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Abstract:
Various strategies for ocular drug delivery are considered; from basic formulation techniques for improving availability of drugs; viscosity enhancers and mucoadhesives aid drug retention and penetration enhancers promote drug transport into the eye. The use of drug loaded contact lenses and ocular inserts allows drugs to be better placed where they are needed for more direct delivery. Developments in ocular implants gives a means to overcome the physical barriers that traditionally prevented effective treatment. Implant technologies are under development allowing long term drug delivery from a single procedure, these devices allow posterior chamber diseases to be effectively treated. Future developments could bring artificial corneas to eliminate the need for donor tissue and one-off implantable drug depots lasting the patient’s lifetime.

Key Terms

Bandage contact lens: Device designed to fit directly onto the front of the eye to offer protection during the healing process, for example, after corneal surgery.

Container molecule: Molecular structures with cavities that can accommodate another molecule via guest – host complexation.
**Hydrotrope:** Water-soluble compound that improves the aqueous solubility of hydrophobic or poorly water-soluble compounds.

**In situ gelling system:** Liquid formulations that turn into gel upon dosage form administration. These phase transitions can typically be triggered by changes in temperature, pH or electrolyte interaction.

**Mucoadhesive:** Defined as a compound, usually a polymer, with the ability to adhere to mucosal tissue.

**Ocular insert:** A drug-loaded device designed to reside within the ocular cul-de-sac, attach to the conjunctiva or directly onto the cornea.

**Ocular implant:** Dosage forms implanted directly into the ocular globe; these can be devices that bring ‘quality of life benefit’ such as intraocular lenses used for crystalline lens replacement. Implantable devices are also used for sustained and controlled drug delivery to the posterior segment.

**‘Smart’ DDS:** Responsive drug delivery systems where a favourable change takes place in response to some form of stimulus, for example, change in temperature, pH, ionic interactions or stimulation from a light source.

**Introduction**

Ocular drug delivery is hampered by the physiological barriers presented by the eyes. These include, blinking and wash out by tears, nasolacrimal drainage, non-productive losses and impermeability of the cornea. [1,2]
Some of the various structures of the eye are detailed in Figure 1, highlighting the intricate complexity of this organ. The conjunctiva (not shown for clarity) is the mucosa lining the inside surface of the eyelids and the external surface of the front of the eye up to the limbus, the edge of the cornea.

Figure 1. A sketch showing some of the key features of the human eye.

Despite the easy accessibility of the eye for administering medication, in many ways it is an isolated organ with several barriers imposing challenges to drug delivery, tear mechanisms, the physical barriers of its membranes, blood-aqueous and blood-retinal barriers.[3]
Topical, systemic and intraocular are the three main routes for administering ophthalmic medication; each has their own advantages and disadvantages. Topical drug delivery is the most accepted route accounting for ~90% aqueous ophthalmic formulations. Advantages are their relative simplicity to formulate, minimal storage limitations and ease of drug instillation by most patients. Disadvantages include limited drug concentration for lipophilic agents, pre-corneal losses and the barrier function of the cornea. For effective systemic delivery a relatively high drug concentration needs to be circulating in the blood plasma in order to achieve a therapeutically effective dose within the eye. Sustained release oral drugs can be suitable for glaucoma patients, allowing for continuous and effective treatment, however this method exposes the whole body to the drug often giving rise to undesired side effects. Intraocular drug delivery by intravitreal injection is an invasive procedure carrying a degree of risk such as retinal hemorrhage or detachment, especially if the technique needs to be repeated when treating chronic disorders. However, it is very effective at getting drugs to the posterior segment.

The cornea is the main route for topically applied drugs to gain access into the eye and the conjunctival/scleral route can also be efficient. Drops are the most accepted means to apply medication to this organ; they are easy to apply by most patients and they are convenient. However, regardless of the ease of access to the eye for topical application of medication, efficient ocular drug delivery is hampered by a series of clearance mechanisms that protect the ocular structures from foreign matter. Upon administration of traditional eye drops they are immediately diluted in the tear film followed by very quick elimination by action of blinking, wash out by tears, and nasolacrimal drainage. After instilling eye drops, there remains a very short time where any residual medication is in contact with the cornea during which time there is opportunity for the drug to penetrate into the eye; however, due to poor corneal
permeability only a very small portion of active pharmaceutical ingredient will be capable of crossing the cornea. Of the applied dose, only 1% or less will successfully reach the intended target in most cases, the rest will be systemically absorbed via the conjunctiva or nasolacrimal mucosa to be eliminated by metabolic processes.[5] The tear film comprises of several compartments, Figure 2 shows the 3 layer tear film model comprising of a coating of mucous anchored to the epithelium via microvilli, an aqueous compartment containing soluble mucin and free lipid and a thin lipid layer [11-14].

Figure 2. The 3 layer tear film model.

The tear film and ocular mucosa are the first external barriers to overcome, after which the multilayered structure of the cornea (Figure 3) offers the next challenge; this structure has both lipophilic and hydrophilic properties and there are 5 distinct layers: Epithelium, Bowman’s membrane, stroma, Descemet’s membrane and endothelium.[6,15] The first corneal layer is the epithelium which is ~50 µm at its center increasing to ~100 µm at the limbus; this layer is
lipophilic, offering ~90% resistance to hydrophilic drugs and ~10% to hydrophobic preparations. Immediately underneath the epithelium is the Bowman’s membrane, a transitional acellular structure ~8-14 μm in thickness. Next we find the hydrophilic stroma; this is a gel-like structure with around 80% water, consisting of collagen, mucopolysaccharides and proteins and it forms the main bulk of the cornea, some 90% of its total thickness. Next there is the Descemet’s membrane, a tough membrane of around 6 μm thickness supporting the endothelium, a single layer of loose, epithelia-like cells important in regulating stromal hydration, and this layer is deposited by endothelial cells. The correct level of hydration is important for the cornea to remain clear and transparent.[6,15,16]
Figure 3. Micrograph of a section of bovine cornea showing the multi-layered structure typical of mammalian corneas. Scale bar = 100 μm.

