivity demonstrated that nuclear ERG is upregulated in prostate tumours (ERG median score = 3, IQR 0-10, range 0-18) compared with normal prostate epithelium (ERG median score = 0, IQR 0-3, range 0-12, \( P < .001 \); Figure 4A). Further analyses of the tumour samples as a function of Gleason score demonstrated that the mean nuclear ERG score was higher in intermediate-risk tumours (ERG median score = 5, IQR 0-12, range 0-18) than in low-risk tumours (ERG median score = 3, IQR 0-8, range 0-18, \( P < .05 \); Figure 4A). Although the high-risk tumours had greater nuclear ERG scores (ERG median score = 4, IQR 0-11, range 0-18) than normal tissue (ERG median score = 0, IQR 0-3, range 0-12, \( P > .001 \)) or low-risk tumours (ERG median score = 3, IQR 0-8, range 0-18), the nuclear ERG score was not significantly increased between low- and high-risk tumours (Figure 4A). The level of nuclear ERG in high-risk tumours was diminished relative to intermediate-risk tumours (high risk, ERG median score = 4, IQR 0-11, range 0-18 vs intermediate risk, ERG median score = 5, IQR 0-12, range 0-18;
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These observations are in line with previous studies of ERG in prostate tumours.25,26

There was no relationship between fascin-1 positivity and ERG score in 183 tumours from the TMA (Figure 4B). However, the data are very limited because only 3 tumours had a fascin-1 score of 3. Because only a small number of the specimens examined contained more than 10% fascin-1–positive tumour cells, the data were also examined by grouping the ERG scores into 2 categories of ERG positive (>10% of cells with nuclear ERG) and ERG negative. Some ERG–positive
tumours also had a high fascin-1 score, but this did not reach statistical significance (ERG-negative tumours had a fascin score median of 0, IQR of 0–0, and range of 0–3, $P = 0.14$; Figure 4C). Thus, the presence of fascin-1 in tumour cells does not correlate with nuclear ERG.

**Conclusions**

In this set of prostate carcinomas specimens from WCB, only 8% of the tumours on the TMA contained >10% fascin-1–positive carcinoma cells which were typically detected in variable, focal patches, adding to the complexity of the scoring. Fascin-1 was more commonly elevated in cells of the tumour stroma, and stromal fascin-1 was significantly elevated in high Gleason score versus low Gleason score tumours. The fascin-1 status of tumour cells did not correlate with Gleason score, tumour stage, serum PSA levels, or biochemical relapse following surgery. In contrast, nuclear ERG was clearly elevated in tumour cells and correlated well with Gleason score. Fascin-1 status did not correlate with nuclear ERG status. Overall, these results indicate that, unlike in breast and colon carcinomas, fascin-1 immunohistochemistry is unlikely to provide a robust biomarker for early prediction of aggressive prostate cancers.
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