Erratum: Polarization-resolved extinction and scattering cross-section of individual gold nanoparticles measured by wide-field microscopy on a large ensemble [Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 131107 (2013)]
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The numerical values of the noise calculated using equation (1) should read $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = 166 \text{nm}^2$ instead of $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = 589 \text{nm}^2$ for the $M = 60$ estimate, and $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = 6 \text{nm}^2$ instead of $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = 43 \text{nm}^2$ for the $M = 150$ estimate. Furthermore, shot noise in the data is a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ larger since the difference between two images $I_f$ and $I_d$ is used, and an additional factor $\sqrt{4/3}$ larger due to the noise in the background $\Delta_b$. The equation (1) should thus read

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = \frac{\lambda d_{\text{px}}}{M N A} \sqrt{\frac{6\pi}{N_a N_{\text{fw}} \nu}}$$

yielding $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = 271 \text{nm}^2$ for the green channel, for which we measured $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{noise}} = 590 \text{nm}^2$. The measured noise is therefore close to shot noise, but still limited by background fluctuations.
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