The corneal epithelial barrier also has different zones; the basement layer consists of newly formed cells firmly attached to the Bowman’s layer, here they are columnar in shape. As new cells are formed the preceding basement cells are pushed forwards, becoming polyhedral in shape, eventually as they are moved towards the corneal surface where they become polygonal squamous cells. These superficial epithelial cells have Ca$^{2+}$ dependent membrane adherent regions; zonula occludens, zonula adherens and desmosomes forming tight junctions.[17] Taken together, these tightly bound cell membrane regions and the lipophilic nature of the
epithelium make the structure an extremely efficient barrier that resists intrusion of foreign material including potentially therapeutic compounds; this creates a major challenge for ocular drug delivery.[6,11,18]

**Strategies for enhancing ocular drug delivery**

Despite traditional eye drops being convenient and simple to use, they are not very efficient and only a small amount of the dose is effectively delivered to its intended target, most is lost due to clearance mechanisms. There are however certain strategies that can be employed to improve the bioavailability of drugs. First, solubility enhancers can be used, to improve drug concentrations within the formulation; more medication in the dosage form can mean increased bioavailability. This strategy could allow a smaller droplet to be applied, which would be less susceptible to loss by drainage due to induced reflex tearing and blinking.[6] Second, the formulation can be designed in a form that resists clearance; these dosage forms are retained for a longer period, therefore they have more time to interact with ocular tissue. Next, drug penetration enhancers can be incorporated into the formulation to assist their transit across the cornea.[19] Ocular inserts are another area of active research and development. With this method a drug-loaded device resides in the cul-de-sac under the eyelids or fits directly on the cornea like a contact lens; these devices are often designed with controlled release in mind.[20,21] Drug delivery into the cornea and anterior chamber is difficult enough; delivering an effective therapeutic dose to the posterior segment is a major challenge, in many cases it is not possible to deliver sufficient medication to the posterior structures via the topical route.[22] For diseases of the retina, such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD), diabetic retinopathy, and retinitis pigmentosa and related ocular neovascular disease there is often a need to resort to invasive methods for drug delivery. Angiogenesis inhibitor medication via intravitreal injection is an option for getting
drugs to the posterior segment but these are often effective for the short term and need repeat injections, which carries risks such as hemorrhage, endophthalmitis, ocular hypertension and retinal detachment.[22-26] Ocular implants are devices that penetrate the sclera or reside within the deeper ocular structures to deliver drugs for an extended period, sometimes many years, minimising the need for repeat injections.[23] Implantable devices that are not designed to deliver drugs are also employed to improve the ‘quality of life’ for patients with certain conditions, for example, intraocular lenses. However, drugs to counter postoperative bacterial infection are often included in these devices for short term protection.[27,28] These various strategies will be discussed in more detail in the following sections.

**Solubility enhancers:**

Discovery of potentially therapeutic compounds is accelerating through developments in genomics, combinational chemistry and the ability to use high throughput screening. High proportions of newly screened compounds prove to be hydrophobic and are poorly water-soluble.[29] For efficacious performance in the physiological environment drug candidates need to interact within an aqueous media, the interstitial fluids within tissues.

Drugs used for treatment of ocular disorders often have low aqueous solubility and eye drops are only in contact with ocular tissue for a short time. Formulations that are developed to increase the amount of available drug in solution could improve its bioavailability, therefore solubility enhancement is an important strategy to use when developing ocular medication. Solubility enhancement can be achieved by employing hydrotropic compounds. Evstigneev *et al.*[30] and Coffman and Kildsig [31,32] reported the effectiveness of caffeine, urea and nicotinamide and its derivatives as efficient hydrotropes for enhancing the solubility of riboflavin, a vitamin with poor
aqueous solubility of less than 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) which is used as a photosensitive drug for the
treatment of keratoconus. Cyclodextrins are a class of cyclic supramolecular compounds that
have been well studied for dissolution enhancement of low solubility drugs; Loftsson and
Stefansson discussed the use of cyclodextrins for complexation with steroids, carbonic anhydrase
inhibitors, pilocarpine and cyclosporins in eye drop formulations which are well tolerated.[33]

Morrison et al.[34] investigated cyclodextrins for their hydrotropic properties and were able to
show that \(\beta\)-cyclodextrin achieved solubility enhancement of more than 140% for riboflavin.
Whilst the above mentioned studies achieved modest solubility enhancements, research by Kim
et al. [29] investigating the performance of two hydrotropes; N,N-diethyl nicotinamide (DENA)
and N,N-dimethylbenzamide (DMBA) with 13 poorly water-soluble drugs and these compounds
were shown to have superior hydrotropic action between 1000- to 10000- fold.

Supramolecular structures are sub-micron sized molecules within the realm of nanotechnology
and many of these assemblies have solubility enhancement properties. This technology is
becoming an important tool within the pharmaceutical industry with substantial investment
within the global market. Dendrimers, microemulsions, nanoparticles, nanosuspensions and
liposomes belong to this class of compound and are proving to be useful structures to improve
bioavailability, all of which are at the forefront of research in ocular drug delivery.[1,2,35-41]

Micelles are aggregates of amphiphilic molecules forming self-assembled spheres in aqueous
media. They have a monolayer ‘shell’ of polar groups with their associated fatty acid ‘tails’
forming the core. These are useful carriers of hydrophobic drugs within the core albeit with
limited efficiency due to a high amphiphile / drug ratio.[42] The work of Qu et al.[43] involved
chemical modification of chitosan by increasing their hydrophobicity and this allowed them to
produce 100 – 300 nm sized micellar clusters which could achieve up to an order of magnitude
enhancement in hydrophobic drug bioavailability compared to micelles produced using triblock copolymers. In ocular drug formulations they were able to show an initial prednisolone concentration in the aqueous humor equivalent to that found when using a 10-fold dose of prednisolone suspension.

An approach taken by Kulkarni et al. [44] was to take the poorly soluble drug, indomethacin, and using simple chemistry, convert this drug into its sodium salt. They found that this improved its aqueous solubility and the drug was stable at physiological pH and compatible with excipients used for ocular drug formulation.

**Penetration enhancement:**

Materials that modify the corneal epithelia can allow enhancement of drug permeation and this can be achieved using various strategies. Benzalkonium chloride (BAC) is commonly used as a preservative in ocular drug formulations, this together with other compounds; cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), polyoxyethylene stearyl ether (PSE) and polyethoxylated castor oil (PCO) are compounds with penetration enhancing properties. Their mode of action is due to destabilisation of the tear film and the protection given by its mucus component (for BAC), and ultrastructural alterations [17] and solubilisation of cellular membranes for the other enhancers. Useful as they are for penetration enhancement they can also induce irritation and damage to ocular epithelium even at low concentrations. Chung *et al.* [45] and Burgalassi *et al.* [46] investigated these materials confirming their irritation and cytotoxicity effects. Liu *et al.* [47] state that penetration enhancers should be:

- Non-toxic;
- Non-irritant to the eye;
• Inert and compatible to other excipients within the formulation;

• Fast acting and reversible action;

• Effective at low concentration.

