Abstract

Surgical resection of the primary tumor may enhance the metastasis and recurrence of cancer. The reaction of patients to surgery includes changes of the immune system, the inflammatory system and the neuroendocrine system. In the perioperative period, anesthetics are used both for anesthesia and analgesia. There are several studies showing that the progression of cancer can be influenced by many kinds of anesthetics, although most of these studies are preclinical and thus have not yet influenced clinical recommendations. This review summarizes recent studies regarding the effects of anesthetics on metastasis and recurrence of cancer.
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Introduction

For many cancers, surgical resection of the primary tumor is the mainstay of treatment; however, there is increasing evidence that this may actually promote the recurrence and metastasis of cancer. Whether this occurs depends largely on the tumor’s ability to spread combined with the host immunity and inflammatory response [1]. There are many factors that impact on this perioperatively, such as surgical stress, blood transfusions, hypothermia, hyperglycemia, and postoperative pain. The immune system is suppressed by surgery, which promotes inflammation. Additionally, the endocrine system diminishes host tumor response. All these perioperative changes offer a favorable microenvironment, promoting residual and circulating cancer cells to proliferate and metastasize after operation [2]. Undergoing surgery thus creates the “perfect storm” [3], throughout which the judicious and careful selection of anesthetic and analgesia is vital, not only for safety purpose during the “storm”, but also for optimal postoperative outcomes.

This has then raised the question of what impact the anesthesia itself has on this perioperative tumor promoting environment. Studies focus on four main fields: the effect on immune system, the effect on inflammatory system, the effect on the microenvironment and the direct effect on cancer cells. This review collates the evidence regarding anesthetic effects on metastasis and recurrence of cancer (Table 1) [4-17].

The Effect of Surgery on the Progression of Cancer

Surgery presents opportunities not only for eradicating tumors, but also for proliferation and invasion of residual cancer cells. Surgery increases the shedding of malignant cells into the blood and lymphatic circulations, inhibits their apoptosis and potentiates their invasion capacity [18]. Surgery also increases the factors related to tumor vascularity and levels of growth factors, endorsing local and distant recurrence. The immune system, the inflammatory system and the neuroendocrine system react to surgery with notable changes which have been proven to promote progression of cancer [19]. Psychological distress (anxiety, stress and depression) initiated by surgery, releases stress hormones and down-regulates cellular immune indices [20].

A successful metastasis of cancer cells is determined by their microenvironment. For example, without periostin which is released locally by fibroblasts within the tumor microenvironment, metastasizing breast cancer stem cells are unable to deposit in lung models [21]. In colorectal cancer, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) secreted by myofibroblasts induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) which is known to play a pivotal role in mediating invasion and metastasis [22]. The inflammatory mediators induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6), instigate and facilitate cross talk at the cancer cell-stromal interface. This promotes metastasis of tumor cells through initiation of metastatic coloniza-
Table 1. The Recent Studies on the Effect of Anesthetics on Cancers [4-17]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of anesthetics</th>
<th>Anesthetics</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type of cancer (n)</th>
<th>Type of research</th>
<th>Effect on cancer</th>
<th>Relative pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous anesthetics</td>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>Xu et al [9]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>TGF-beta1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>Liu et al [10]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>Xu et al [9]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>miR-218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mu agonists</td>
<td>Wang et al [13]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupivacaine</td>
<td>Xuan et al [17]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian and prostate cancer</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>glycogen synthase kinase-3β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ma et al [12]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>In vivo and in vitro</td>
<td>Ropivacaine ↓</td>
<td>mRNA expression of the key NSCLC target EGFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidoceaine, ropivacaine</td>
<td>Piegeler et al [14]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lung adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>MMP-9, Src-dependent inflammatory signalling events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflurane, sevoflurane, desflurane</td>
<td>Iwasaki et al [4]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian carcinoma</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>MMP11 and VEGF-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desflurane</td>
<td>Elias et al [7]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

↑: enhance cancer; ↓: inhibit cancer grow or metastasis; -: no effect on cancer.
tion, acting somewhat as a “fertilizer” to aid successful tumor growth [22].