In their report they discuss the use of several penetration enhancers for ocular drugs; BAC, EDTA, surfactants, heteroglycosides, bile salts, polycarbophil-cysteine conjugates and boric acid, all of which have been used in ophthalmic formulations despite the fact that even at low concentrations they can cause ocular irritation.[47] Morrison et al. [17] investigated drug penetration enhancement using EDTA and two analogues EGTA and EDDS and they found that this was achieved by sequestering Ca$^{2+}$ and therefore loosen tight junctions which depend on the availability of these ions.

Gelucires are glycerides composed of mono-, di- and triglycerides with mono- and diesters of polyethylene glycol. They are amphiphilic with surface active properties.[48] Gelucire 44/14 has a melting temperature of 44°C and a hydrophilic–lipophilic balance of 14, hence its name. It is a compound known for its permeation enhancing properties and is ‘generally regarded as safe’ (GRAS). Liu et al. [47] investigated Gelucire 44/14 for its permeability enhancing performance in vitro and in vivo for various ophthalmic drugs and demonstrated that it enhanced transcorneal permeability of drugs with a range of hydrophilicity / lipophilicity whilst remaining non-irritating. Loftsson and Stefansson [33] reviewed cyclodextrins for enhanced topical delivery of steroids for ophthalmic formulation and the cyclodextrin-drug complexes were found to be well tolerated in eye drop formulations. Cyclodextrins and their drug complexes are too large to partition into the cornea and until recently it was generally thought that they kept the drug in solution at the eye surface where the drug was able to diffuse into the tissue,[47,49] or by
modulation of the aqueous diffusion layer on the corneal surface. [50] Morrison et al. [34] investigated the use of cyclodextrins as ocular drug delivery excipients for permeability enhancement of riboflavin for the treatment of keratoconus. They have shown that cyclodextrin forms complexes with riboflavin and release their drug payload by preferential take up of cholesterol from corneal epithelial cell membranes. The removal of cholesterol renders the epithelium permeable, allowing enhanced drug penetration. Figure 4 shows β-cyclodextrin induced histological changes to the epithelium of bovine corneas (b,d,f), compared to those without cyclodextrin exposure (a,c,e). β-Cyclodextrin induced loosening of the epithelium appears to increase with exposure time of 15, 45 and 75 minutes (b,d,f respectively), and this correlates with increased riboflavin penetration without complete destruction of this barrier.

Figure 4. Micrographs of bovine cornea cross-sections showing differences between areas that were exposed to β-cyclodextrin (b,d,f) or not (a,c,e), at 15, 45 and 75 minutes. Scale bar = 100 μm. Adapted with permission from: Morrison et al.[34] Cyclodextrin-mediated enhancement of riboflavin solubility and corneal permeability. Molecular Pharmaceutics. 10, 756-762 (2013).
Retention strategies:

Pre-corneal losses have a major impact on ocular drug delivery; it follows that if the drug formulation stays in contact with the intended tissue for longer it is more likely to penetrate the target site to afford its desired action. Adopting an approach for formulation retention is one way to minimize this problem and this can be achieved by several means. Various retention approaches will be discussed in the following section:

Viscosity enhancing polymers;

Natural and synthetic polymers prove useful for their viscosity enhancing properties in ocular drug formulations for improving residence time. These materials absorb water to form viscoelastic gels which prove to be suitable vehicles for drug delivery, and they include derivatives of cellulose, poly(vinyl alcohol), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), carbomers (weakly crosslinked poly(acrylic acids)), and the natural mucopolysaccharide; hyaluronic acid, a component of the vitreous humour.[51,52] Mechanisms for release of incorporated drugs are determined by their chemical structure, network arrangement and swelling properties.[53]

Ocular drug delivery formulations incorporating viscosity enhancing polymers resist lacrimal drainage when residing in the lower conjunctival cul-de-sac. However, disadvantages with this approach are an initial blurring of vision due to changes in refractive index at the corneal surface, and difficulty instilling a precise dose.[24,54,71]

In situ gelling systems;

‘In situ’ gelling systems undergo phase transition from liquid to gel under physiological conditions and this technique has advantage over the simpler viscosity enhancing systems. Phase transition can be mediated by physiological temperature, pH or electrolyte composition at the cornea surface.
Thermogelling systems include poloxomers,[55,56] pluronics and tetronics.[57]. Ur-Rehman et al. [58] investigated combined formulations of polaxamer 407 with chitosan as thermogelling delivery systems for ocular, vaginal, orthodontal and parenteral drug administration; this process allowed site specific tunable drug delivery with enhanced gel strength and mucoadhesive properties. Gratieri et al. [59,60] also worked with polaxamer/chitosan gel forming systems, their aim was to develop phase transition gels with improved mechanical and mucoadhesive properties. They investigated poly(ethylene oxide) – poly(propylene oxide) - poly(ethylene oxide) triblock polymers (PEO-PPO-PEO) with chitosan of various polymer ratios and found that the polymer/chitosan ratio of 16:1 w/w offered optimum gelation temperature of 32°C, good resistance to shearing forces at 35°C and good retention due to mucoadhesion. Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) is a well-researched thermogelling polymer with a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of 32°C, an ideal temperature for thermosensitive applications for ocular drug delivery, although the polymer precipitates above the LCST forming a stiff gel which can be uncomfortable for ocular drug delivery applications.[61] It also shows reduced transparency above LCST,[62] which would be undesirable for eye-drop formulations. Cao et al.[61] investigated thermogelling poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)-chitosan formulation and found it to be a suitable system for ocular delivery of water-soluble drugs, but it is not clear whether they have solved the ‘reduced transparency’ issue with their development. Mayol et al. [56] investigated thermogelling poloxamers (F127 and F68) and found that on their own their gelling properties were not ideal but could be optimized by addition of the naturally occurring mucoadhesive polysaccharide, hyaluronic acid. They consider that this approach can be exploited for a range of sustained drug delivery scenarios and they are especially suited for ocular drug delivery. PH-mediated systems include Carbopol®,[63] and cellulose acetate phthalate. [64] Electrolyte
triggered gelling systems make the transition from liquid to gel by induction of crosslinking in
the gelling system mediated by cations present in the tear fluid, and these include gellan gum
(Gelrite®), carrageenan,[65-67] and sodium alginate.[68]

**Mucoadhesives:**

Mucoadhesion is the interaction between a compound, usually a polymer, natural or synthetic,
with mucosa or associated mucus.[53,69] Mucoadhesive drug delivery depends on the interplay
between the dosage form and mucus covered mucosal epithelial membranes, residence time
increases due to this interaction, allowing more time for the drug to penetrate its intended site of
action.[69,70] Mucosal adhesion of dosage forms can be explained using a combination of
theories:[71,72]

- *Electronic theory*, where interaction is due to electron transfer between the dosage form
  and mucosal surface.

- *Adsorption theory*, attraction mechanisms are via electrostatic effects, hydrogen bonds
  and Van der Waals forces. Hydrophobic effects are also implicated, more so when the
  mucoadhesive polymers are amphiphilic. Covalent bonding can also come into effect
  between some specific polymers and mucins.

- *Wetting theory*, mostly applies to liquid mucoadhesives where there are structural
  similarities between the polymer and mucin, these effects reduce surface tension and
  allow the mucoadhesive polymer to spread on the mucosal surface.

- *Diffusion theory*, considers the interpenetration of polymer into the mucus and diffusion
  of soluble mucins into the mucoadhesive.
Neither of the above mentioned theories can be used to explain mucoadhesion on their own, more, they each play a part to varying degrees within any given scenario.[71-74] In considering a typical series of events involving a mucoadhesive – mucosa interaction; first of all the wetting theory comes into play with wetting and associated swelling of the dosage form; next physical interactions involving electronic and adsorption theories take place forming non-covalent bonds between the system components; diffusion theory then comes into play when further non-covalent bonds during interpenetration of polymer-protein chains during which physical and covalent (chemical) bonds form again involving electronic and adsorption theories.[71,72]

With traditional ocular drug delivery systems residence time is determined by tear turnover, but for mucoadhesive systems this becomes governed by mucus turnover, hence drug retention and bioavailability is substantially increased.[51] Mucoadhesive polymer films could potentially provide a suitable platform to deliver ocular drugs, Khutoryanskaya et al.[75] investigated the use of complexes and blends of poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and methylcellulose (MC) to produce polymeric films as vehicles for ocular drug delivery. PAA has excellent mucoadhesive properties due to an ability to form hydrogen bonds with mucin, although it has limited application for transmucosal drug delivery due to being very hydrophilic, thus quick dissolving; it also has poor mechanical properties and can cause irritation to delicate mucosa. MC has favourable properties that are applied in transmucosal delivery systems; it has excellent biocompatibility profiles but has poor mucoadhesive properties. The researchers used a polymer blend approach with different combinations of PAA / MC under a range of pH and optimized a formulation bringing together the favourable properties of both polymers. In vitro studies of drug-loaded polymer films determined their release profiles and they found that films enriched in MC had significantly slower drug release profiles than films enriched in PAA. This could allow a tunable drug
delivery system depending on whether rapid or sustained release is required. They further investigated *in vivo* retention of the polymer films using rabbits and found that 100% MC films were retained for up to 50 minutes but successful application was hampered by poor mucoadhesive properties. 100% PAA films were strongly mucoadhesive but retention was poor due to quick dissolution. They concluded that polymer blends had good bioadhesive qualities and showed better retention of 30-60 minutes compared to the films composed of individual polymers. [75]

**Nanoparticles;**

Nanoparticle drug delivery systems are more generally described as submicron sized structures; these systems were described by Nagarwal *et al.*[19] as 10 to 1000 nm particles in which drugs could be loaded by attachment to the matrix or dissolved within, encapsulated or entrapped within the structure giving a versatile drug delivery system. Hans and Lowman [76] discuss biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles for drug delivery, they suggest that surface modified biodegradable solid nanoparticles have an advantage regarding controlled release, principally for targeted drug delivery for the treatment of specific organs, in particular for extended drug delivery to the cornea and conjunctiva.[76] Ibrahim *et al.*[77] describe a mucoadhesive nanoparticle system as a carrier for gatafloxacin/prednisolone biotherapy for treatment of bacterial keratitis, a serious corneal condition which could lead to blindness without rapid and appropriate intervention. The drug loaded nanoparticle systems they describe were produced from Eudragit® RS 100 and RL 100 and were coated with the bioadhesive polymer hyaluronic acid. Nanoparticles within the suspensions produced using these systems were in the range of 315 nm to 973 nm. For ocular drug delivery, supramolecular structures, complexes and composites belong to nanoparticulate systems and these can include microemulsions, liposomes,
Kassam et al. investigated the use of nanosuspensions for ophthalmic delivery of three virtually insoluble glucocorticoid drugs in aqueous media; hydrocortisone, prednisolone and dexamethasone. Their findings show an enhancement to the rate and extent of ophthalmic drug absorption together with improved drug performance compared with aqueous solutions and microcrystalline suspensions. De Campos et al. investigated the interaction of poly(ethylene glycol)- or chitosan- coated colloidal nanocapsules with ocular mucosa; they conclude from ex vivo studies that the systems they developed enhanced permeation of dye through the cornea. Evidence from confocal microscopy shows their systems penetrated the epithelium of rabbit cornea via the transcellular pathway and they found that PEG-coated colloids had an enhanced rate of transport across the whole epithelium; whilst chitosan-coated nanocapsules were retained in the superficial epithelial layers. They suggest these systems could be designed as colloidal drug carriers targeting a specific purpose, that is, to attach to the cornea or penetrate into or through it. This implies these systems should prove useful of treating conditions of the cornea and deeper structures within the eye.

Diseases of the posterior section of the eye include macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy, retinitis pigmentosa and related ocular neovascular disease. Topical delivery of drugs to the posterior section of the eye is particularly challenging due not least to ocular barrier function and internal clearance mechanisms within the anterior chamber. Recent developments in the field of nanoparticles involve submicron-sized liposomes (ssLips) and these are proving useful for topical drug delivery systems in the form of eye drops for the treatment of posterior segment diseases. Studies by Hironaka et al. and Inikuchi et al. show successful delivery of coumarin-6 to the retina via non-corneal and non-systemic pathways using eye drops. The
assumption can be made that posterior section delivery is via penetration through the sclera using ssLips [8,41] (emphasis highlights conclusion of the authors of this review).