Specific factors initiated by surgical trauma can influence the progression of cancer, including catecholamines, prostanoids, glucocorticoids, various cytokines, pro-angiogenic factors, opioids, etc. [18]. Growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and EGF, elevated by surgery, are demonstrated to potentiate the metastatic ability of cancer stem cells [23]. Many studies have particularly reported on the enhancement of catecholamines and prostanoids on cancer development, both by immunosuppression and by direct facilitation of malignant tissue progression and the interventions to decrease the levels of these factors for a better prognosis have been taken on in clinical studies, as will be discussed in the following sections.

The Effect of Volatile Agents on Cancer

The anesthetic mechanism of volatile agents is complicated, targeting a number of sites including GABA receptors and NMDA receptors [3]. Studies in vitro and in vivo have shown that there is an association between inhalational anesthesia and increased tumor spread [4, 24]. In a recent retrospective study, it was found that cancer patients had a worse survival outcome if they received inhalational anesthesia [25]. Inhalational anesthesia inhibits the immune system by decreasing the function of natural killer cells, which play an important role in protecting against proliferation of cancer cells [26]. It was reported that isoflurane could promote the growth and migration of glioblastoma cells [5], up-regulate levels of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α and intensified expression of VEGF A [27]. HIF-1α is overexpressed in a variety of carcinomas and their metastases, and is deemed to be a transcriptional regulator of VEGF expression, mediating angiogenic responses [28, 29].

On the contrary, sevoflurane was found to attenuate VEGF level via DNA methylation [30]. Sevoflurane had a dose-dependent inhibition of glioma cells, not only by increasing the expression of mRNA637 and decreasing the expression of Akt1 and phosphorylated Akt1 [31], but also by inhibiting MMP-2 activity [6]. Liang et al found the invasion of lung cancer cells induced by platelets could be suppressed by sevoflurane via decreasing platelet activity [32]. Combined with 6 MV photon, sevoflurane down-regulated cdc42 overexpression and decreased the migration speed of human adenocarcinoma cell line A549, potentially providing clinical benefit for the cancer therapy [33]. However, Sugimoto et al have a contrary conclusion that sevoflurane enhances colon cancer cell line proliferation via K (ATP) channels in cancer cells [34]. Elias et al studied 194 women with stage III epithelial ovarian cancer undergoing optimal primary cytoreduction. They concluded that compared with sevoflurane, the use of desflurane was associated with a lower overall rate of ovarian cancer recurrence, and desflurane was independently associated with an improved disease-free survival [7]. As we know, there are a number of factors that need to be taken into account when interpreting these retrospective studies and prospective randomized controlled trials should be taken to verify these conclusions.

The Effect of Intravenous Agents on Cancers

Propofol

As a short-acting intravenous anesthetic agent, propofol is widely used for sedation and hypnosis during and after operation. It exerts anesthesia by activating GABA A receptors directly, to slow the channel-closing time and by blocking sodium channels [35]. A retrospective analysis compared propofol with sevoflurane and found the 1-year survival after colon cancer operation in the propofol group was almost 10% higher [36]. Ji et al concluded that propofol-based total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) for breast cancer surgery can reduce the risk of recurrence during the initial 5 years after modified radical mastectomy [37]. Propofol is supposed to protect the immune system from being inhibited perioperatively [35, 38, 39] and have a lower inflammatory response than volatile agents [40-42]. An in vivo study assessed propofol’s effect on pulmonary cancer metastasis [43]. After administration of propofol or saline intravenously, 40 rats were injected with pulmonary cancer cells. Three weeks later, it was found the doses of propofol were inversely correlated with the number of pulmonary metastasis. Similarly, propofol drastically inhibited hepatocellular tumor growth in rat models [44].

Some studies in vitro focus on the direct effects of propofol on cancer cells. It can be concluded that propofol can induce apoptosis and inhibit the growth of cancer cells by activating different signal pathways. Deng et al found propofol inhibits migration of colorectal cancer cell (CRC), both in vitro and in vivo by activating (PI3K)/AKT signaling and inducing EMT [8]. Through downregulation of TGF-β1 expression, propofol effectively inhibits proliferation and invasion and induces apoptosis of osteosarcoma cells [9]. Liu et al concluded propofol inhibits invasion and induces apoptosis of pancreatic cancer (PANC-1) cells by regulating MicroRNA (miR) -21/Slug signals [10]. Only one study has found the opposing conclusion that propofol induces proliferation and invasion of gallbladder cancer cells [45].