**Ocular inserts:**

Ocular inserts are drug loaded devices placed in the upper or lower cul-de-sac and in some cases, directly on the cornea; their purpose is to act as a controlled release drug reservoir. These systems can be insoluble devices that need to be removed after a given period of time or they can be designed to dissolve, erode or biodegrade at the ocular surface. Early forms of ocular inserts have been used since the middle ages and were given the arabic term *al-kohl*. By the nineteenth century, paper patches soaked with drug solutions were used and in the early twentieth century glycerinated gelatin systems were in use.[82] It is not clear how effective these early devices were, however, drug delivery by this means has developed and devices can be of soluble ophthalmic drug inserts (SODI) or insoluble polymers, mucoadhesives or soluble natural materials such as collagen (e.g. from porcine sclera).[4] Ideally these devices could be applied and left in place with no further intervention thereafter. Ocular inserts need to be discreet and comfortable to gain patient acceptance. Sustained release ophthalmic inserts are defined as sterile devices which can be drug impregnated thin, single or multi-layered films, solid or semisolid materials. The objective being to extend ocular contact time thus improving bioavailability. Development of ocular inserts that bring reliable controlled release drug delivery and patient comfort offers a considerable challenge. The main classes of devices are insoluble, soluble and biodegradable inserts.[83] Ocusert® was the first relatively successful product for delivery of pilocarpine for the treatment of ocular hypertension and has been commercialised since 1974. Ocusert® consists of a pilocarpine-alginate reservoir sandwiched between thin ethylene-vinyl acetate films, the devices are designed to deliver pilocarpine at either 20µg per
hour or 40 µg per hour. Some disadvantages of this system were unreliable control of intraocular pressure, leakage, folding, difficulty inserting the devices and ejection or irritation.[82,84]

Ocufit SR® are sustained release rod shaped devices made from silicone elastomer, designed to reside in the lower conjunctival fornix; these devices are well tolerated and expulsion is significantly less than with oval or flat inserts. Minidisc ocular therapeutic system (OTS) by Bausch & Lomb are drug-loaded polymer discs with similar shape as contact lenses but are smaller (4-5 mm); they were designed to reside on the sclera in the upper or lower fornix and deliver the antibiotics gentamicin or sulfisoxazole between 3-14 days depending on the system.

The company produces non-erodible hydrophobic and hydrophilic systems and erodible devices based on hydroxypropyl cellulose. The inserts are comfortable and easy to use for most patients. Smith & Nephew Pharmaceutical Ltd patented what they term ‘new ophthalmic delivery system’ (NODS®); these devices offer precision pilocarpine delivery for glaucoma patients from poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) film flags. These devices attach to the mucosal surface of the lower conjunctival sac where it takes up fluid from the tears, swells and delivers its drug payload at a pre-determined rate into the lacrimal fluid as it slowly dissolves.[82] Mydriasert® are insoluble devices marketed by IOLTech for the delivery of phenylephrine and tropicamide to induce sustained mydriasis during surgery or for examination of the fundus (interior ocular surface).[3]

Human amniotic membrane has been used for corneal transplant to treat corneal disorders and ulcerative ocular conditions. Resch et al. [85,86] investigated its use as drug loaded ocular devices to deliver ofloxacin in vitro and they concluded that single layer human amniotic membrane had a significant reservoir capacity capable of delivering the drug for up to 7 hours in vitro. They propose that drug pretreatment of amniotic membrane could be beneficial when using...
this tissue for ocular transplant when treating infectious keratitis.\cite{85,86} Table 1 lists some advantages and disadvantages for using ocular inserts. \cite{20,82,87}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Increased residence time / bioavailability</td>
<td>• Physical and psychological obstacles of placing solid objects on the eye,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Precision dosing with controlled release, avoids pulsate drug delivery</td>
<td>foreign body sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Minimal systemic absorption</td>
<td>• Movement around the eye could interfere with vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Administration frequency reduced</td>
<td>• Potential accidental loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conjunctival / scleral route to internal target</td>
<td>• Some devices difficult to insert or remove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Better shelf life and no preservatives</td>
<td>• Potential burst release upon insertion prior to controlled delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Combinational therapeutic approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recent developments in ocular insert drug delivery systems:

Colo et al. \cite{88} investigated the effect of adding chitosan hydrochloride (CH-HCl) to mucoadhesive erodible ocular inserts produced from poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) of various molecular weight for delivery of ofloxacin. They added 10, 20 and 30 % medicated CH-HCl microparticles to PEO formulations made from 900 kDa or 2000 kDa. Erosion of the devices was accelerated proportional to CH-HCl content. The lower molecular weight PEO proved more suitable for prolonged drug release. They conclude that inclusion of CH-HCl in the devices aids erosion and enhances corneal permeability of ofloxacin when compared to devices not containing CH-HCl. Hornof et al. \cite{89} developed mucoadhesive devices based on thiolated poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and these were evaluated in human in vivo studies. Their aim was to
develop mucoadhesive ocular inserts for controlled delivery of ophthalmic drugs using fluorescein as a fluorescent tracer to determine release rates from the devices in humans. They compared mean fluorescein concentrations in the tear film and cornea as a function of time after instillation of eye drops and inserts composed of thiolated and unmodified PAA. The thiolated polymer inserts formed a soft, insoluble hydrogel and were well tolerated by volunteers. Their findings show this material offers a promising platform for ocular drug delivery for a prolonged duration. Mishra and Gilhotra [63] designed and characterized a bioadhesive in-situ gelling ocular insert for the delivery of gatifloxacin using a mixture of sodium alginate with chitosan, which was plasticized with glycerin. They combined sodium alginate for its gelling properties, with chitosan for its bioadhesive qualities, formulations of various proportions were prepared and films were produced using the solvent casting technique as described by Pandit et al. [90] Using this system they found an accumulative drug release of 95-99% during 8-12 hours and the formulation consisting of 2% alginate with 1% chitosan had the most sustained release of 12 hours. They conclude that this system allowed production of uniform in situ gelling polymer films suitable for controlled release of gatifloxacin for the treatment of bacterial keratitis and conjunctivitis.[63] Natamycin is a polyene antibiotic used for the treatment of fungal blepharitis, bacterial keratitis and conjunctivitis and it has the ability to reduce intraocular pressure. Rajasekaran et al.[91] compared the controlled release performance of natamycin from ocular inserts they designed from a variety of polymeric materials; Eudragit® L-100, S-100, RL-100, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose phthalate (HMCP) and cellulose acetate phthalate (CAP) in different proportions with poly(ethylene glycol-400) (PEG-400) as a plasticizer. Their aim was to develop devices for in situ sustained drug delivery and their approach was to prepare polymeric films using the solvent casting method. 1 cm discs were cut from the films to be used
as inserts; these were evaluated for their physicochemical properties such as drug concentration, weight, folding durability, thickness, moisture absorption and vapour transmission rate. FTIR studies established that there was no chemical interaction between the drug and polymers used. In vitro studies were conducted to determine their drug release kinetics; devices made from CAP, HPMCP and Eudragit® S-100 released all of their drug payload within 10-15 hours, whilst inserts made from increased concentrations of Eudragit® RL-100 continued release for 18-23 hours; best performance was shown for formulations consisting of 3% Eudragit® RL-100 and 1% Eudragit® L-100. They conclude that nataycin loaded ocular inserts produced from 3% Eudragit® RL-100 and 1% Eudragit® L-100 plasticised with 33% PEG-400 are capable of controlled drug delivery up to 23 hours.

Contact lenses for drug delivery

Contact lenses are hard or soft polymeric devices designed to fit directly onto the cornea to correct refractive abnormalities; they can be produced from hydrophilic or hydrophobic polymers. Hydrogel contact lenses are realistic products to act as ocular drug delivery systems; they are able to imbibe a large volume of aqueous solution relative to their anhydrous form. If the aqueous solution that hydrates the contact lens contains sufficient pharmaceutically active material this will be able to diffuse from the polymer matrix into the tear film bathing the eye and subsequently interact with the ocular tissue. However, there still remains a need to retain the drug within the devices sufficiently to provide sustained release.

The idea of using hydrogel contact lenses as drug delivery devices was first suggested by Wichterle et al. [29,92] in their 1965 patent, in which they suggest the inclusion of medication upon lens hydration to offer extended drug availability during wear. Contact lens design determines how they are to be used; daily, weekly and monthly disposable options are
Early approaches to contact lens aided drug delivery relied on absorbance of drug loaded solution during pre-wear soaking. Conventional contact lenses have limited drug loading potential and drug delivery using this method proves unreliable, giving an initial ‘burst release’ followed by rapid decline over a relatively short period.[20,93] Other methodologies include molecular imprinting technology, drug loaded coating or addition of a sandwich layer of drug-loaded polymer, inclusion of drug-loaded nanoparticles and cyclodextrin grafting.[28]

Molecular imprinting technology is a technique whereby the polymer formulation is modified to give it a higher affinity towards drug molecules, thus increasing their drug loading potential and prolonging delivery [94-96]. Hiratani et al. [93] took this approach in developing a system employing methacrylic acid, N,N-diethylacrylamide and the drug timolol; from this system they were able to achieve sustained timolol release for almost 48 hours \textit{in vitro}. Alvarez-Lorenzo et al. [97] applied the same strategy to produce norfloxacin-loaded poly(hydroxyethyl methacrylate) contact lenses and they report that reservoir capacity was enhanced by up to 300 fold compared with pHEMA lenses without molecular imprinting technology. Hyatt et al.[98] investigated the release profiles of gentamicin and vancomycin from fibrin coated and fibrin sandwiched contact lenses \textit{in vitro}; their aim was to develop a system that could offer controlled and sustained drug delivery for a minimum period of 8 hours. They conclude that the fibrin gel/lens systems performed better for extended delivery of gentamicin compared to normal lenses soaked with the antibiotic solution, however, their performance for delivering vancomycin was poor compared to soaked lenses. Lenses incorporating fibrin showed potential for treating microbial keratitis. Ciolino et al.[99,100] investigated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) coatings and sandwiched films with contact lenses as potential drug delivery devices. They found that contact lenses incorporating PLGA film retained antifungal properties up to 3 weeks \textit{in vitro},
and their prototype ciprofloxacin eluting contact lens demonstrated controlled release at therapeutically active concentrations for up to 4 weeks in vitro. Although fibrin or PLGA film sandwiched and coated lenses bring sustained drug delivery benefits, the lenses are opaque; therefore they require clear ‘window’ in the centre of the lens allowing the patient to see during treatment.[97-100] Inclusion of drug loaded nanoparticles within the polymer matrix of contact lens is an effective strategy for prolonged drug delivery. This approach can allow sustained release which can be tuned towards the patient’s needs, anything between a few hours to several weeks. Gulsen and Chauhan [101] conducted a pilot study to determine the effectiveness of nanoparticle laden pHEMA. The nanoparticles were based on oil-in-water microemulsion loaded with lidocaine, a hydrophobic drug; the droplets were then encapsulated in a silica shell which stabilized the nanoparticles and these were incorporated in the hydrogel matrix during polymerization. Hydrophobic lidocaine has a slight and finite solubility in water; therefore it is able to slowly diffuse from the nanoparticles into the aqueous phase of the gel matrix where it would then be able to further diffuse into the tear film. The nanoparticle-laden hydrogels remained clear and drug release studies in vitro showed an initial burst release followed by slow and steady release thereafter; by day 10 virtually all the drug had been released. They conclude that the nanoparticle-loaded hydrogels could be suitable for controlled drug delivery for several days at therapeutically effective concentrations. Gulsen and Chauhan [102] followed up their previous investigation of nanoparticle-laden pHEMA by developing four more microemulsion based formulations, type 1 and 2 were based on canola oil with Tween® 80 and Panadon SDK, with or without a stabilizing silica shell, and type 3 and 4 were based on hexadecane with Brij® 97 with or without a stabilizing silica shell; they incorporated lidocaine as a model drug. Type 1 formulation was opaque due to the poor solubility of Tween® 80 in HEMA, type 2 formulation
lost some transparency but was not opaque indicating that the silica shell reduced interaction between the surfactant and HEMA. Type 3 showed minimal transparency reduction but was not as transparent as pHEMA, type 4 showed no observable loss of transparency due to stabilization afforded by the silica shell. Release studies *in vitro* determined that formulations based on hexadecane with Brij® 97 were suitable for sustained drug delivery at therapeutic rates for up to 8 days, Tween®80 based formulation was deemed unsuitable due to poor stability and particle aggregation. Gulsen and Chauhan speculate that furthering this work to develop ‘smart’ particulate based systems which could respond to pH or temperature change could minimise burst release and decaying release rates.[101,102] The approach followed by Jung and Chauhan [103] was to develop a timolol loaded nanoparticle / HEMA based contact lens system. Their aim was to produce nanoparticles without using surfactant due to opacity issues when these are used with HEMA. Using thermal polymerization techniques they formed drug loaded nanoparticles based on crosslinking monomers; propoxylated glycerol triacrylate (PGT) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and incorporated these in pHEMA hydrogels. Their product was a transparent drug loaded hydrogel with temperature dependent release rates between 2-4 weeks. They conclude their system maintains drug stability under refrigerated conditions and the temperature change promotes drug release upon insertion of the lenses into the eyes. **Figure 5** shows how nanoparticles could release entrapped drug molecules into the pre- and post-tear films.
Drug loading capacity of hydrogel contact lenses can be enhanced by the inclusion of ‘container molecules’. Cyclodextrins, with their ‘guest-host’ properties have been investigated for this purpose. Complexation between cyclodextrins and drug molecules is a dynamic process due to the weak non-covalent interactions in play. The strategy followed by dos Santos et al.[104] was to synthesise methacrylated β-cyclodextrin and use it to form co-polymer with HEMA and EGDMA, the polymers formed had clear gel properties. Drug loading was achieved by soaking the anhydrous polymers in solutions of acetazolamide or hydrocortisone for 4 days. The performance of these methacrylated β-cyclodextrin hydrogels was studied *in vitro* and they were found to offer tunable drug loading/release rates with capacity for sustained drug delivery over several days. They followed up this study with development of another hydrogel formulation.
using β-cyclodextrin grafted onto pHEMA-co-GMA (glycidyl methacrylate). This system was able to enhance diclofenac loading by 1300% and could sustain drug release for 2 weeks in lacrimal fluid. They conclude that these systems could have potential for pharmaceutical applications in soft contact lenses and other medicated devices.[105] Xu et al.[106] produced hydrogel films and contact lenses from HEMA, mono-methacrylated β-cyclodextrin and trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate. Puerarin was incorporated as a model drug by soaking in drug solution to hydrate the gel. In vitro studies determined loading and release rates were dependent on β-cyclodextrin content. In vivo studies using rabbits showed the gels offered sustained drug release with superior performance compared to commercial puerarin eyedrops. The devices had excellent mechanical properties and the researchers propose the material is suitable for drug delivery from re-usable daily wear contact lenses.