Etomidate

Etomidate has minimal effects on hemodynamics, and is thus considered for use particularly in older or critically ill, hemodynamically unstable patients. With respect to its inhibition of adrenal cortex function, etomidate is not recommended for immunosuppressed or septic patients. Very few studies have investigated the effect of etomidate on cancer. In one study in vivo, etomidate was found to reduce the viability of macrophages significantly, in a dose-dependent manner [46]. In a clinical study, they found etomidate had less effect on immune function in patients with lung adenocarcinoma than propofol [47].
Alpha2-adrenoceptor agonists

Dexmedetomidine (DEX) is a highly selective α2-adrenergic receptor agonist, used increasingly in operations for sedative, anxiolytic, and analgesic purposes. It was found that α2-adrenoceptors are expressed in human breast cancer cell lines, and activation of these receptors was associated with an enhancement of cell proliferation [48]. An in vivo murine study confirmed this conclusion [49]. In mice treated with DEX, there was a significant increase in tumor growth and metastasis, which was associated with an alteration in mammalian tumor collagen microstructure [50]. Xia et al found DEX could promote the growth of established breast tumors in vivo through the activation of α2β-adrenoceptor/ERK signaling [51]. However, a different study found DEX had minimal effect on the migration of CRCs [8].

Opioids

Opioid drugs are widely used to manage pain during and after operation. A retrospective analysis suggested an association between increased doses of opioids during the initial 96 h postoperative period, with a higher recurrence rate of non-small-cell lung cancer within 5 years [52]. Intraoperative opioid use is associated with decreased overall survival of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients [11]. Similarly Forget et al found that intraoperative sufentanil administration was related to an increased risk of cancer recurrence after radical prostatectomy [53]. However, in a clinical trial, there were no differences in immunosuppression and recurrence between the opioid group and the ropivacaine group [54]. The limitation in this study was the short follow-up period of 12 months, and the immune function was assessed only by NK cell cytotoxicity (NKCC) and interleukin (IL)-2, not including T-helper cells and cytotoxic T cells.

It has been proved that opioid receptors exist not only in neurons but also in immune cells (granulocytes, monocytes/macrophages, lymphocytes, and natural killer cells) [55] and tumor cells originating from glioma and colon, breast, lung, pancreatic, thyroid, endocrine and endometrial cancers. The expression of μ opioid receptors (MOR) in human lung cancer is significantly increased compared with adjacent control tissue (P = 0.0242) and is nearly two-fold higher in those with metastatic lung cancer in comparison to non-metastatic disease [56].

By stimulating these receptors, opioids exert their effects on tumors in myriad ways [57], which has both direct effect on the proliferation and invasion of tumor cells [58] and indirect effects on the tumor including immunosuppression, pro-inflammation and pro-angiogenesis [59]. An in vitro study showed morphine, an MOR activator, decreased tumor multiplication, and intermittent injections inhibited the proliferation of adenocarcinoma cells [60]. Afsharimani et al found morphine exerts anti-tumor effects through modulation of paracrine communication between cancer cells and non-malignant cells in the tumor microenvironment [61]. A recent study found morphine promotes renal cell carcinoma growth and progression via over-expression of surviving [12]. Tumor development is often accompanied and enhanced by an inflammatory response. It has been pointed out that different types of opioid receptors have conflicting effects on inflammation and activating MOR induces a pro-inflammatory response which could enhance tumor development [62].

In addition, continuous administration of high doses of morphine is more likely to inhibit tumor growth and metastasis in rodent models. In contrast, intermittent injection induces withdrawal-like conditions and activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis which is known to facilitate cancer progression and metastasis [63]. So not only is the type of opioid receptor potentially important, but the method of dosing may influence whether opiate analgesia has a pro- or anti-tumor effect.

Ketamine

In a model of breast cancer metastasis, rats were anesthetized for 1 h with ketamine, thiopental, halothane, or propofol, and then injected IV with MADB106 tumor cells [26]. The number and activity of circulating NK cells after anesthesia and lung tumor retention 24 h later was assessed. Lung metastases were counted 3 weeks later. The author found ketamine caused a significant decrease in NK cells, and increased lung tumor retention and lung metastases most potently. This effect was markedly reduced in rats pre-treated with the beta blocker nadolol, or with chronic small doses of an immunostimulator. The authors suggest NK activity was suppressed by ketamine and this promoted MADB106 metastasis. He et al found ketamine can up-regulate the level of anti-apoptosis protein Bcl-2 and promotes breast cancer cell invasion and proliferation [64].