Ocular implants:

Treating the posterior segment

Historically, the posterior segment has been exceptionally difficult to treat due to the many barriers that obstruct ingress of foreign matter into the eye. The development of ocular implants have allowed these external barriers to be overcome. Modern devices allow long term treatments for otherwise impossible to treat conditions, many devices provide medication for years from a single procedure. [107,112]

Drug eluting intraocular lenses

Intraocular lens (IOL) surgery is a well-established and safe procedure routinely performed worldwide; however as with any surgical technique there is always risk from infection or other
complications, for example, postoperative inflammation, posterior capsule opacification (PCO) and secondary cataracts caused by epithelial cell adhesion and proliferation in the posterior lens capsule. Introduction of preventative medication during surgery is subject to decay or elimination before it can be effective. Much research is currently carried out for development of drug eluting IOL’s to minimise postoperative problems, and also to address concurrent pathologies. IOL / drug combinations can be achieved by pre-insertion soaking in concentrated drug solution (only useful for drugs with a high affinity for the polymer), coating with layers of drug/polymer, chemical grafting of drugs, drug impregnation using super critical fluids and attaching inserts onto the haptics (the ‘arms’ of the IOL).[28] A study by Kleinmann et al.[113] determined that commercial hydrophilic acrylic lenses (C-flex, Rayner intraocular lenses) [114] have affinity for fourth generation fluoroquinolones and were able to release this drug above the minimum inhibitory concentration in rabbits for at least 12 hours. They conclude C-flex/drug combination is safe and effective for delivery of these antibiotics. Davis et al.[115] investigated concentrations of 4 antibiotics (moxifloxacin, gatifloxacin, linezolid and ceruroxime) in aqueous and vitreous humour samples from rabbit eyes. Drug released from implanted hydrophilic IOL’s was analysed using HPLC to determine drug concentration in the ocular fluid samples. The IOL’s used were STAAR Nanoflex™ Colamer®, 40% water content material comprised of a collagen, pHEMA blend,[116] pre-soaked in antibiotic solution. Ocular fluid samples were taken for analysis at intervals up to 24 hours. It was established that the antibiotics studied were above the minimum inhibitory concentration in the aqueous humour for at least 6 hours, notably, gatifloxacin concentrations remained above this level at 24 hours after implantation.[116] Layer-by-layer deposition is a technique used for coating opposing charge polymers to rigid hydrophobic IOL’s, a drug can be incorporated during this process. Coating pHEMA based
hydrophilic IOL’s by immersion in octadecyl isocyanate can be an effective method to give controlled release from norfloxacin containing IOL’s. Grafting drug molecules onto the IOL surface can provide a permanently active surface to prevent cell adhesion, or allow release of drugs by some external trigger, for example light irradiation. High drug concentrations within a polymeric matrix can be achieved using supercritical CO$_2$ as a means to force drugs into the polymer without the need for organic solvent.[28] Duarte et al.[117] employed supercritical CO$_2$ technology to impregnate p(MMA-EHA-EGDMA), a suitable polymer for IOL manufacture, with flurbiprofen, an anti-inflammatory drug used for intraocular delivery. Their experiments found the process allowed higher drug impregnation and release studies showed the system to be effective for up to 3 months. The approach employed by Garty et al.[27] was to produce norfloxacin loaded pHEMA cylinders in 1.0 mm diameter microglass tubes with 0.09 mm stainless steel wire through the centre during room temperature polymerization. When fully polymerized the hydrogel was ejected from the tube and the wire removed leaving a tubular hydrogel structure, this was washed with sterilized water to remove unreacted components. The gel was cut into 1.0 mm lengths and lyophilized. Next they added a hydrophobic coating using octadecyl isocyanate to control drug release. The devices were used as sleeves placed over IOL haptics and this assembly was used in lens replacement procedures in the rabbit model. Results from in vivo studies showed the devices offered sustained drug delivery above the minimum inhibitory concentration for over 4 weeks. They conclude that these controlled release devices are effective at sustained delivery of therapeutic levels of drugs within the anterior chamber post operatively. Incorporation of drugs with IOL’s has predominantly aimed at postoperative delivery of antibiotics and anti-inflammatory medication.
Drug delivery by intravitreal injection

There are many debilitating and sight threatening conditions resulting from posterior segment diseases and in most cases the only way these can be treated is by invasive procedures, for example ‘intravitreal injection’. In the main this still remains so, however, developments have brought a diverse range of effective implantable drug delivery systems targeting posterior segment disease and the various options will now be considered. [22] The most common means to place drugs in the posterior chamber employs injection into the vitreous humour; this provides a high concentration of drug where it is needed and minimises systemic complications. Xu et al. investigated the diffusion of polystyrene nanoparticles of various size and surface chemistries in fresh bovine vitreous and they were able to achieve tuneable drug transport within the posterior chamber depending the designed properties of the nanoparticle [118]. However, many conditions require repeated treatment and this can cause intraocular problems, for example, cataract, retinal detachment, haemorrhage, endophthalmitis and ocular hypertension.

Intraocular implants

In an attempt to overcome the problem of frequent injections biodegradable and non-biodegradable drug depot devices which can offer long term drug release into the posterior chamber have been developed and further research in this area is ongoing. Solutions, liposomes, micelles, nanoparticles and vectosomes are suitable for intravitreal injection although these dosage forms only give short term drug availability, generally days to several weeks.[23,119] Biodegradable and non-biodegradable drug depot devices have been developed and further research in this area is ongoing. Implantable devices for long term drug delivery are on the market or currently undergoing clinical trial. Vitrasert® is a drug depot device for sustained delivery of ganciclovir via a rate limiting poly(vinyl acetate)/ethylene vinyl acetate (PVW/EVA)
membrane for up to 8 months.[22,119,120] Retisert® intraocular inserts were approved by the FDA in 2005. They are inserts for delivery of the corticosteroid, fluocinolone acetonide for treatment of posterior uveitis, a serious sight threatening condition. The devices are designed for long term drug release up to 30 months.[121] Vitrisert® and Retisert® inserts are non-degradable and require surgical implantation and removal.[22] Medidur® are implantable devices for delivering fluocinolone acetonide for up to 36 months. This device consists of a narrow cylindrical polyimide tube loaded with the drug and PVA-based end caps provide rate limiting drug delivery. The 3.5 mm long device is inserted through a 25-g needle carried out under local anaesthesia and creates a self-healing wound eliminating the need for surgery.[122] Implants employing biodegradable polymers are promising systems for intraocular drug delivery. Sivaprasad et al. [123] report the use of the Posurdex® biodegradable polymer device for treatment of macula oedema using dexamethasone. This drug has a half-life of less than 24 hours therefore it provides only limiting management of this condition by injecting the drug. However, dexamethasone containing Posurdex® devices were shown to deliver the drug at a constant rate for up to 4 months, these devices have been re-named Ozurdex® and are marketed by Allergan Inc. [124] In vivo studies using monkeys showed the system was effective at reducing retinal vasculopathy and neuropathy.[125] Surodex® is a poly(lactic-glycolic acid) device to be inserted in the anterior or posterior chamber at the time of cataract surgery to deliver dexamethasone for up to 10 days. Tan et al. [126] conducted a randomized clinical trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the Surodex® insert as a safe and effective treatment of intraocular inflammation in post-cataract surgery. Their study employed flare meter readings to determine inflammation and this showed that measured values were lower in all readings from the Surodex® group compared to those treated post operatively with dexamethasone eye drops, they
conclude that implantation of a single Surodex® device at the time of cataract surgery reduces post-surgery inflammation [126,127].

Future perspectives:

In this review the various strategies for enhancing bioavailability of ophthalmic drugs have been considered; how drug bioavailability can be improved using solubility, retention and permeability enhancers has been explored. Drug loaded contact lenses allow localised delivery directly to the cornea, where the lenses offer controlled release whilst isolating the post corneal tear film from lachrymal clearance. Nanoparticle technology is allowing drug delivery to the posterior chamber via topically applied formulations. Future research is likely to bring discoveries of materials with superior performance compared with those in current use. The use of ocular inserts for extended and intimate contact between the dose form and ocular tissue proves to be a beneficial strategy and the use of ocular implants allows all external barriers to be overcome, giving direct access to internal tissues whilst minimising side effects. Many of these approaches have been developed in recent decades and continue to be improved upon with new innovations. Looking to the future innovative advances to delay or prevent blindness could be made; developments in two main areas could be speculated; the cornea and vitreous humour. First, corneal disease has a major influence on visual health; corneal tissue engineered constructs are being developed to test new ocular drugs. Future development of artificial corneas could become a possibility to replace diseased ones without the need for donor tissue, which is a scarce commodity.[127,128] Another area for advanced drug delivery is the posterior segment; vitrectomy is an invasive but well-established procedure for many posterior segment disorders. A synthetic material is used to replace natural vitreous humour. The possibility of developing synthetic materials for whole or partial vitrectomy as a drug depot could allow long term
controlled release for decades. A one off procedure would be more favourable than many less effective ones over the course of a lifetime.[129,130]

Executive summary:

Strategies to enhance the bioavailability of drugs are;

**Drug solubility and penetration enhancement**

- Many ocular drugs have low aqueous solubility; this can be improved using hydrotropic compounds. Formulating for higher drug concentration means increased availability.

- Inclusion of penetration enhancers within a formulation improves drug partitioning into tissue.

**Drug retention strategies**

- Viscosity enhancing polymers, in situ gels and bioadhesives allow eye drop formulation to resist pre-corneal losses and they retain intimate contact with ocular tissue longer giving the dose form more time to penetrate ocular membranes.

- Drug delivery from ocular inserts are a means to place the dose form in immediate contact with ocular mucosa, this strategy allows controlled and sustained drug release for an extended period.

**Ocular implants**

- Implantable devices are designed to penetrate the ocular membranes or reside entirely within the eye. This strategy overcomes all external barriers and can offer short term medication or deliver medication for several years when treating chronic conditions.
Future perspectives

- A speculative outlook considered the possibility of innovative technologies developing synthetic tissues to enable testing new drugs and possibly even produce artificial corneas for transplant. The idea of developing novel materials for vitreous humour replacement as lifetime drug delivery depots could potentially become realised.
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