In contrast, in a recent study, ketamine was seen to inhibit pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and apoptosis as an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist [65]. It can be seen that ketamine has a pro-tumor effect by inhibition of immune functions, whereas its direct effect on cancer cells is still debatable.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

Inflammation plays an important role in cancer. Long-term administration of NSAIDs has shown to decrease the incidence, recurrence and proliferation of various cancers, such as colon, breast, lung, and pancreatic cancer [66]. But only a few studies have focused on the effect of perioperative use of NSAIDs. A retrospective study indicated that perioperative administration of ketorolac for lung cancer patients was marginally associated with better overall survival (P = 0.05) [67]. Forget et al found NSAIDs used at the beginning of the surgery is independently associated with a lower metastases risk after lung cancer surgery and ketorolac use is independently associated with longer survival [68]. Ketorolac given before surgery was also found to have a lower cancer recurrence rate [69]. In an in vivo murine model of tumor metastasis, there was no difference between continuous or perioperative treatment with celecoxib, a selective cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitor, as both modes of admin-
istration decreased lung metastases significantly [70].

**The Effects of Local Anesthetics on Cancers**

Local anesthetics exert their effect by blocking voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSC) on the nerve cell membrane, which are also found on tumor cell membrane and are thought to be correlated with the invasion and metastasis of tumor cells [71]. Recent studies have focused on the anti-tumor properties of local anesthetics. Wang et al investigated the influence of local anesthetics on NSCLC cells, and found lidocaine and ropivacaine can inhibit the growth, invasion and migration of cancer cells, as well as induce their apoptosis [13]. The authors suggest the local anesthetics activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, caspases Bcl-2 and the intrinsic mitochondrial apoptosis pathway. Similar results can be found in the study of lung adenocarcinoma cells from Piegeler et al [14] and the study of breast cancer from Lirk et al [72], although the supposed mechanisms in these studies were different. In a very recent study, researchers applied lidocaine to human hepatocellular carcinoma cells in vitro and also in a xenograft model, and certified the anti-tumor effect of lidocaine [15]. In addition, lidocaine is supposed to sensitize breast cancer cells to the cytotoxicity of cisplatin [73] and have an effect on reversing cancer multidrug resistance [74].

The anti-tumor properties of local anesthetics offer a potential opportunity for clinical application. Intravenous use of lidocaine has been shown to possess an anti-inflammatory property [75]. In a prospective study, patients undergoing radical hysterectomy were given continuous infusion of lidocaine or the same volume of normal saline as control during operation [16]. The author found lidocaine treatment attenuated the early apoptosis of lymphocytes and preserved the ratio of interferon gamma to IL-4, which means lidocaine exerts a protective effect on cell-mediated immunity and may be beneficial in inhibiting tumor recurrence.

**Conclusion**

Taken together, compared with other types of anesthetics, inhalation agents do not appear to be superior to intravenous agents in cancer operations. Isoflurane can enhance proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells in vitro and rodent, while sevoflurane seems to possess an anti-tumor property in most studies. Most of current studies agree that propofol possesses an anti-tumor property including immune-modulation, anti-inflammation and inhibition of cancer cells proliferation and invasion. NSAIDs possess an anti-tumor quality which is confirmed by clinical studies. The perioperative (short term) use of NSAIDs seems to have a similar effect, which needs prospective clinical studies. Opioid seems to have paradoxical effects on tumors, due to combinations of intricate mechanisms, including inhibition of cancer cells proliferation, immunosuppression, pro-inflammation and alleviation of pain and stress. In addition, types of tumor, different doses and patterns of administration may result in inverse responses.

Amide-linked local anesthetics may have anti-tumor properties.

Thus, anesthetic selection may well influence the prognosis of cancer patients. However, studies in vitro and in animal models are not always truly reflective of the human clinical position. Until now, most of the clinical studies are retrospective, and some of them are contradictory. With no official consensus, the effect of anesthetics and analgesics on cancer requires further study, particularly with regards to prospective randomized controlled trials.
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